

**2010**

**ANNUAL REPORT OF THE  
CALIFORNIA DUI MANAGEMENT  
INFORMATION SYSTEM**

**ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**IN ACCORD WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757  
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION**

**JANUARY 2010**

**ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER**  
Governor

**DALE E. BONNER, Secretary**  
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

**GEORGE VALVERDE**  
Director

<b>REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE</b>			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE January 2010		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 2010 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System				5. FUNDING NUMBERS
6. AUTHOR(S) Sladjana Oulad Daoud and Helen N. Tashima				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) California Department of Motor Vehicles Research and Development Branch P.O. Box 932382 Sacramento, CA 94232-3820				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER  CAL-DMV-RSS-10-231
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT				12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) In this nineteenth annual legislatively-mandated report, 2007 and 2008 DUI data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data reference and monitoring system. This report presents crosstabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative actions and alcohol-involved crashes. In addition, this report provides 1-year proportions of DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested in each year over a time period of 18 years. Also, the long-term recidivism curves of the cumulative proportions of DUI reoffenses are shown for all DUI offenders arrested in 1994. Analyses were conducted on the effectiveness of DUI programs in reducing 1-year subsequent violations and crashes of those convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving, and on the effectiveness of the 3-month versus 9-month DUI programs for first offenders. Two additional subanalyses were conducted to determine if differences in the outcome measures were related to BAC level (below 0.20% and 0.20% and above). The proportions of 2007 convicted first and second offenders who were referred, enrolled, and completed DUI programs are also presented.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, alcohol education and rehabilitation, driver license disqualification				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 153
				16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified		18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified		19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified
20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT None				

# DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1998 - 2008

	YEAR										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
DUI Arrest Rate (per 100,000 licensed drivers)	908	896	847	803	783	809	792	786	849	863	906
Total DUI arrests <sup>1</sup>	188327	188523	181336	176490	177056	183560	180957	180288	197248	203866	214811
Felony DUI arrests <sup>1</sup>	5330	5242	5476	5647	5859	5856	5646	5962	6191	6264	5966
Misdemeanor DUI arrests <sup>1</sup>	182997	183281	175860	170843	171197	177704	175311	174326	191057	197602	208845
DUI convictions received to date (by year of arrest) <sup>2</sup>	144265	149946	144667	140853	140408	145529	144908	145703	159126	160591	162046
Percent convicted of DUI as of Sept. 2009 <sup>2</sup>	77%	80%	80%	80%	79%	79%	80%	81%	81%	79%	75%
Alcohol-involved reckless driving convictions	15945	15514	14465	15773	15694	15735	14801	14452	15563	15121	16913
Percent convicted of alcohol reckless driving	8.5%	8.2%	8.0%	8.9%	8.9%	8.6%	8.2%	8.0%	7.9%	7.4%	7.9%
Alcohol-involved fatalities <sup>3</sup>	1072	1170	1233	1308	1416	1445	1462	1574	1597	1489	1355
% of total fatalities	31.0	32.8	33.1	33.3	34.2	34.2	35.7	36.6	38.1	37.5	39.8
Alcohol-involved injuries <sup>3</sup>	30985	29833	30971	31806	32013	31322	31538	30810	31099	30783	28463
% of total injuries	10.7	10.3	10.2	10.4	10.4	10.2	10.4	10.5	11.2	11.5	11.8
Drug-involved fatalities <sup>4</sup>	266	290	428	509	639	784	799	880	859	749	726
% of total fatalities	7.7	8.2	11.5	13.0	15.6	18.6	19.5	20.4	20.5	18.9	21.3
Drug-involved injuries <sup>4</sup>	1549	1774	1917	2106	2373	2580	2646	2722	2421	2464	2227
% of total injuries	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9

<sup>1</sup>These totals do not include duplicate cases as originally reported in the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, MACR data, and as of 2006 the counts for all the years reflect this change.

<sup>2</sup>These data represent a DMV master file count of the number of DUI abstracts (data received from the courts), by year of violation, minus duplicates. Each year, the DUI conviction totals are updated to include the amended and new abstracts for current and previous years. These additions are also reflected in changes for the percent convicted. These totals do not include conviction abstracts not yet received. Thus, for the most recent years, these figures will underestimate the final conviction totals.

<sup>3</sup>These figures include overlap with drugs. That is, drugs are also involved in some of these cases.

<sup>4</sup>These figures include overlap with alcohol. That is, alcohol is also involved in some of these cases. Beginning with the 2007 DUI-MIS report, reporting of drug-involved fatalities is included.

# DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1998 - 2008 (continued)

	YEAR										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	238612 <sup>1</sup>	236141	240597	231217	236603	241242	239580	247568	339796 <sup>3</sup>	362859 <sup>3</sup>	392319 <sup>3</sup>
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	175365	179332	172606	164840	165505	171470	171828	168569	185481	192213	204332
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	15640	17775	18185	18549	19129	19949	19967	19374	22044	22112	22180
.08 First-offender suspensions	116827	119621	114997	109695	109888	114975	116022	107466	118468	123594	132266
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	39024	38487	36147	33517	33580	33413	32903	38097	41420	42979	46388
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498
Commercial driver actions	4609	4471	4139	4013	3936	3853	3801	3525	2974	2903	3172
Chemical test refusal actions	9935	9435	9433	8841	8772	9399	9353	9599	9315	9581	9390
.01 Test refusal suspensions	229	268	270	280	290	341	326	364	419	426	433
.08 Test refusal suspensions	5832	5718	5886	5482	5547	5925	6091	5603	5347	5627	5459
.08 Test refusal revocations	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498
POSTCONVICTION <sup>2</sup>											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	1026 <sup>1</sup>	918	741	714	896	794	838	737	941	1061	917
First-offender suspensions	9588 <sup>1</sup>	15072	29924	31097	32716	32521	31012	39078	110525 <sup>3</sup>	124436 <sup>3</sup>	136480 <sup>3</sup>
Misdemeanor	7497 <sup>1</sup>	13401	28118	29188	30563	30298	28799	36808	108227 <sup>3</sup>	122102 <sup>3</sup>	133987 <sup>3</sup>
Felony	2091 <sup>1</sup>	1671	1806	1909	2153	2223	2213	2270	2298	2334	2493
Second-offender S/R actions	40238 <sup>1</sup>	31940	29097	26911	29345	28737	28400	30294	32680	34296	38266
Misdemeanor	39065 <sup>1</sup>	31455	28571	26334	28748	28160	27847	29699	32046	33649	37568
Felony	633 <sup>1</sup>	485	526	577	597	577	553	595	634	647	658
Third-offender revocations	9397 <sup>1</sup>	6573	6163	5727	6171	5953	5581	6720	7649	8063	9164
Misdemeanor	9167 <sup>1</sup>	6452	6015	5585	5996	5758	5429	6537	7424	7830	8933
Felony	230 <sup>1</sup>	121	148	142	175	195	152	183	225	233	231
Fourth-offender revocations	2998 <sup>1</sup>	2306	2066	1928	1970	1767	1921	2170	2520	2790	3200
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION S/R ACTIONS	63247 <sup>1</sup>	56809	67991	66377	71098	69772	67752	78999	154315 <sup>3</sup>	170646 <sup>3</sup>	187987 <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The 1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.

<sup>2</sup>These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements, and include workload counts.

<sup>3</sup>This count has increased as a result of the law change, effective 09/20/2005, which assigned to DMV the sole responsibility for imposing license actions for all DUIs and removed this responsibility from the courts.

## HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2010 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- Alcohol-involved traffic fatalities decreased by 9.0% in 2008; the second consecutive year of decreases after 8 years of a continuous rising trend (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- Drug-involved fatalities declined for the third consecutive year (by 3.1% in 2008), but still reflect an increase of 173% in the past decade, from 266 in 1998 to 726 in 2008 (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved crashes decreased by 7.5% in 2008, following a slight decrease of 1.0% in 2007 (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- DUI arrests increased by 5.4% in 2008, following increases of 3.4% in 2007 and 9.4% in 2006 (see DUI Summary Statistics and Table 1).
- The DUI arrest rate rose by 5.0% in 2008, to a level almost the same as 1998 (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- 15.3% of all 2007 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 15.5% in 2006. 6.1% of 2007 DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, slightly lower than 6.3% in 2006 (see Table 19).
- Among 2008 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (45.5%) again constituted the largest racial/ethnic group, as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999). Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated percentage of California's adult population (32.8% in 2008). This is shown in Figure 3.
- The median (midpoint) age of an arrested DUI offender in 2008 was 30 years. Less than 1% of arrested DUI offenders were juveniles (under age 18). This is shown in Table 3a.
- Among convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2007, 73.6% were first offenders and 26.4% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 10

years). The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased considerably since 1989, when it stood at 37% (see Table 10).

- The median blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on Administrative Per Se (APS) forms, was 0.15% in 2007, same as last year, yet almost double the California illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 9a).
- 10.4% of 2007 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records, which is an increase from 9.4% in 2006 (see Table 8).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, in providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation, as well as the California Highway Patrol, Information Management Division, in providing annual had-been-drinking (HBD) crash data and documentation. The contributions of Douglas Rickard, Staff Services Analyst, in transforming mainframe computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as his general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. We also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Patrice Rogers, Research Program Specialist II, Henry T. Lai, Staff Programmer Analyst, Leonard A. Marowitz, Research Manager II, Alcohol/Drug Projects, and David J. DeYoung, Chief, Research and Development Branch.

### Report Authors:

Sladjana Oulad Daoud, Research Program Specialist I and  
Helen N. Tashima, Research Program Specialist II, Principal Investigators

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1998-2008 .....	i
HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2010 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	v
INTRODUCTION .....	1
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS.....	4
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS .....	12
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS .....	23
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS .....	33
DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES.....	36
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders from 1990-2007 .....	36
One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2007 .....	39
The Proportions of DUI Program Referrals, Enrollments, and Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2007.....	42
Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders.....	43
DUI PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS.....	48
DUI Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol-Reckless Driving .....	51
Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders .....	53
Results of the Evaluation of the 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders with BAC Levels Below 0.20% Versus 0.20% and Above .....	54
Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders with BAC Levels of 0.20% and Above.....	55
SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS .....	57
SECTION 6: CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL .....	61
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS.....	73
HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975.....	74
REFERENCES.....	88
GLOSSARY .....	92



**TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)**

## APPENDICIES

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
A ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - CHAPTER 450.....	95
B APPENDIX TABLES .....	97
B1 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY .....	97
B2 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE.....	112
B3 TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2007 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT .....	122
B4 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS.....	130
B5 DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS AND 3- AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAM DRIVERS.....	153

## LIST OF TABLES

1	DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2006-2008.....	8
2	2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST .....	9
3a	2008 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
3b	2008 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
3c	DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 1998-2008 .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
4	2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX.....	16
5	MATCHABLE 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX .....	17

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

### LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
6 ADJUSTED 2007 DUI CONVICTION RATES AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY .....	17
7 TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2007 DUI ARRESTS .....	18
8 ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2007 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY.....	20
9a 2007 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS .....	21
9b 2007 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21.....	22
10 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND REPORTED BAC LEVEL.....	22
11 2007 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS .....	25
12 2007 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS.....	26
13a ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI- INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2007 .....	37
13b 2007 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS.....	40
13c 2007 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS.....	41
14 COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED DUI PROGRAM REFERRALS, ENROLLMENTS, AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2007 .....	42
15 CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF THE FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 1994 DUI OFFENDERS.....	43

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)**

## LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
16a THE EFFECT OF DUI PROGRAM ON SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING .....	52
16b FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS .....	54
16c FIRST OFFENDER 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS WITH BAC LEVELS BELOW 0.20% VERSUS 0.20% AND ABOVE .....	55
16d FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS WITH BAC LEVELS 0.20% AND ABOVE .....	56
17 MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1998-2008.....	59
18 ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES .....	60
19 DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 1997-2007 .....	65
20 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL .....	66
21 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY LEVEL .....	66
22 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL .....	67
23 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 1997-2007 .....	68

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

### LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
24a 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX .....	68
24b 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED) .....	68
24c 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND TYPE OF CRASH.....	69
25a 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY SOBRIETY LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS .....	70
25b 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY SOBRIETY LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED) .....	70
26a 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS .....	71
26b 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED) .....	71
27 2007 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DRIVERS INVOLVED IN ALCOHOL-RELATED CRASHES .....	72

### LIST OF FIGURES

1 DUI management information system .....	2
2 DUI arrests 1998-2008.....	5
3 Percentage of 2008 DUI arrests and 2008 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2000 census) by race/ethnicity.....	7

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)****LIST OF FIGURES (continued)**

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
4	DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV and conviction rates, 1998-2008..... 14
5	Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity..... 16
6	Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2007)..... 24
7	Percentages of first and second DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within 1 year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2007). .... 36
8	Percentages of first and second DUI offenders involved in a crash within 1 year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2007)..... 38
9a	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction, and first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incidents (alcohol crashes, DUI convictions, APS suspensions and DUI FTAs). .... 44
9b	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions. .... 45
9c	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by sex ..... 46
9d	Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date)..... 46
9e	Length of time between DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI reoffense of 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2000 DUI drivers ..... 47
10a	Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for 2007-2008 (fiscal year) alcohol reckless drivers by type of sanction..... 51
10b	Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in year 2007) by length of DUI program ..... 53
11	Percentages of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1998-2008..... 63
12	Alcohol- and drug-involved total fatalities, 1998-2008..... 63




## INTRODUCTION

This report is the nineteenth Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to "establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted" of DUI in order to provide "accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics" to enhance "the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions." The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for crash data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and crash reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. In the earlier years of this report, these evaluations were accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related crashes and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions within offender group. In recent years as the sanctions became increasingly homogenous within each offender group, the evaluations (as mandated by law) became focused on available sanctions in selected groups. These evaluations are detailed in Section 4 on "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."

Figure 1 . DUI management information system.





It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small central valley court to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

## SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests.

Table 1: DUI Arrests by County and Annual Percentage Change from 2006-2008. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 2006-2008 and the percentage change from 2007-2008 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 2008 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 2008 DUI arrests by felony, juvenile, and misdemeanor arrest type, by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a and 3b: 2008 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a cross-tabulates age by sex and age by race/ethnicity of 2008 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Also, Table 3a shows the average (mean) age for 2008 arrestees. In addition to the mean, the median (midpoint) was reported to minimize the influence of data values that are not equally distributed. Table 3b shows the same data cross-tabulated by sex and age within race/ethnicity.

Table 3c: DUI Arrests Under Age 21, 1998-2008. Table 3c shows a breakdown of DUI arrests under 21, by age, from 1998 to 2008. It also shows the proportion of total DUI arrests under 21 for the state over the same time period.

Figure 2 displays the trend in DUI arrests from 1998 to 2008.

Figure 3 shows the percentage of 2008 DUI arrests and 2008 projected population by race/ethnicity.




Figure 2. DUI arrests 1998-2008.

Based on the data shown in Figures 2 and 3 and previously listed tables, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

#### Statewide Parameters:

- DUI arrests increased for the third consecutive year, by 5.4% in 2008, following an increase of 3.4% in 2007, and an increase of 9.4% in 2006 (see Table 1).
- Table 2 shows that the DUI arrest rate per 100 licensed drivers was 0.9 in 2008, unchanged from 2007, and up from 0.8 in 2000-2006. This represents a 50% reduction from the 1.8 rate in 1990.
- The percentage of DUI arrests that were felonies (involving bodily injury or death) decreased slightly from 3.0% in 2007 to 2.7% in 2008. Felony DUI arrests continue to constitute a relatively small percentage of all DUI arrests (see Table 2).

#### County Variation:

- 20.4% of all 2008 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Five counties (Los Angeles, San Diego, Orange, San Bernardino, and Riverside) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, accounting for 48.8% of all arrests (see Table 2).
- The 2008 county DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.3 to 2.7 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers (the statewide average rate is 0.9). Six counties had rates of 0.7 or below. These low arrest rate counties were San Francisco (0.3), Mariposa (0.6), Santa

Clara (0.6), Contra Costa (.07), Los Angeles (0.7), and San Mateo (0.7). Six counties had rates of 2.0 or higher—Glenn (2.7), Inyo (2.4), Tehama (2.4), Sierra (2.2), Trinity (2.2), and Tulare (2.0). This is shown in Table 2.

- Many counties showed an increase in DUI arrests in 2008. Among the larger counties, the greatest percentage increase occurred in San Diego (10.3%). Among smaller counties, the largest percentage increases in DUI arrests occurred in Trinity (31.1%), Merced (22.5%), and Yolo (20.4%). Counties showing the largest percentage declines in DUI arrests were Mariposa (-39.9%), San Benito (-26.2%), and Santa Cruz (-22.8%). This is shown in Table 1.

#### Demographic Characteristics:

- The median age of a DUI arrestee in 2008 was 30 years. Slightly more than half (52.2%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and almost three-quarters (74.3%) were age 40 or younger. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). 2.4% of all arrestees were over age 60 (see Table 3a).
- Among all DUI arrestees, the proportion of DUI arrests under age 18 decreased slightly from 0.8 in 2007 to 0.7 in 2008; note, however, that the proportion of DUI arrests under age 21 increased 12.6% in the 10 years from 1998 to 2008. This is shown in Table 3c.
- Males comprised 80.2% of all 2008 DUI arrests (see Table 3a). The proportion of females among DUI arrests has risen slightly each year this report has been produced, from 10.6% in 1989 to 19.8% in 2008.
- In 2008, Hispanics (45.5%) again represented the largest ethnic group among DUI arrestees as they have each year since 1992 (with the exception of 1999, when Whites were the largest group at 42.8%). Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 2008 population parity of 32.8% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Blacks were also slightly overrepresented among DUI arrestees (7.3% of arrests, 6.0% of the population), while other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 2008 population parity. These underrepresented groups were Whites (39.7% of arrests, 46.2% of the population),

and "Other" (7.5% of arrests, 14.9% of the population). This is shown in Table 3a and Figure 3.

- Among male 2008 DUI arrestees, 50.2% were Hispanic, 35.4% were White, 7.1% were Black, and 7.3% were "Other." Among female DUI arrestees, 57.1% were White, 26.4% were Hispanic, 8.0% were Black, and 8.4% were "Other." The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders is clearly limited to males (see Table 3b).
- In some counties where the population of Hispanics is high, the DUI arrest rate is also high. For example, in the following seven counties, Hispanics comprised 60% or more of those arrested for DUI during 2008: Tulare (75.9%), Imperial (72.7%), Merced (66.2%), Fresno (65.3%), Kings (63.8%), Monterey (63.5%), and Madera (62.9%). However, in most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White (see Appendix Table B1).
- The median age of a DUI arrestee varied considerably by race: Blacks were the oldest with a median age of 33.0 years, while "Other" were the youngest, with a median age of 28.0 years (see Table 3a).




Figure 3. Percentage of 2008 DUI arrests and 2008 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2000 census) by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS\* BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2006-2008

COUNTY	2006	2007	2008	% CHANGE 2007-2008
STATEWIDE	197248	203866	214811	5.4
ALAMEDA	7253	7518	8203	9.1
ALPINE	22	19	20	5.3
AMADOR	352	345	324	-6.1
BUTTE	1647	1938	2208	13.9
CALAVERAS	319	360	380	5.6
COLUSA	268	283	228	-19.4
CONTRA COSTA	4004	4314	4775	10.7
DEL NORTE	272	308	268	-13.0
EL DORADO	1411	1235	1343	8.7
FRESNO	7826	7713	7751	0.5
GLENN	512	539	498	-7.6
HUMBOLDT	1164	1475	1424	-3.5
IMPERIAL	1371	1401	1406	0.4
INYO	334	295	350	18.6
KERN	5232	5606	5890	5.1
KINGS	1297	1106	1218	10.1
LAKE	535	517	571	10.4
LASSEN	262	217	197	-9.2
LOS ANGELES	39518	41286	43867	6.3
MADERA	1104	1043	1171	12.3
MARIN	1583	1633	1609	-1.5
MARIPOSA	161	153	92	-39.9
MENDOCINO	1087	1019	1027	0.8
MERCED	1988	2046	2506	22.5
MODOC	98	93	99	6.5
MONO	149	167	142	-15.0
MONTEREY	3052	3046	3219	5.7
NAPA	1056	1127	990	-12.2
NEVADA	758	791	773	-2.3
ORANGE	16012	16492	17575	6.6
PLACER	2351	2257	2428	7.6
PLUMAS	262	274	294	7.3
RIVERSIDE	9896	10252	10872	6.0
SACRAMENTO	7818	8014	8586	7.1
SAN BENITO	396	423	312	-26.2
SAN BERNARDINO	12233	13586	13984	2.9
SAN DIEGO	18101	16848	18588	10.3
SAN FRANCISCO	1336	1405	1483	5.6
SAN JOAQUIN	4436	4168	4496	7.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2549	2432	2504	3.0
SAN MATEO	3542	3447	3541	2.7
SANTA BARBARA	2665	2784	3065	10.1
SANTA CLARA	6697	6968	7484	7.4
SANTA CRUZ	1739	1920	1482	-22.8
SHASTA	1276	1796	1699	-5.4
SIERRA	80	68	60	-11.8
SISKIYOU	447	475	503	5.9
SOLANO	1916	2176	2104	-3.3
SONOMA	3384	3455	3622	4.8
STANISLAUS	2846	3316	3342	0.8
SUTTER	584	583	645	10.6
TEHAMA	748	935	991	6.0
TRINITY	190	180	236	31.1
TULARE	3476	4115	4385	6.6
TUOLUMNE	463	524	516	-1.5
VENTURA	5196	5410	5265	-2.7
YOLO	1293	1221	1470	20.4
YUBA	681	749	730	-2.5

\*DOJ DUI arrest totals with boat DUI (N = 233) removed.

TABLE 2: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL		TYPE OF ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS
			FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
STATEWIDE	214811	100.0	5905	2.7	1494	0.7	207412	96.6	0.9
ALAMEDA	8203	3.8	115	1.4	39	0.5	8049	98.1	0.8
ALPINE	20	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	100.0	1.9
AMADOR	324	0.2	7	2.2	1	0.3	316	97.5	1.1
BUTTE	2208	1.0	54	2.4	22	1.0	2132	96.6	1.4
CALAVERAS	380	0.2	17	4.5	1	0.3	362	95.3	1.0
COLUSA	228	0.1	3	1.3	0	0.0	225	98.7	1.7
CONTRA COSTA	4775	2.2	110	2.3	39	0.8	4626	96.9	0.7
DEL NORTE	268	0.1	11	4.1	2	0.7	255	95.1	1.5
EL DORADO	1343	0.6	38	2.8	15	1.1	1290	96.1	1.0
FRESNO	7751	3.6	218	2.8	65	0.8	7468	96.3	1.5
GLENN	498	0.2	9	1.8	3	0.6	486	97.6	2.7
HUMBOLDT	1424	0.7	38	2.7	5	0.4	1381	97.0	1.5
IMPERIAL	1406	0.7	35	2.5	21	1.5	1350	96.0	1.4
INYO	350	0.2	6	1.7	0	0.0	344	98.3	2.4
KERN	5890	2.7	179	3.0	53	0.9	5658	96.1	1.3
KINGS	1218	0.6	17	1.4	12	1.0	1189	97.6	1.7
LAKE	571	0.3	14	2.5	7	1.2	550	96.3	1.2
LASSEN	197	0.1	6	3.0	2	1.0	189	95.9	1.0
LOS ANGELES	43867	20.4	1658	3.8	136	0.3	42073	95.9	0.7
MADERA	1171	0.5	28	2.4	13	1.1	1130	96.5	1.5
MARIN	1609	0.7	23	1.4	12	0.7	1574	97.8	0.9
MARIPOSA	92	0.0	5	5.4	0	0.0	87	94.6	0.6
MENDOCINO	1027	0.5	24	2.3	10	1.0	993	96.7	1.6
MERCED	2506	1.2	49	2.0	24	1.0	2433	97.1	1.8
MODOC	99	0.0	3	3.0	4	4.0	92	92.9	1.5
MONO	142	0.1	1	0.7	1	0.7	140	98.6	1.5
MONTEREY	3219	1.5	74	2.3	19	0.6	3126	97.1	1.4
NAPA	990	0.5	18	1.8	7	0.7	965	97.5	1.1
NEVADA	773	0.4	20	2.6	3	0.4	750	97.0	1.0
ORANGE	17575	8.2	274	1.6	137	0.8	17164	97.7	0.9
PLACER	2428	1.1	67	2.8	25	1.0	2336	96.2	1.0
PLUMAS	294	0.1	6	2.0	4	1.4	284	96.6	1.7
RIVERSIDE	10872	5.1	229	2.1	74	0.7	10569	97.2	0.9
SACRAMENTO	8586	4.0	301	3.5	39	0.5	8246	96.0	0.9
SAN BENITO	312	0.1	12	3.8	4	1.3	296	94.9	0.9
SAN BERNARDINO	13984	6.5	376	2.7	98	0.7	13510	96.6	1.2
SAN DIEGO	18588	8.7	472	2.5	141	0.8	17975	96.7	0.9
SAN FRANCISCO	1483	0.7	96	6.5	1	0.1	1386	93.5	0.3
SAN JOAQUIN	4496	2.1	103	2.3	35	0.8	4358	96.9	1.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2504	1.2	53	2.1	29	1.2	2422	96.7	1.3
SAN MATEO	3541	1.6	55	1.6	29	0.8	3457	97.6	0.7
SANTA BARBARA	3065	1.4	102	3.3	26	0.8	2937	95.8	1.1
SANTA CLARA	7484	3.5	258	3.4	66	0.9	7160	95.7	0.6
SANTA CRUZ	1482	0.7	44	3.0	18	1.2	1420	95.8	0.8
SHASTA	1699	0.8	46	2.7	19	1.1	1634	96.2	1.2
SIERRA	60	0.0	1	1.7	0	0.0	59	98.3	2.2
SISKIYOU	503	0.2	13	2.6	6	1.2	484	96.2	1.4
SOLANO	2104	1.0	59	2.8	24	1.1	2021	96.1	0.8
SONOMA	3622	1.7	55	1.5	27	0.7	3540	97.7	1.1
STANISLAUS	3342	1.6	100	3.0	34	1.0	3208	96.0	1.1
SUTTER	645	0.3	21	3.3	5	0.8	619	96.0	1.1
TEHAMA	991	0.5	31	3.1	4	0.4	956	96.5	2.4
TRINITY	236	0.1	7	3.0	1	0.4	228	96.6	2.2
TULARE	4385	2.0	128	2.9	58	1.3	4199	95.8	2.0
TUOLUMNE	516	0.2	17	3.3	6	1.2	493	95.5	1.2
VENTURA	5265	2.5	148	2.8	49	0.9	5068	96.3	1.0
YOLO	1470	0.7	29	2.0	17	1.2	1424	96.9	1.2
YUBA	730	0.3	22	3.0	2	0.3	706	96.7	1.6

TABLE 3a: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX, AND RACE/ETHNICITY\*

AGE	TOTAL		SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	214811	100.0	172220	80.2	42591	19.8	85305	39.7	97709	45.5	15678	7.3	16119	7.5
UNDER 18	1494	0.7	1133	75.8	361	24.2	759	50.8	606	40.6	37	2.5	92	6.2
18-20	17558	8.2	13948	79.4	3610	20.6	7093	40.4	8332	47.5	799	4.6	1334	7.6
21-30	92936	43.3	74317	80.0	18619	20.0	32854	35.4	46403	49.9	5705	6.1	7974	8.6
31-40	47491	22.1	39027	82.2	8464	17.8	15751	33.2	24246	51.1	4001	8.4	3493	7.4
41-50	34124	15.9	26682	78.2	7442	21.8	16287	47.7	12684	37.2	3182	9.3	1971	5.8
51-60	16112	7.5	12855	79.8	3257	20.2	9210	57.2	4371	27.1	1553	9.6	978	6.1
61-70	4199	2.0	3505	83.5	694	16.5	2715	64.7	903	21.5	346	8.2	235	5.6
71 & ABOVE	897	0.4	753	83.9	144	16.1	636	70.9	164	18.3	55	6.1	42	4.7
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.1		33.2		33.0		35.0		31.3		35.6		31.6	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	30.0		30.0		29.0		32.0		29.0		33.0		28.0	

\*Tabulations for DUI arrests by age, sex, race/ethnicity and county are found in Appendix Table B1.

TABLE 3b: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

SEX	AGE	TOTAL			RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
					WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		214811	100.0		85305	39.7	97709	45.5	15678	7.3	16119	7.5
MALE	UNDER 18	1133	0.7		506	44.7	523	46.2	35	3.1	69	6.1
	18-20	13948	8.1		5066	36.3	7239	51.9	644	4.6	999	7.2
	21-30	74317	43.2		23350	31.4	40645	54.7	4356	5.9	5966	8.0
	31-40	39027	22.7		11284	28.9	21799	55.9	3107	8.0	2837	7.3
	41-50	26682	15.5		11311	42.4	11294	42.3	2493	9.3	1584	5.9
	51-60	12855	7.5		6797	52.9	3955	30.8	1263	9.8	840	6.5
	61-70	3505	2.0		2141	61.1	840	24.0	306	8.7	218	6.2
	71 & ABOVE	753	0.4		515	68.4	153	20.3	53	7.0	32	4.2
	TOTAL	172220	100.0		60970	35.4	86448	50.2	12257	7.1	12545	7.3
	UNDER 18	361	0.8		253	70.1	83	23.0	2	0.6	23	6.4
FEMALE	18-20	3610	8.5		2027	56.1	1093	30.3	155	4.3	335	9.3
	21-30	18619	43.7		9504	51.0	5758	30.9	1349	7.2	2008	10.8
	31-40	8464	19.9		4467	52.8	2447	28.9	894	10.6	656	7.8
	41-50	7442	17.5		4976	66.9	1390	18.7	689	9.3	387	5.2
	51-60	3257	7.6		2413	74.1	416	12.8	290	8.9	138	4.2
	61-70	694	1.6		574	82.7	63	9.1	40	5.8	17	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	144	0.3		121	84.0	11	7.6	2	1.4	10	6.9
	TOTAL	42591	100.0		24335	57.1	11261	26.4	3421	8.0	3574	8.4



TABLE 3c: DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 1998-2008

AGE		1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
TOTAL (ALL AGES)	<i>N</i>	188327	188523	181336	176490	177056	183560	180957	180288	197248	203866	214811
UNDER 18	<i>N</i>	1761	1741	1527	1645	1557	1576	1488	1436	1697	1635	1494
	%	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.7
18-20	<i>N</i>	13093	13875	14145	14075	14410	14612	14672	14617	16837	17201	17558
	%	7.0	7.4	7.8	8.0	8.1	8.0	8.1	8.1	8.5	8.4	8.2
UNDER 21	<i>N</i>	14854	15616	15672	15720	15967	16188	16160	16053	18534	18836	19052
	%	7.9	8.3	8.6	8.9	9.0	8.8	8.9	8.9	9.4	9.2	8.9

## SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Although the DUI arrest data reported earlier are based on arrests that occurred in 2008, the DUI conviction data are based on convictions of DUI offenders arrested in 2007, in order to allow sufficient time for courts to report convictions to DMV. The following tables compile and cross tabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. Beginning with the 2007 DUI-MIS report, the median was calculated and reported to describe certain characteristics of the conviction data, in addition to the mean, to minimize the influence of data values that are not symmetrically distributed. In what follows, expressions like “2007 convictions” refer to DUI offenders arrested in 2007, and subsequently convicted.

Table 4: 2007 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table cross tabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: Matchable 2007 DUI Convictions by Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sex. This table displays DUI conviction information by age, race/ethnicity, and sex. "Matchable" DUI convictions are those which are traceable to a DUI arrest appearing on the MACR system. Because not all arrests could be matched to an existing record, these conviction totals underestimate the total number of actual convictions.

Table 6: Adjusted 2007 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction by Age and Race/Ethnicity. This table shows the relative probability of a DUI arrest leading to a DUI conviction by age and race/ethnicity. DUI conviction rates for each age and race/ethnicity group in this table were proportionally adjusted to the overall conviction rate to avoid the underestimate that would result from the “matchable DUI convictions” data reported in Table 5 (not all reported convictions are "matchable" to an arrest).

Table 7: Total Conviction Data for 2007 DUI Arrests. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Corresponding court-specific data are shown in Appendix Table B3. Convictions not reported to DMV are considered nonconvictions for the purposes of this report. Actual nonconvictions include cases where the DUI arrest was not filed, not


prosecuted, or resulted in a not-guilty verdict. The DUI conviction rates by county were not calculated for this report due to recently discovered and still unresolved data reporting problems. Conviction variables include felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions, alcohol- and nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions, convictions of "other" lesser offenses, and DUI convictions dismissed or found unconstitutional. DUI arrest dates from the DOJ MACR system were matched to driver record violation dates to identify nonalcohol-related reckless driving and "other" convictions. The median adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, were calculated for each county.

Table 8: Adjudication Status of 2007 DUI Arrests by County. For this year's report, this table only shows the adjudication status (court disposition) of 2007 DUI arrests statewide. Included are the percentages of arrests which resulted in DUI convictions (misdemeanor or felony), reckless driving convictions (alcohol-related or nonalcohol-related), convictions of "other" offenses, or no reported conviction, as of the date of writing. Again, because of recently discovered data reporting problems, the information on the adjudication status of 2007 DUI arrests by county is not available this year.

Table 9a: 2007 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI Convictions and Table 9b: 2007 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21. Table 9a shows the frequency of reported positive BAC levels for DUI and alcohol-reckless convictions. Because the forms on which APS actions are reported more completely report BAC levels (83.3%) than do abstracts of conviction, APS forms are used to calculate statewide BAC levels. Table 9b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21.

Table 10: 2007 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Reported BAC Level. This table displays the proportions of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in 10 years as defined by SB 1694, Torlakson, effective 1/1/2005), with the average (mean) and median BAC level from APS reporting forms and abstracts of conviction, for each offense level.

Figure 4 (opposite) shows, for the years 1998 to 2008, the number of DUI abstracts of conviction received to date by DMV from the courts, and conviction rates based on the data received as of September 2009.



Percent convicted of DUI as of Sept. 2009 (conviction rate)	77%	80%	80%	80%	79%	79%	80%	81%	81%	79%	75%
---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Figure 4. DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV and conviction rates, 1998-2008.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters:

- 78.8% of 2007 DUI arrests resulted in convictions of DUI offenses (see Table 7).
- As of January 1, 2005, DUI convictions remain on the driving record for 10 years. Therefore, based on the DUI conviction data for the arrests over 10 years (1998-2007), 5.3% of California drivers have one or more DUI convictions on their record.
- 9.2% of 2007 DUI arrests resulted in reckless driving convictions, and 14.0% (1.3%/9.2%) of these were nonalcohol-related reckless violations (see Table 8).
- 1.7% of 2007 DUI arrests resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, which is slightly lower than the 1.8% reported last year (see Table 8).
- 10.4% of 2007 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction on DMV's records, up slightly from 9.4% last year, and down from 16.3% in 1995 (see Table 8). As additional cases are adjudicated and reported by the courts, this figure will decrease slightly.

- The average reported BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2007, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.16% (median BAC level was 0.15%), which is the same as last year, yet still double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 9a).
- Average and median BAC levels increase as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions. The average BAC level increases from a 0.16% BAC for a first offense to a 0.19% BAC for a fourth-or-subsequent offense (when the median is reported, BAC level increases from a 0.15% BAC for a first offense to a 0.19% BAC for a fourth-or-subsequent offense). This is shown in Table 10.
- Among 2007 DUI arrestees subsequently convicted, 73.6% were first offenders, 19.8% were second offenders, 4.9% were third offenders, and 1.7% were on their fourth-or-more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California has traditionally been 7 years, although that period was changed to 10 years by SB 1694, Torlakson, effective 1/1/2005.) The proportion of all convicted DUI offenders that are repeat offenders (26.4%), shown in Table 10, has increased ever since the counting period for priors changed from 7 to 10 years.
- The median adjudication time lags were 81 days from DUI arrest to conviction and 9 days from conviction to update on the DMV database, totaling about 3 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record. This total elapsed time from arrest to update appears substantially shorter in the last four annual reports, ever since elapsed time for conviction data reported here was calculated using the median instead of the mean (see Table 7).

#### Demographic Characteristics:

- The median age of a convicted DUI offender in 2007 was 30.0 years (see Table 4).
- 51.9% of 2007 DUI convictees were 30 years of age or younger and 74.2% were 40 years or younger (see Table 4).
- Females comprised 19.0% of convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2007 (see Table 4). The proportion of females among convicted DUI offenders has risen slightly each year since 1994.
- The racial/ethnic distribution of 2007 DUI convictions (White = 42.2%; Hispanic = 43.9%; Black = 6.8%; "Other" = 7.0%, see Table 5) generally paralleled that of 2007 arrests, although Whites were somewhat more likely than other racial/ethnic groups to be convicted of the offense (as shown in Figure 5 and Table 6 below).




Figure 5. Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity. (Adjusted conviction rate of ethnicity ÷ overall conviction rate.)

TABLE 4: 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX\*

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	160591	100.0	130047	81.0	30544	19.0
UNDER 18	768	0.5	621	80.9	147	19.1
18-20	12838	8.0	10374	80.8	2464	19.2
21-30	69762	43.4	56584	81.1	13178	18.9
31-40	35736	22.3	29582	82.8	6154	17.2
41-50	26318	16.4	20489	77.9	5829	22.1
51-60	11704	7.3	9520	81.3	2184	18.7
61-70	2857	1.8	2366	82.8	491	17.2
71 & ABOVE	608	0.4	511	84.0	97	16.0
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	33.1		33.1		33.3	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	30.0		30.0		30.0	

\*County-specific tabulations of 2007 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 5: MATCHABLE 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY, AND SEX\*

AGE	RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)																
	TOTAL			WHITE				HISPANIC				BLACK				OTHER	
				MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE		FEMALE		MALE	
	N	%		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	137345	100.0		41778	30.4	16204	11.8	53586	39.0	6717	4.9	7417	5.4	1974	1.4	7573	5.5
UNDER 18	687	0.5		290	42.2	125	18.2	210	30.6	25	3.6	11	1.6	4	0.6	19	2.8
18-20	11177	8.1		3526	31.5	1319	11.8	4513	40.4	598	5.4	347	3.1	87	0.8	604	5.4
21-30	59959	43.7		16557	27.6	6448	10.8	25323	42.2	3471	5.8	2649	4.4	789	1.3	3530	5.9
31-40	29829	21.7		7707	25.8	3039	10.2	13226	44.3	1408	4.7	1890	6.3	498	1.7	1654	5.5
41-50	22738	16.6		7773	34.2	3468	15.3	7298	32.1	919	4.0	1562	6.9	428	1.9	1068	4.7
51-60	9971	7.3		4454	44.7	1387	13.9	2422	24.3	240	2.4	710	7.1	139	1.4	540	5.4
61-70	2452	1.8		1181	48.2	352	14.4	496	20.2	48	2.0	200	8.2	26	1.1	142	5.8
71 & ABOVE	532	0.4		290	54.5	66	12.4	98	18.4	8	1.5	48	9.0	3	0.6	16	3.0

\*Not all convictions are matchable to an existing record, so these counts underestimate the total number of convictions.

TABLE 6: ADJUSTED 2007 DUI CONVICTION RATES<sup>1</sup> AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD<sup>2</sup> OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL			RACE/ETHNICITY							
	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER		
			ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	
STATEWIDE	0.79	1.00	0.83	1.06	0.75	0.96	0.75	0.95	0.79	1.00	
UNDER 18	0.49	0.62	0.52	0.66	0.47	0.60	0.39	0.49	0.32	0.41	
18-20	0.76	0.96	0.81	1.03	0.73	0.92	0.66	0.84	0.77	0.98	
21-30	0.79	1.00	0.86	1.09	0.75	0.95	0.75	0.95	0.79	1.00	
31-40	0.78	0.99	0.83	1.05	0.75	0.95	0.76	0.96	0.78	0.99	
41-50	0.81	1.03	0.83	1.06	0.79	1.01	0.76	0.96	0.82	1.04	
51-60	0.81	1.03	0.81	1.03	0.82	1.04	0.77	0.98	0.83	1.05	
61-70	0.79	1.01	0.78	0.99	0.82	1.04	0.81	1.03	0.81	1.03	
71 & ABOVE	0.76	0.97	0.74	0.94	0.85	1.08	0.81	1.02	0.63	0.81	

<sup>1</sup>Adjusted DUI Conviction Rates = The matchable DUI conviction rate proportionally adjusted to the overall DUI conviction rate.<sup>2</sup>Relative Likelihood = Adjusted DUI Conviction Rate/Overall Total DUI Conviction Rate.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2007 DUI ARRESTS<sup>1</sup>

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE <sup>2</sup>	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI <sup>3</sup>	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED <sup>4</sup>	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS) <sup>5</sup>	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	78.8	155867	4724	16085	2574	3488	1926	81.0	9.0
ALAMEDA	—	5575	49	858	107	156	143	107.0	6.0
ALPINE	—	15	1	4	0	0	0	66.0	9.0
AMADOR	—	290	9	31	11	3	3	61.0	8.0
BUTTE	—	1351	55	317	64	27	52	72.0	28.0
CALAVERAS	—	215	13	57	19	13	5	62.0	13.0
COLUSA	—	189	7	36	14	5	8	73.0	9.0
CONTRA COSTA	—	3466	101	463	5	64	65	128.0	8.0
DEL NORTE	—	149	7	58	5	13	6	76.0	3.0
EL DORADO	—	881	40	189	19	14	12	97.0	8.0
FRESNO	—	5067	334	880	56	77	54	104.0	2.0
GLENN	—	334	5	57	14	17	2	60.0	15.0
HUMBOLDT	—	800	26	224	57	36	1	90.0	13.0
IMPERIAL	—	829	8	18	80	18	55	129.0	125.0
INYO	—	189	5	54	0	6	0	65.0	4.0
KERN	—	4300	154	489	116	66	66	34.0	14.0
KINGS	—	837	33	122	15	7	7	89.0	0.0
LAKE	—	380	13	28	20	9	175	104.0	28.0
LASSEN	—	178	1	10	9	3	3	92.0	24.0
LOS ANGELES	—	29690	492	2839	136	1481	99	75.0	10.0
MADERA	—	616	31	110	14	15	16	281.0	24.0
MARIN	—	1431	33	0	0	37	10	71.0	31.0
MARIPOSA	—	96	5	21	8	1	7	72.0	13.0
MENDOCINO	—	742	21	194	20	16	18	51.0	89.0
MERCED	—	1291	33	160	36	35	34	155.0	58.0
MODOC	—	72	1	1	4	2	7	69.0	17.0
MONO	—	139	2	16	5	0	1	59.0	23.0
MONTEREY	—	2416	53	273	86	44	22	54.0	28.0

<sup>1</sup>Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.<sup>2</sup>The information on DUI conviction rates by county is not available in this report due to recently discovered and still unresolved DUI data reporting problems.<sup>3</sup>This count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in ten years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.<sup>4</sup>These dismissals were identified in DMV's DUI Audit and Tracking System Summary Report.<sup>5</sup>Adjudication time is presented using median values (in days) for 2007 data.



TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2007 DUI ARRESTS<sup>1</sup> - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE <sup>2</sup>	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI <sup>3</sup>	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED <sup>4</sup>	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS) <sup>5</sup>	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
NAPA	—	913	52	121	4	8	11	66.0	3.0
NEVADA	—	636	25	129	15	8	16	58.0	32.0
ORANGE	—	14076	426	810	82	129	75	96.0	0.0
PLACER	—	2012	86	204	15	18	21	86.0	13.0
PLUMAS	—	206	4	43	8	4	1	52.0	8.0
RIVERSIDE	—	7929	210	140	285	62	82	79.0	2.0
SACRAMENTO	—	6490	308	598	10	106	13	60.0	18.0
SAN BENITO	—	365	17	47	2	7	8	137.0	22.0
SAN BERNARDINO	—	9598	268	478	305	201	108	136.0	3.0
SAN DIEGO	—	14082	525	1569	319	117	203	74.0	9.0
SAN FRANCISCO	—	1056	45	107	109	18	75	106.0	4.0
SAN JOAQUIN	—	3181	105	408	38	70	53	43.0	7.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	—	1903	83	342	42	57	12	45.0	14.0
SAN MATEO	—	2689	53	461	14	62	59	87.0	11.0
SANTA BARBARA	—	2408	115	271	93	38	11	46.0	14.0
SANTA CLARA	—	6002	220	534	89	78	2	73.0	5.0
SANTA CRUZ	—	1542	31	232	26	25	19	61.0	15.0
SHASTA	—	1506	70	207	24	22	19	54.0	14.0
SIERRA	—	23	1	6	1	1	0	88.0	41.0
SISKIYOU	—	335	22	54	5	5	6	96.0	9.0
SOLANO	—	1692	48	320	43	32	30	111.0	39.0
SONOMA	—	2827	135	542	24	30	54	62.0	8.0
STANISLAUS	—	2466	73	332	43	29	21	60.0	66.0
SUTTER	—	433	28	117	5	10	1	62.0	42.0
TEHAMA	—	424	20	83	10	5	9	48.0	12.0
TRINITY	—	79	3	17	0	2	3	65.0	4.0
TULARE	—	2958	62	104	7	91	45	68.0	46.0
TUOLUMNE	—	436	14	89	3	1	10	54.0	8.0
VENTURA	—	4658	68	0	2	73	68	86.0	1.0
YOLO	—	974	58	123	28	11	14	78.0	29.0
YUBA	—	430	17	88	3	3	6	78.0	41.0

TABLE 8: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2007 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY<sup>1</sup>

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION <sup>2</sup>
	% MISDEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL RELATED	% NONALCOHOL RELATED		
STATEWIDE	76.5	2.3	7.9	1.3	1.7	10.4
ALAMEDA	—	—	—	—	—	—
ALPINE	—	—	—	—	—	—
AMADOR	—	—	—	—	—	—
BUTTE	—	—	—	—	—	—
CALAVERAS	—	—	—	—	—	—
COLUSA	—	—	—	—	—	—
CONTRA COSTA	—	—	—	—	—	—
DEL NORTE	—	—	—	—	—	—
EL DORADO	—	—	—	—	—	—
FRESNO	—	—	—	—	—	—
GLENN	—	—	—	—	—	—
HUMBOLDT	—	—	—	—	—	—
IMPERIAL	—	—	—	—	—	—
INYO	—	—	—	—	—	—
KERN	—	—	—	—	—	—
KINGS	—	—	—	—	—	—
LAKE	—	—	—	—	—	—
LASSEN	—	—	—	—	—	—
LOS ANGELES	—	—	—	—	—	—
MADERA	—	—	—	—	—	—
MARIN	—	—	—	—	—	—
MARIPOSA	—	—	—	—	—	—
MENDOCINO	—	—	—	—	—	—
MERCED	—	—	—	—	—	—
MODOC	—	—	—	—	—	—
MONO	—	—	—	—	—	—
MONTEREY	—	—	—	—	—	—
NAPA	—	—	—	—	—	—
NEVADA	—	—	—	—	—	—
ORANGE	—	—	—	—	—	—
PLACER	—	—	—	—	—	—
PLUMAS	—	—	—	—	—	—
RIVERSIDE	—	—	—	—	—	—
SACRAMENTO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN BENITO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN BERNARDINO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN DIEGO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN FRANCISCO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN JOAQUIN	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN LUIS OBISPO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SAN MATEO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SANTA BARBARA	—	—	—	—	—	—
SANTA CLARA	—	—	—	—	—	—
SANTA CRUZ	—	—	—	—	—	—
SHASTA	—	—	—	—	—	—
SIERRA	—	—	—	—	—	—
SISKIYOU	—	—	—	—	—	—
SOLANO	—	—	—	—	—	—
SONOMA	—	—	—	—	—	—
STANISLAUS	—	—	—	—	—	—
SUTTER	—	—	—	—	—	—
TEHAMA	—	—	—	—	—	—
TRINITY	—	—	—	—	—	—
TULARE	—	—	—	—	—	—
TUOLUMNE	—	—	—	—	—	—
VENTURA	—	—	—	—	—	—
YOLO	—	—	—	—	—	—
YUBA	—	—	—	—	—	—

<sup>1</sup>The information on adjudication status by county is not available in this report due to recently discovered and still unresolved DUI data reporting problems.

<sup>2</sup>These include failure-to-appear (FTA) notices; the statewide average is 4.4%.

TABLE 9a: 2007 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS<sup>1</sup>

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	1733	1.3	.00	407	3.2
.01	89	0.1	.01	20	0.2
.02	90	0.1	.02	20	0.2
.03	86	0.1	.03	23	0.2
.04	140	0.1	.04	57	0.5
.05	479	0.4	.05	111	0.9
.06	675	0.5	.06	324	2.6
.07	981	0.7	.07	972	7.7
.08	2736	2.0	.08	3175	25.0
.09	4685	3.5	.09	3471	27.4
.10	7613	5.7	.10	1891	14.9
.11	9324	7.0	.11	863	6.8
.12	9942	7.4	.12	410	3.2
.13	10276	7.7	.13	264	2.1
.14	10205	7.6	.14	160	1.3
.15	9923	7.4	.15	120	1.0
.16	9583	7.2	.16	80	0.6
.17	8736	6.5	.17	55	0.4
.18	7880	5.9	.18	50	0.4
.19	6974	5.2	.19	50	0.4
.20	6229	4.7	.20	32	0.3
.21	5191	3.9	.21	31	0.2
.22	4339	3.2	.22	24	0.2
.23	3348	2.5	.23	13	0.1
.24	2763	2.1	.24	19	0.2
.25	2213	1.7	.25	20	0.2
.26	1724	1.3	.26	9	0.1
.27	1347	1.0	.27	6	0.1
.28	1032	0.8	.28	3	0.0
.29	835	0.6	.29	1	0.0
.30	586	0.4	.30	2	0.0
.31	502	0.4	.33	1	0.0
.32	385	0.3	.34	1	0.0
.33	276	0.2	.38	1	0.0
.34	241	0.2			
.35	156	0.1			
.36	146	0.1			
.37	99	0.1			
.38	92	0.1			
.39	38	0.0			
.40	64	0.1			
.41	22	0.0			
.42	24	0.0			
.43	11	0.0			
.44	12	0.0			
.45	7	0.0			
.46	3	0.0			
.47	7	0.0			
.48	2	0.0			
.49	1	0.0			
.50	2	0.0			
.51	3	0.0			
.59	1	0.0			
TOTAL	133851	100.0	TOTAL	12686	100.0
	MEAN <sup>2</sup> BAC .16			MEAN <sup>2</sup> BAC .09	
	MEDIAN <sup>2</sup> BAC .15			MEDIAN <sup>2</sup> BAC .09	

<sup>1</sup>The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form, which replaced the abstract of conviction used in earlier reports. This change in data source was made because of the more complete BAC reporting on APS forms (83.3% of total).<sup>2</sup>The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be DUI drug convictions.

TABLE 9b: 2007 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS  
OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21<sup>1</sup>

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	211	1.8	.21	301	2.6
.01	26	0.2	.22	213	1.9
.02	27	0.2	.23	136	1.2
.03	24	0.2	.24	91	0.8
.04	56	0.5	.25	76	0.7
.05	355	3.1	.26	39	0.3
.06	454	4.0	.27	39	0.3
.07	458	4.0	.28	20	0.2
.08	499	4.3	.29	14	0.1
.09	595	5.2	.30	9	0.1
.10	792	6.9	.31	2	0.0
.11	877	7.6	.33	2	0.0
.12	908	7.9	.34	1	0.0
.13	908	7.9	.37	1	0.0
.14	886	7.7	.59	1	0.0
.15	765	6.7			
.16	821	7.1			
.17	612	5.3			
.18	555	4.8			
.19	385	3.4			
.20	346	3.0			
			TOTAL	11505	100.0
				MEAN <sup>2</sup> BAC .13	
				MEDIAN <sup>2</sup> BAC .13	

<sup>1</sup> The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for arrested DUI offenders. The percentage of BAC levels found on these forms for 2007 convicted under age 21 cases is 84.6%.

<sup>2</sup> The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be DUI drug convictions.

TABLE 10: 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND  
REPORTED BAC LEVEL

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)	MEDIAN BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)
STATEWIDE	100.0	.16	.15
1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	73.6	.16	.15
2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	19.8	.17	.16
3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	4.9	.18	.18
4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	1.7	.19	.19

### SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were obtained from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 2007. The counts of postconviction court license actions are no longer included in this section due to a law change on September 20, 2005 (SB 1697, Torlakson), which gave DMV sole responsibility for imposing postconviction license actions on DUI offenders. Since courts no longer have the authority to impose license actions on DUI offenders, the count of court license restrictions and suspensions, based on abstracts of DUI convictions, decreased dramatically. Therefore, beginning with the last year's report, this section (Tables 11, 12, and Appendix Table B4) no longer includes information on postconviction license actions. Instead, the Administrative Action Section (Section 5) provides information on both APS license suspensions and revocations, and postconviction license actions. This section includes the following tables:


Table 11: 2007 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of DUI offenders sentenced to probation, jail, DUI programs (first-offender, 18-month, and 30-month DUI programs), and ignition interlock. Cross tabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 12: 2007 DUI Court Sanctions by County and Offender Status. This table displays the distribution of court sanctions by county for all DUI offenders.

From the data in these tables and those in Appendix B4, it is evident that the use of alternative sanctions prescribed for offenders arrested in 2007 continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status. For example:

#### Statewide Parameters:

- The court sanction most frequently applied to all convicted DUI offenders was probation (95.8%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (5.3%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 73.4% of the cases (in many jurisdictions, however, jail is often served as community service rather than actual jail time). This is shown in Table 11, and graphically in Figure 6 (next page). Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to much more than 100%.



*Note:* License restriction and suspension sanctions are no longer shown on this figure; they are completely imposed by DMV and not the courts (as of 9/20/2005).

Figure 6. Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (2007).

#### County Variation:

- The use of DUI programs among first DUI offenders varies by county, from 90% or more in 12 counties to 35.3% in Modoc County (see Table 12).

#### Court Variation:

- Statewide, courts vary significantly in how they use available sanctions for DUI offenders. In Los Angeles County alone, one court (Lancaster) assigned jail to 96.0% of all convicted DUI offenders ( $n = 1,345$ ), while another court (Malibu) in the same county assigned jail to only 19.6% of all convicted DUI offenders ( $n = 265$ ). This is shown in Table B4 in the Appendix.
- 0.2% of all DUI offenders arrested in 2007 were referred to 30-month DUI programs (see Table 11). Assignment of DUI offenders (mostly third-or-more) to 30-month programs was low, as there are very few counties that have 30-month programs (see Table B4 in the Appendix).
- Statewide, courts required only 5.3% of all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2007 to install an ignition interlock device. This is down from 6.3% for the DUI arrestees in 1997 and 1998, primarily because legislation in 1999 shifted the mandatory interlock requirement from all repeat DUI offenders to all suspended or revoked DUI offenders caught driving while disqualified, and data on the new “mandatory” suspended or revoked interlock assignments are not captured by the DUI-MIS report.

### Variation by Offender Status:

- 66.0% of first DUI offenders arrested in 2007 were sentenced to jail, compared to 94.1% of all repeat offenders (see Table 11).
- 87.6% of first DUI offenders were assigned by courts to DUI intervention programs, along with 82.7% of second offenders, 69.2% of third offenders, and 33.3% of fourth-or-more DUI offenders. This is shown in Table 11. (By statute, however, all offenders must eventually complete specified DUI programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement).
- 16.1% of repeat DUI offenders arrested in 2007 were assigned ignition interlock, compared to 15.2% of those arrested in 2006, 13.3% in 2005, 14.0% in 2004, 12.9% in 2003, 10.9% in 2002, 8.1% in 2001, 7.5% in 2000, 13.3% in 1999 and 22.3% in 1998. Despite the old mandatory interlock law for all repeat offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993, judges routinely did not assign interlock to these offenders (over 75% of “mandatory” assignments were not made). This law was repealed in 1998, and a new ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson) was enacted and implemented July 1, 1999, that established mandatory interlock for DUI suspension/revocation violators, while providing incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate early with interlock. Judicial assignments to the new mandatory provisions have steadily risen since the law was implemented, and proportionally more DUI suspension violators are now assigned to interlock than were repeat offenders under the old “mandatory” law.

TABLE 11: 2007 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS<sup>1</sup>

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 <sup>ST</sup> OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
	N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	160591	95.8	73.4	65.5	19.0	0.2	5.3
1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	118261	96.8	66.0	85.3	2.3	0.0	1.4
REPEAT DUI	42330	93.1	94.1	10.6	65.8	0.6	16.1
2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	31791	96.5	93.5	12.9	69.6	0.2	14.2
3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	7798	92.0	95.3	4.1	63.2	1.9	24.6
4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	2741	56.4	96.5	2.3	30.0	1.0	13.6

<sup>1</sup>Entries represent percentages of DUI convictees arrested in 2007 receiving each sanction, by offender status. Sanctions within each offender status group (row) are not independent; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4. The percentages of license restrictions and court suspensions were removed from this table and can be found in Tables 17 and 18 in Section 5.

TABLE 12: 2007 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND OFFENDER STATUS<sup>1</sup>

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 <sup>ST</sup> OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE		160591	95.8	73.4	65.5	19.0	0.2	5.3
ALAMEDA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	4027	98.5	97.4	84.0	3.0	0.0	0.5
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1212	99.8	98.6	16.2	55.1	0.0	13.7
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	281	98.6	95.7	6.8	53.0	0.0	23.1
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	104	88.5	98.1	1.0	32.7	0.0	10.6
	TOTAL	5624	98.6	97.6	64.0	17.3	0.0	4.6
ALPINE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	15	100.0	40.0	86.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	TOTAL	16	100.0	43.8	81.3	6.3	0.0	6.3
AMADOR	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	209	95.7	95.7	82.8	9.1	0.0	20.6
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	67	98.5	98.5	16.4	80.6	0.0	61.2
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	16	87.5	100.0	6.3	81.3	0.0	75.0
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	7	42.9	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	28.6
	TOTAL	299	94.6	96.7	61.9	29.8	0.0	32.8
BUTTE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	944	92.9	87.6	86.9	3.0	0.1	1.5
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	340	92.1	93.5	15.0	70.6	0.0	7.9
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	87	82.8	93.1	0.0	74.7	0.0	33.3
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	35	54.3	91.4	2.9	45.7	0.0	48.6
	TOTAL	1406	91.1	89.5	62.0	24.8	0.1	6.2
CALAVERAS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	146	97.3	97.9	91.8	0.7	0.0	32.9
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	57	89.5	98.2	33.3	50.9	0.0	45.6
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	20	90.0	95.0	10.0	60.0	5.0	65.0
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	60.0
	TOTAL	228	94.7	97.8	68.0	20.2	0.4	39.5
COLUSA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	130	90.8	93.8	74.6	4.6	0.0	0.8
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	47	95.7	100.0	8.5	72.3	0.0	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	14	100.0	92.9	7.1	21.4	0.0	0.0
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	196	90.8	95.4	52.0	21.9	0.0	0.5
CONTRA COSTA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2538	96.3	93.7	90.7	1.7	0.0	0.1
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	758	99.1	98.0	11.9	79.4	0.0	1.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	202	96.5	99.0	2.0	73.3	0.0	5.9
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	69	68.1	100.0	0.0	37.7	0.0	4.3
	TOTAL	3567	96.4	95.0	67.1	22.9	0.0	0.7
DEL NORTE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	106	96.2	95.3	88.7	0.9	0.0	3.8
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	38	94.7	81.6	7.9	65.8	18.4	42.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	9	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	22.2
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3
	TOTAL	156	93.6	87.2	62.2	16.7	9.6	14.7
EL DORADO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	632	97.0	93.4	83.9	3.8	0.0	0.5
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	211	96.7	93.4	15.2	73.5	0.0	3.3
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	58	98.3	96.6	1.7	79.3	0.0	17.2
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	20	60.0	95.0	0.0	45.0	0.0	20.0
	TOTAL	921	96.2	93.6	61.1	25.4	0.0	2.6

<sup>1</sup>Due to a law change, SB 1697, which shifted responsibility for license actions from courts to DMV as of September 20, 2005, the percentages of license restrictions and court suspensions by county and offender status are no longer presented in this Table. Statewide information on these sanctions is provided in Tables 17 and 18 in Section 5.



TABLE 12: 2007 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND  
OFFENDER STATUS<sup>1</sup> - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 <sup>ST</sup> OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	3699	96.1	97.4	89.4	2.3	0.0	2.3
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1199	94.7	98.9	17.8	72.4	0.1	14.3
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	354	87.9	97.7	5.4	74.9	0.3	18.9
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	149	59.1	100.0	8.1	35.6	0.0	12.1
	TOTAL	5401	94.3	97.8	65.8	23.6	0.1	6.3
GLENN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	231	94.4	74.0	59.3	6.5	0.0	1.3
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	87	93.1	94.3	19.5	39.1	0.0	6.9
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	18	94.4	100.0	16.7	33.3	0.0	22.2
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	339	93.2	80.8	46.3	16.2	0.0	3.8
HUMBOLDT	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	590	98.1	34.2	89.8	3.1	0.0	5.3
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	171	98.2	89.5	18.1	74.3	0.0	56.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	51	94.1	94.1	2.0	82.4	0.0	56.9
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	14	85.7	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	826	97.7	50.5	68.0	23.5	0.0	19.7
IMPERIAL	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	661	97.6	17.5	60.2	0.6	0.0	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	149	96.6	52.3	30.9	26.2	0.0	0.7
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	22	86.4	68.2	31.8	27.3	0.0	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	837	97.1	25.4	53.9	5.9	0.0	0.1
INYO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	118	97.5	31.4	91.5	1.7	0.0	0.8
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	54	98.1	83.3	9.3	68.5	0.0	7.4
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	17	94.1	94.1	0.0	82.4	0.0	41.2
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
	TOTAL	194	95.4	53.1	58.2	27.8	0.0	6.7
KERN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	3202	96.1	96.5	70.6	1.1	0.1	0.3
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	907	94.9	98.6	10.0	18.5	0.1	5.5
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	265	93.6	98.5	4.2	14.0	0.4	6.8
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	80	48.8	93.8	3.8	7.5	5.0	1.2
	TOTAL	4454	94.8	97.0	53.1	5.5	0.2	1.8
KINGS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	594	90.7	95.6	69.4	4.0	0.0	3.2
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	199	89.4	97.5	13.6	58.8	0.0	22.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	57	78.9	100.0	0.0	54.4	0.0	29.8
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	20	20.0	95.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	35.0
	TOTAL	870	88.0	96.3	50.5	19.9	0.0	10.0
LAKE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	258	94.2	54.7	75.2	2.3	0.0	0.8
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	102	91.2	87.3	7.8	61.8	4.9	6.9
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	24	83.3	87.5	0.0	54.2	0.0	20.8
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	9	55.6	88.9	0.0	22.2	11.1	0.0
	TOTAL	393	91.9	65.9	51.4	21.4	1.5	3.6
LASSEN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	131	96.2	93.9	57.3	0.8	0.0	4.6
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	36	94.4	100.0	55.6	11.1	0.0	11.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	8	62.5	100.0	37.5	25.0	0.0	12.5
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	4	75.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	179	93.9	95.5	55.3	3.9	0.0	7.3

TABLE 12: 2007 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND  
OFFENDER STATUS<sup>1</sup> - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 <sup>ST</sup> OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	23466	97.1	32.7	87.3	2.7	0.1	0.1
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	5283	96.2	87.1	12.1	73.2	0.9	2.3
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	1116	90.1	83.9	3.4	55.1	11.9	4.7
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	317	32.5	93.4	1.3	12.0	4.4	0.3
	TOTAL	30182	96.0	44.7	70.1	17.1	0.7	0.7
MADERA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	443	93.5	93.0	81.9	4.3	0.0	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	135	91.9	92.6	31.9	49.6	0.7	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	45	95.6	88.9	11.1	71.1	4.4	6.7
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	24	75.0	91.7	16.7	37.5	8.3	0.0
	TOTAL	647	92.6	92.6	64.1	19.6	0.8	0.5
MARIN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1121	96.6	10.8	87.5	1.9	0.0	0.2
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	267	99.3	86.5	6.4	75.3	0.0	9.4
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	55	100.0	94.5	0.0	34.5	0.0	36.4
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	21	81.0	90.5	0.0	42.9	0.0	57.1
	TOTAL	1464	97.0	28.9	68.2	17.1	0.0	4.0
MARIPOSA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	65	98.5	93.8	86.2	4.6	0.0	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	29	96.6	96.6	17.2	58.6	0.0	20.7
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	20.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	101	96.0	94.1	60.4	22.8	0.0	7.9
MENDOCINO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	504	92.9	92.9	83.1	4.0	0.0	5.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	196	98.5	95.9	16.3	71.4	0.0	62.8
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	50	86.0	96.0	2.0	64.0	0.0	72.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	13	92.3	100.0	0.0	46.2	0.0	38.5
	TOTAL	763	93.8	94.0	59.2	26.0	0.0	24.8
MERCED	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	939	97.8	95.0	87.4	3.9	0.0	0.2
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	290	97.6	99.0	23.4	69.3	0.0	3.4
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	64	100.0	100.0	18.8	67.2	0.0	6.3
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	31	51.6	100.0	3.2	22.6	0.0	6.5
	TOTAL	1324	96.8	96.2	68.1	21.8	0.0	1.4
MODOC	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	51	96.1	68.6	35.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	15	100.0	73.3	20.0	13.3	6.7	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	5	80.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	73	94.5	68.5	28.8	2.7	2.7	0.0
MONO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	119	99.2	53.8	84.0	4.2	0.0	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	15	100.0	66.7	26.7	53.3	0.0	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	6	83.3	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	141	98.6	56.0	74.5	9.2	0.0	0.0
MONTEREY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1797	98.1	98.2	69.3	2.3	0.0	12.7
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	488	97.7	99.6	6.8	74.6	0.0	72.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	132	97.0	100.0	1.5	74.2	0.0	82.6
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	52	80.8	100.0	5.8	26.9	0.0	40.4
	TOTAL	2469	97.6	98.6	52.0	20.9	0.0	28.8

TABLE 12: 2007 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND  
OFFENDER STATUS<sup>1</sup> - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 <sup>ST</sup> OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
NAPA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	692	97.7	96.1	90.0	2.5	0.0	1.2
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	196	95.4	98.5	11.7	81.6	0.0	15.8
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	57	94.7	100.0	7.0	84.2	0.0	43.9
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	20	40.0	90.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	10.0
	TOTAL	965	95.9	96.7	67.4	24.0	0.0	6.8
NEVADA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	482	98.8	97.3	93.4	2.3	0.0	0.2
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	137	99.3	98.5	10.9	84.7	0.0	8.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	27	100.0	96.3	11.1	81.5	3.7	40.7
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	15	60.0	100.0	0.0	53.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	661	98.0	97.6	70.8	23.8	0.2	3.5
ORANGE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	11013	98.4	38.9	91.1	1.6	0.0	0.7
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2736	98.3	92.1	7.7	79.2	0.0	19.5
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	580	94.5	97.4	1.2	82.6	0.0	36.6
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	173	47.4	94.8	2.3	30.6	0.6	15.0
	TOTAL	14502	97.6	51.9	70.7	19.8	0.0	5.9
PLACER	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1528	97.4	97.7	80.2	1.8	0.0	0.7
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	437	96.8	98.9	38.0	50.6	0.0	9.6
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	106	87.7	99.1	30.2	50.9	0.0	45.3
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	27	25.9	96.3	7.4	18.5	0.0	18.5
	TOTAL	2098	95.9	98.0	67.9	14.7	0.0	5.0
PLUMAS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	137	97.1	95.6	91.2	4.4	0.0	0.7
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	59	94.9	100.0	15.3	79.7	0.0	1.7
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	12	91.7	100.0	0.0	91.7	0.0	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	210	95.2	97.1	63.8	30.5	0.0	1.0
RIVERSIDE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	6134	96.4	94.1	92.0	2.0	0.0	0.7
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1506	95.8	97.3	14.0	77.8	0.0	13.7
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	363	90.9	98.1	3.3	83.2	0.0	28.9
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	136	62.5	97.8	2.2	52.2	0.0	11.8
	TOTAL	8139	95.5	94.9	72.1	20.5	0.0	4.5
SACRAMENTO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	4727	97.3	96.8	89.3	2.6	0.0	0.3
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1493	97.7	99.1	7.1	85.7	0.0	8.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	404	95.3	99.0	1.2	80.7	0.0	15.8
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	174	68.4	97.7	3.4	50.6	0.0	9.8
	TOTAL	6798	96.6	97.5	63.8	26.7	0.0	3.2
SAN BENITO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	287	93.7	87.5	47.7	0.3	0.0	1.4
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	79	94.9	100.0	6.3	29.1	0.0	26.6
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	37.5
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5
	TOTAL	382	92.9	90.6	37.2	6.8	0.0	8.1
SAN BERNARDINO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	7146	95.7	58.6	89.7	2.3	0.0	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1978	94.1	93.0	14.1	73.2	0.0	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	505	90.9	96.6	3.4	51.7	0.0	0.4
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	237	54.4	97.5	0.4	25.7	0.0	0.8
	TOTAL	9866	94.2	68.4	68.0	19.6	0.0	0.0

TABLE 12: 2007 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND  
OFFENDER STATUS<sup>1</sup> - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 <sup>ST</sup> OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN DIEGO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	11067	95.5	20.5	82.6	1.9	0.0	0.2
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2771	96.0	81.6	11.7	64.5	0.0	3.9
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	591	88.2	94.8	4.2	67.5	0.0	16.6
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	178	48.9	99.4	1.7	31.5	0.0	1.7
	TOTAL	14607	94.7	36.1	65.0	16.8	0.0	1.6
SAN FRANCISCO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	872	98.9	98.3	93.2	1.4	0.0	1.9
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	180	99.4	99.4	28.3	68.3	0.0	24.4
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	41	95.1	92.7	9.8	75.6	7.3	41.5
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	8	100.0	100.0	12.5	75.0	0.0	75.0
	TOTAL	1101	98.8	98.3	78.9	15.6	0.3	7.6
SAN JOAQUIN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2232	98.8	97.2	89.0	2.6	0.0	1.3
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	740	98.1	99.1	12.7	79.7	0.3	21.2
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	222	96.4	98.6	5.4	77.5	0.5	41.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	92	79.3	96.7	0.0	65.2	0.0	37.0
	TOTAL	3286	98.0	97.7	63.7	26.8	0.1	9.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1419	97.5	95.6	90.3	1.6	0.0	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	389	98.5	98.7	14.7	78.9	0.0	0.5
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	132	93.9	97.0	5.3	79.5	0.0	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	46	60.9	100.0	4.3	28.3	0.0	2.2
	TOTAL	1986	96.6	96.4	67.8	22.5	0.0	0.2
SAN MATEO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2054	96.0	96.6	89.4	1.6	0.0	0.4
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	529	96.2	100.0	8.7	83.0	0.0	26.3
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	124	86.3	99.2	4.0	66.9	0.0	21.8
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	35	54.3	100.0	0.0	22.9	0.0	11.4
	TOTAL	2742	95.1	97.4	68.9	20.5	0.0	6.5
SANTA BARBARA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1874	95.9	70.2	80.3	2.0	0.0	0.8
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	477	96.6	94.5	0.8	76.3	0.0	15.5
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	130	90.0	96.2	0.0	78.5	0.0	30.8
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	42	64.3	97.6	0.0	38.1	0.0	16.7
	TOTAL	2523	95.2	76.6	59.6	20.6	0.0	5.4
SANTA CLARA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	4579	98.5	97.0	90.0	3.7	0.0	1.6
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1266	97.8	99.4	13.3	77.6	0.0	31.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	290	91.7	98.6	1.0	63.8	0.0	48.3
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	87	58.6	100.0	0.0	34.5	0.0	23.0
	TOTAL	6222	97.5	97.6	69.0	22.0	0.0	10.1
SANTA CRUZ	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1118	97.8	94.5	72.3	0.6	0.0	0.1
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	320	97.8	98.1	12.5	43.8	0.0	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	96	100.0	97.9	1.0	17.7	0.0	0.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	39	74.4	100.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1573	97.3	95.5	54.0	10.5	0.0	0.1
SHASTA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1094	95.7	96.1	88.1	1.5	0.1	31.4
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	354	94.6	98.0	17.2	72.0	0.3	75.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	99	84.8	99.0	5.1	34.3	0.0	76.8
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	29	27.6	93.1	6.9	3.4	0.0	6.9
	TOTAL	1576	93.5	96.6	65.5	19.4	0.1	43.7
SIERRA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	17	100.0	94.1	76.5	5.9	0.0	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	7	100.0	85.7	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	24	100.0	91.7	54.2	29.2	0.0	0.0

TABLE 12: 2007 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND  
OFFENDER STATUS<sup>1</sup> - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 <sup>ST</sup> OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
SISKIYOU	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	248	94.4	87.1	72.6	3.2	0.0	2.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	77	97.4	96.1	26.0	55.8	0.0	20.8
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	19	84.2	100.0	0.0	78.9	0.0	57.9
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	13	76.9	92.3	15.4	46.2	0.0	61.5
	TOTAL	357	93.8	89.9	56.6	20.2	0.0	11.2
SOLANO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1228	96.8	95.9	90.6	2.9	0.0	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	373	96.5	99.5	9.9	85.5	0.0	4.6
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	108	87.0	96.3	2.8	75.9	0.0	14.8
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	31	51.6	93.5	0.0	35.5	0.0	3.2
	TOTAL	1740	95.3	96.7	66.3	25.7	0.0	2.0
SONOMA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1993	96.2	91.7	66.4	0.8	0.0	0.5
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	692	95.2	98.1	7.1	45.5	0.0	17.5
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	182	96.2	96.7	4.4	23.1	0.0	26.9
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	95	54.7	94.7	0.0	8.4	0.0	10.5
	TOTAL	2962	94.7	93.6	46.6	12.8	0.0	6.4
STANISLAUS	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1850	97.5	96.0	86.9	5.4	0.0	1.2
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	510	99.8	99.4	27.5	64.1	0.0	9.8
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	136	99.3	100.0	5.9	86.8	0.0	33.1
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	43	69.8	95.3	2.3	51.2	0.0	27.9
	TOTAL	2539	97.6	96.9	69.2	22.3	0.0	5.1
SUTTER	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	309	94.2	94.8	88.3	1.6	0.0	5.8
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	118	93.2	99.2	13.6	78.8	0.0	60.2
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	23	87.0	100.0	8.7	69.6	0.0	65.2
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	11	36.4	100.0	0.0	27.3	0.0	36.4
	TOTAL	461	92.2	96.3	63.1	25.4	0.0	23.4
TEHAMA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	306	88.9	96.4	80.1	2.3	0.0	2.9
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	101	80.2	98.0	10.9	67.3	0.0	10.9
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	26	57.7	100.0	7.7	38.5	0.0	53.8
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	11	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.5
	TOTAL	444	82.9	97.1	58.1	19.1	0.0	9.0
TRINITY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	52	90.4	96.2	78.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	23	95.7	100.0	13.0	43.5	0.0	0.0
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	82	91.5	97.6	53.7	13.4	0.0	0.0
TULARE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2074	95.4	87.6	70.1	2.6	0.0	1.3
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	639	93.7	96.9	8.5	70.0	0.2	16.3
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	223	88.3	98.2	1.8	62.3	0.9	34.5
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	84	61.9	92.9	4.8	17.9	3.6	27.4
	TOTAL	3020	93.6	90.5	50.2	21.7	0.2	7.6
TUOLUMNE	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	307	95.4	97.7	83.1	1.3	0.0	0.7
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	96	95.8	100.0	6.3	81.3	0.0	6.3
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	31	96.8	100.0	0.0	16.1	0.0	48.4
	4 <sup>TH+</sup> DUI	16	37.5	100.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	25.0
	TOTAL	450	93.6	98.4	58.0	19.6	0.0	6.0

TABLE 12: 2007 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND  
OFFENDER STATUS<sup>1</sup> - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1 <sup>ST</sup> OFFENDER DUI PROGAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
VENTURA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	3600	96.8	86.3	71.1	1.0	0.0	9.0
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	867	98.0	95.7	8.8	59.1	0.0	66.8
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	206	97.6	99.5	3.9	63.1	0.0	84.0
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	53	66.0	98.1	0.0	43.4	0.0	64.2
	TOTAL	4726	96.7	88.7	55.9	14.8	0.0	23.5
YOLO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	769	96.6	96.2	80.0	2.0	0.0	1.3
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	190	96.8	96.8	46.8	34.7	0.0	41.6
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	48	97.9	100.0	27.1	47.9	0.0	56.3
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	25	52.0	84.0	8.0	12.0	0.0	16.0
	TOTAL	1032	95.6	96.2	69.7	10.4	0.0	11.6
YUBA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	317	94.0	90.9	84.9	2.2	0.0	2.8
	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	99	97.0	96.0	7.1	77.8	0.0	12.1
	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	21	100.0	100.0	9.5	76.2	0.0	14.3
	4 <sup>TH</sup> + DUI	10	50.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	10.0
	TOTAL	447	94.0	92.6	62.2	22.8	0.0	5.6

## SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents reoffense and crash rates of DUI offenders over various time periods, as well as the methodology and results of evaluations assessing the effectiveness of DUI intervention programs for drivers convicted for the first time of an alcohol-related offense.

The first part of the section examines descriptive indicators, such as DUI recidivism and crash rates, for different groups of DUI offenders within different periods of time: 1) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested between 1990-2007, 2) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates by county, for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2007, 3) proportions of DUI program referrals for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2007, and 4) long term recidivism rates of DUI offenders arrested in 1994.

The second part of the section contains the results of several analyses evaluating the effectiveness of DUI intervention programs for two groups of DUI offenders: 1) drivers convicted of the reduced charge of alcohol-related reckless driving, and 2) first DUI offenders who attended 3-month or 9-month DUI programs.

Also, in the second part of the section, as in the previous few year's reports, are two additional subanalyses: 1) an evaluation of 9-month DUI programs for first DUI offenders with BAC levels below 0.20% versus first DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.20% or above, and 2) an evaluation of the relative effectiveness of 3-month versus 9-month DUI programs for first DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.20% and above.

The following are highlights of the findings:

- The 1-year recidivism rates for all first offenders in 2007 continued to remain at the lower level of the past 9 years. The DUI reoffense rate for first offenders arrested in 2007 was 40.8% lower than the reoffense rate for first offenders arrested in 1990 (see Figure 7 and Table 13a).
- The 1-year reoffense rate for second offenders continues the decline that started 4 years ago, and is substantially lower than the rates during the early 1990s; recidivism decreased from 9.7% in 1990 to 5.4% in 2007, a 44.3% relative decrease for all second offenders (see Figure 7 and Table 13a).

- Overall, subsequent 1-year crash rates among second offenders have declined from 4.0% in 1990 to 2.4% in 2007, a 40.0% relative decrease. The crash rate for first offenders has also declined, although not as much as second offenders; their 2007 rate is 22.6% lower than their 1990 crash rate (see Figure 8 and Table 13a).
- Of the 2007 DUI arrestees who enrolled in a DUI intervention program, 85.9% of first offenders and 43.9% of second offenders completed their program assignment (see Table 14).
- At the end of 14 years, 30% of DUI offenders originally convicted in 1994 had at least one subsequent DUI conviction, and 33% incurred at least one DUI incident (see Figure 9a).
- Over 14 years, recidivism rates increased as the number of prior offenses increased. The proportion of third offenders reoffending was 41%, while 33% of second offenders and 27% of first offenders reoffended (see Figure 9b).
- Males showed a much higher cumulative proportion (31%) of reoffenses than did females (22%) over the 14-year time period (see Figure 9c).
- Long-term recidivism rates are inversely related to age, with higher reoffense rates associated with the youngest age group, and the lowest rates with the oldest group (see Figure 9d).
- After 5 years, the proportion of DUI offenders reoffending in the 1994 group was much lower (18%) compared to the proportion reoffending in the 1984 group (27%) and in the 1980 group (35%). The 2000 group of DUI offenders had the lowest proportion of reoffenses (17%). This is shown in Figure 9e.
- Similar to the last 5 years' evaluations, this year's results continue to show that the subsequent 1-year crash rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders assigned to a DUI intervention program did not vary significantly from those of the nonparticipants. However, the subsequent DUI incident rates of the program participants were significantly ( $p = .036$ ) lower than those of the nonparticipants (see Table 16a).
- Contrary to the past years' evaluations, the 1-year crash rates of first offenders attending the 3-month program were significantly ( $p = .027$ ) higher than those attending 9-month programs. Also, as consistently evident in previous evaluations, the subsequent DUI incident rate of the short-term program participants was again significantly ( $p = .0001$ ) lower than that of the long-term participants (see Table 16b).



- Among first DUI offenders assigned to 9-month DUI programs, crash rates of the offenders with BAC levels 0.20% and above were not significantly higher than the crash rates of those with BAC levels below 0.20%. Previous analyses also did not show significant crash differences between the two groups. Also, DUI offenders with BAC levels 0.20% and above did not have significantly more DUI incidents than those with BAC levels below 0.20% (see Table 16c). This finding was different from the findings in the past 4 years' evaluations, which showed that offenders with the higher BACs had significantly more DUI incidents.
- Consistent with the past 4 years' results, the length of time of DUI program (3-month vs. 9-month) had no effect on crash rates. However, first DUI offenders with BAC levels 0.20% and above assigned to the 3-month DUI program had significantly ( $p = .047$ ) higher DUI Incident rates than those assigned to the 9-month DUI program (see Table 16d).

Subject Selection and Data Collection: Convicted DUI and alcohol-related reckless offenders were identified from monthly abstract update tapes which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. Except for the 1994 cases, subjects were selected based on the number of prior DUI and alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within 10 years (instead of 7 years due to a law change effective January 1, 2005) prior to their entry DUI arrest in 2007. For this year's report, subjects selected were: 1) first DUI offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous 10 years, 2) second DUI offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction within the previous 10 years, 3) alcohol-related reckless offenders with no previous DUI offenses in the past 10 years, and 4) first DUI offenders referred to 3-month and 9-month DUI programs. In addition, all DUI offenders arrested in 1994 were selected for the 14-year follow-up evaluation.

The crash and recidivism rates of first and second DUI offenders over time, and the effectiveness of DUI programs for persons convicted of an alcohol-reckless or first DUI offense, are evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record, as measured by: 1) total crashes and 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, Administrative Per Se suspensions (APS for 0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal), and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). For the 1994 DUI offenders, recidivism is measured by subsequent DUI convictions, along with one comparison of DUI incidents.

Although the sanction analyses are not conducted for first and second DUI offenders, the 1-year unadjusted crash and DUI reoffense data from all of the previous and current evaluations were included. In order to maintain comparability to the previous subject

selection criteria, certain types of offenders had to be excluded. These previous and current analyses excluded offenders with convictions of a felony, and those with chemical-test refusal suspensions, because their license control penalties were different from the misdemeanor offender groups. Drivers who did not have a full 1-year subsequent time period (because of late conviction dates) were also excluded, as were drivers with "X" license numbers (meaning that no California license number could be found) and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. Altogether, the excluded cases represented about 30% of the original convicted offender file. The only exclusions made for the 1994 offenders were the out-of-state cases and drivers with "X" license numbers.

### DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES

#### One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders from 1990-2007

The 1-year subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates for both first and second DUI offenders were compiled from the 18 previous and current annual DUI-MIS evaluations and configured onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time.

Figure 7 shows the proportions of first and second offenders, arrested between 1990 and 2007, who reoffended within 1 year after conviction.




Figure 7. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders reoffending in a DUI incident within 1 year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2007).

This figure and Table 13a show an ongoing gradual decline in the 1-year recidivism rates for first offenders overall from 1990 to 2007. The overall decline translates into a 40.8% reduction in recidivism for all first offenders compared to the rates of 1990. The decline in DUI reoffenses is steeper in the early years (1990-1994), following the

enactment of APS suspensions for all DUI arrestees. As is evident in Figure 7, the reoffense rates of first offenders continue to be lower than those of the second offenders; this has been consistently evident throughout all previous analyses conducted on first and second offenders.

TABLE 13a: ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, 1990-2007

YEAR	DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED		CRASH-INVOLVED	
	FIRST DUI OFFENDERS	SECOND DUI OFFENDERS	FIRST DUI OFFENDERS	SECOND DUI OFFENDERS
1990	7.6	9.7	5.3	4.0
1991	7.1	9.5	4.7	3.6
1992	6.2	9.1	4.1	3.5
1993	5.8	8.8	4.1	3.5
1994	5.4	7.0	4.5	3.1
1995	5.8	7.0	4.6	3.0
1996	5.1	6.1	4.5	2.4
1997	5.2	6.0	4.7	2.7
1998	5.3	6.0	4.8	2.6
1999	5.0	6.1	5.0	2.8
2000	4.9	6.1	5.1	3.1
2001	4.9	5.9	5.2	3.0
2002	4.8	6.1	5.1	3.3
2003	4.7	6.5	4.8	3.2
2004	4.5	5.9	4.8	3.1
2005	4.7	5.6	4.8	3.0
2006	4.5	5.5	4.6	2.7
2007	4.5	5.4	4.1	2.4
% DIFFERENCE 1990-2007	-40.8%	-44.3%	-22.6%	-40.0%

As noted in the past 4 years, a similar overall decline is evident in the 1-year reoffense rates for the second offender group as displayed in Figure 7 and Table 13a, with the greatest rate of decline occurring during the years from 1993 to 1996. Table 13a shows that, from 1990 to 2007, the reoffense rates decreased 44.3% among second offenders. The overall reoffense rates of second offenders remain higher than those of first offenders. Previous DUI-MIS reports suggested that, while many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, the reduction may largely be attributed to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. An evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law documents recidivism

reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders, and 19.5% for repeat offenders, attributable to the law.

The 1-year subsequent crash rates for both first and second offenders were also compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations and graphically displayed over time. Figure 8 shows the proportions of first and second offenders arrested between 1990 and 2007 who had crashes within 1 year after their conviction.




Figure 8. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders involved in a crash within 1 year after conviction (arrested in 1990-2007).

Among first offenders from 1990 through 2007, Figure 8 and Table 13a show an initial decline in crash rates for the earliest years, followed by an ongoing increase after 1993, and then another decline after 2001. The relative difference between first offender crash rates in 1990 and 2007 is -22.6%, whereas the relative difference for second offenders for those same years shows a much greater decline in crash involvement of -40.0%.

Overall, second offenders have lower crash rates than do first offenders (Table 13a), and this fact has been well documented in past evaluations; it has been speculated that the lower crash rates of second offenders may be related to the longer-term (1 to 2 year) license (restriction/suspension) actions imposed on second offenders.

### One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2007

For the 5th year, the 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism and crash rates, by county, are reported for both first and second DUI offenders.

Table 13b displays the 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism rates of offenders arrested in 2007. As shown in this table, among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent DUI incident within 1 year varied from 6.7% in San Joaquin County to 3.6% in Los Angeles County. Among the smaller counties, Del Norte, Kings, Madera, and Trinity had DUI recidivism rates above 8.0%, while Alpine and Sierra had zero DUI recidivism rates. Second offenders had generally higher DUI recidivism rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, Kern County had the highest rate, with 8.6% of second offenders having a subsequent DUI incident within 1 year, whereas Orange County's second offenders had the lowest rate at 3.6%. Among the smaller counties, the DUI recidivism rate for second offenders ranged from 20.0% (Sierra) to 0.0% (Alpine and Mono).

One-year subsequent crash rates, by county, for both first and second offenders arrested in 2007 are displayed in Table 13c. Among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent crash within 1 year varied from 5.2% in Sonoma County to 3.0% in Fresno and San Diego counties. Among the smaller counties, Madera had a crash rate of 7.4%, while Alpine, Modoc, and Sierra had a 0.0% crash rate. In contrast to DUI recidivism rates, second offenders have generally lower crash rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, the rate at which second offenders have a subsequent crash within 1 year varied from 3.5% (San Joaquin) to 1.6% (Sonoma). Among the smaller counties, Stanislaus County had a crash rate of 4.6%, and eleven counties had 0.0% crash rates (Alpine, Colusa, Del Norte, Mariposa, Modoc, Mono, San Benito, Sierra, Siskiyou, Tehama, and Trinity).

TABLE 13b: 2007 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY  
FOR FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1 <sup>ST</sup> OFFENDER		2 <sup>ND</sup> OFFENDER	
	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	3696	4.5	1216	5.4
ALAMEDA	151	5.6	62	7.9
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	2	1.2	4	7.1
BUTTE	42	5.5	17	6.3
CALAVERAS	7	5.6	5	11.1
COLUSA	3	3.2	2	5.6
CONTRA COSTA	104	5.9	28	5.1
DEL NORTE	7	9.2	3	10.0
EL DORADO	31	7.0	9	6.1
FRESNO	153	6.1	65	8.0
GLENN	10	6.4	6	10.3
HUMBOLDT	32	7.0	5	3.5
IMPERIAL	18	4.2	5	5.6
INYO	6	6.6	5	11.6
KERN	109	5.3	52	8.6
KINGS	33	8.2	9	6.7
LAKE	11	5.4	4	4.8
LASSEN	4	4.4	1	3.3
LOS ANGELES	581	3.6	171	4.5
MADERA	14	8.6	6	11.5
MARIN	23	3.1	6	3.7
MARIPOSA	5	7.9	1	4.0
MENDOCINO	17	4.6	7	4.3
MERCED	29	5.7	8	5.3
MODOC	2	6.5	1	8.3
MONO	3	3.9	0	0.0
MONTEREY	44	4.8	9	3.0
NAPA	19	4.4	12	9.5
NEVADA	19	5.1	4	3.5
ORANGE	287	3.7	69	3.6
PLACER	52	4.5	12	3.5
PLUMAS	6	5.6	2	3.8
RIVERSIDE	205	4.6	55	5.1
SACRAMENTO	158	4.4	79	7.0
SAN BENITO	9	5.1	3	6.1
SAN BERNARDINO	238	5.0	80	6.2
SAN DIEGO	334	4.3	103	5.1
SAN FRANCISCO	16	2.4	5	4.7
SAN JOAQUIN	105	6.7	45	8.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	40	3.8	23	7.2
SAN MATEO	49	3.4	16	4.1
SANTA BARBARA	53	4.3	13	3.9
SANTA CLARA	134	4.4	30	3.7
SANTA CRUZ	45	5.4	14	5.6
SHASTA	58	6.1	20	7.0
SIERRA	0	0.0	1	20.0
SISKIYOU	10	5.6	1	1.7
SOLANO	47	5.2	16	5.5
SONOMA	65	4.8	20	4.5
STANISLAUS	76	5.9	26	7.4
SUTTER	14	6.4	3	3.3
TEHAMA	17	6.9	4	4.9
TRINITY	5	12.2	3	15.8
TULARE	74	5.6	33	8.0
TUOLUMNE	17	6.3	3	3.6
VENTURA	72	3.2	22	4.1
YOLO	22	4.0	6	4.5
YUBA	9	4.1	2	2.6

TABLE 13c: 2007 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR  
FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1 <sup>ST</sup> OFFENDER		2 <sup>ND</sup> OFFENDER	
	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	3345	4.1	542	2.4
ALAMEDA	130	4.8	24	3.1
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	6	3.6	2	3.6
BUTTE	18	2.4	4	1.5
CALAVERAS	5	4.0	2	4.4
COLUSA	3	3.2	0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	86	4.9	13	2.4
DEL NORTE	1	1.3	0	0.0
EL DORADO	17	3.8	2	1.4
FRESNO	76	3.0	21	2.6
GLENN	5	3.2	1	1.7
HUMBOLDT	10	2.2	3	2.1
IMPERIAL	12	2.8	3	3.3
INYO	1	1.1	1	2.3
KERN	90	4.4	12	2.0
KINGS	19	4.7	5	3.7
LAKE	9	4.5	2	2.4
LASSEN	4	4.4	1	3.3
LOS ANGELES	771	4.8	93	2.4
MADERA	12	7.4	1	1.9
MARIN	38	5.0	5	3.1
MARIPOSA	1	1.6	0	0.0
MENDOCINO	9	2.4	2	1.2
MERCED	16	3.1	4	2.6
MODOC	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONO	3	3.9	0	0.0
MONTEREY	31	3.4	2	0.7
NAPA	13	3.0	3	2.4
NEVADA	10	2.7	5	4.4
ORANGE	340	4.4	43	2.2
PLACER	40	3.4	2	0.6
PLUMAS	5	4.6	1	1.9
RIVERSIDE	176	4.0	22	2.0
SACRAMENTO	168	4.7	39	3.4
SAN BENITO	3	1.7	0	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO	204	4.2	30	2.3
SAN DIEGO	234	3.0	45	2.2
SAN FRANCISCO	27	4.1	4	3.7
SAN JOAQUIN	76	4.8	19	3.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	44	4.1	7	2.2
SAN MATEO	64	4.4	11	2.8
SANTA BARBARA	48	3.9	4	1.2
SANTA CLARA	104	3.4	22	2.7
SANTA CRUZ	46	5.5	9	3.6
SHASTA	41	4.3	11	3.8
SIERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	2	1.1	0	0.0
SOLANO	36	4.0	6	2.1
SONOMA	70	5.2	7	1.6
STANISLAUS	43	3.3	16	4.6
SUTTER	9	4.1	2	2.2
TEHAMA	7	2.9	0	0.0
TRINITY	2	4.9	0	0.0
TULARE	49	3.7	5	1.2
TUOLUMNE	9	3.3	2	2.4
VENTURA	84	3.7	20	3.8
YOLO	16	2.9	3	2.3
YUBA	2	0.9	1	1.3

### The Proportions of DUI Program Referrals, Enrollments, and Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2007

Beginning last year, this report captures the number and proportions of convicted first and second offenders whose records indicated that they had enrolled and completed a DUI intervention program, upon referral received from the court (in previous years Table 14 showed only the proportions of program referrals and completions for these offenders). Inclusion of the information on enrollments was possible due to the addition of a new subrecord to each person's driving record that contains data on DUI program enrollment and completion dates, court information relevant to the DUI conviction, and program length of time. Previous efforts were limited by the lack of organized fields of data even though part of this information was available.

Table 14 shows the proportions of referrals to the various DUI programs for first and second offenders. It can be seen from this table that 85.2% of first offenders and 71.8% of second offenders were referred to a DUI program. Table 14 also shows that 67.5% of first offenders have enrolled in DUI programs that usually range from 3 to 9 months, depending upon their BAC levels at the time of their arrest. Furthermore, 45.8% of second offenders enrolled in the 18-month DUI program. Of those enrolled in DUI intervention programs, 85.9% of first offenders and 43.9% of second offenders completed their program assignment (some second offenders may still be enrolled in the program at the time of data collection).

TABLE 14: COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED DUI PROGRAM REFERRALS, ENROLLMENTS, AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2007

DUI OFFENDERS	TOTAL	PROGRAM REFERRALS		PROGRAM ENROLLMENT		PROGRAM COMPLETION		
	N	N	%	N	%	N	% <sup>1</sup>	% <sup>2</sup>
1 <sup>ST</sup> OFFENDERS (3 MOS. TO 9 MOS.)	117,519	100,122	85.2%	79,381	67.5%	68,202	58.0%	85.9%
2 <sup>ND</sup> OFFENDERS (18 MOS.)	32,115	23,060	71.8%	14,700	45.8%	6,448	20.1%	43.9%

<sup>1</sup> % of total number of DUI offenders.

<sup>2</sup> % of program enrollees.



### Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 1994 DUI Offenders


Since all DUI offenders were included in the 1994 group, it was possible to observe and compare the long-term recidivism rates for subdivided groups within the 1994 cohort, and to see how these groups differ in their long-term recidivism rates. This approach was also taken in a previous study conducted by Peck (1991), in which the reoffense failure curves of various groups among 1980 and 1984 DUI offenders were evaluated. Failure curves are cumulative percentages over time that display the first reoffense that occurs after the initial DUI conviction. Both DUI convictions (alone) and DUI incidents over the 14-year follow-up period for the 1994 group were included as outcome data in order to maintain comparability with the 1984 and 1980 cohorts from a previous evaluation (Peck, 1991).

Table 15 shows cumulative percentages of the first DUI reoffenses (convictions) for the 1994 offenders, as well as 9- and 14-year cumulative percentages for the 1980 and 1994 groups and 5-year cumulative percentages for the 1984 group (data were not available beyond 5 years).

TABLE 15: CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF THE FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES  
FOR 1994 DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR	PERCENTAGE											
	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	MALES	FEMALES	16-25	26-45	46-65	65+	1980	1984	1994
1 <sup>ST</sup>	4	6	6	5	3	5	5	4	3	11	7	5
2 <sup>ND</sup>	8	10	12	10	6	10	9	8	6	19	15	9
3 <sup>RD</sup>	12	14	17	13	9	14	13	11	8	25	20	13
4 <sup>TH</sup>	14	18	21	16	11	18	16	13	9	30	24	16
5 <sup>TH</sup>	17	21	25	19	13	20	18	15	10	35	27	18
6 <sup>TH</sup>	19	23	28	22	14	23	21	17	10	38	NA	21
7 <sup>TH</sup>	20	25	31	23	16	25	23	18	11	40	NA	22
8 <sup>TH</sup>	22	27	33	25	17	26	24	19	11	42	NA	24
9 <sup>TH</sup>	23	28	35	26	18	28	25	20	12	44	NA	25
10 <sup>TH</sup>	24	30	36	27	19	29	27	21	12	NA	NA	26
11 <sup>TH</sup>	25	31	38	28	20	30	28	22	12	NA	NA	27
12 <sup>TH</sup>	25	32	39	29	21	31	28	22	12	NA	NA	28
13 <sup>th</sup>	26	32	40	30	21	32	29	22	12	NA	NA	29
14 <sup>th</sup>	27	33	41	31	22	33	30	23	12	NA	NA	30

In addition to Table 15, Figures 9a through 9e display recidivism rates for 1994 offenders over 14 years.



*Figure 9a.* Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction, and first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incidents (alcohol crashes, DUI convictions, APS suspensions and DUI FTAs).

Figure 9a shows that, for 1994 offenders as a whole, at the end of 14 years 30% accumulated at least one DUI reoffense. When considering a more expanded view of DUI reoffenses including all DUI incidents, the recidivism rate increased to 33%. These failure curves are steepest in the earliest years following the initial conviction, after which they start to flatten out, but are still rising slightly in the 7th through 14th years. For both measures, the steepest climb occurs in the first year following conviction.

One way to explore the extent of drinking severity is to examine the recidivism rates by the number of prior DUIs within 10 years (time frame for counting priors) of the entry DUI violation. Figure 9b displays the cumulative proportions of reoffenses by first, second, and third-or-more DUI offenders.

It is evident from this graph and from Table 15 that the recidivism failure curves increase as the number of prior offenses becomes greater. Third-or-more offenders have the highest overall failure curve, and continue to maintain the higher proportions over the 14-year time period. At the end of 14 years, 41% of third-or-more offenders have reoffended compared to 33% of second offenders and 27% of first offenders.




Figure 9b. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions.

Since the majority of DUI offenders has always been male (87% in 1994), it is relevant to inspect the recidivism rates of the 1994 offenders by gender. As evident in Figure 9c and Table 15, the proportion of males that reoffend over 14 years is much higher than that of females. At the end of 14 years, 31% of males have reoffended as compared to 22% of females. The failure curve of females is noticeably lower and increases at a slower pace throughout the 14 years as compared to the curve of males. In the final 6 years, the proportion of males recidivating is only one percent per year.

Since it is also well known that DUI violations are associated with certain age groups, the recidivism curves are assessed by age as well. Figure 9d displays the failure curves of four age groups. It is evident that reoffense rates are inversely related to age; the failure rates are highest for the youngest group and lowest for the oldest group. Over 14 years, the failure curves of the two youngest groups are quite close to each other and are much steeper than the curve of the oldest group; the failure curves of the youngest groups are steepest during the first 2 years following the entry conviction.

The failure curve of the 65+ group flattens out at the 5th year, much sooner than the curves of the other groups. The mortality factor of the oldest group could influence




Figure 9c. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by sex.

their lower recidivism rate; also, this group may be restricting their driving by driving less frequently than the other age groups. After 14 years, the youngest two groups reoffended by 33% and 30%, respectively, while 23% of the middle age group, for which mortality may also be a factor, and 12% of the oldest group recidivated.




Figure 9d. Length of time between 1994 DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date).

The final figure, Figure 9e, compares the 1994 recidivism curves with those of the 1980, 1984, and 2000 cohorts over a 5-year time period.




Figure 9e. Length of time between DUI conviction and first subsequent DUI reoffense of 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2000 DUI drivers.

Three years ago, the reoffense rates of the 2000 cohort over the 5-year time period were added along with the cumulative percentages of the 1980, 1984 and 1994 groups (Figure 9e and Table 15). Because these cohorts of DUI offenders span 20 years, it is possible to consider whether the enactment of major DUI laws over that time period has affected their relative recidivism rates.

Figure 9e reveals that at the end of 5 years, 35% of the 1980 offenders reoffended compared to 27% of the 1984 group, 18% of the 1994 offenders and 17% of the 2000 group. Quite dramatically, the proportion recidivating in the 1994 and 2000 groups (18%, 17%) dropped by half compared to those in the 1980 group (35%). Major pieces of DUI legislation were enacted in California over this time span of 20 years. The noticeably lower reoffense proportions of the 1984 group (27%) compared to the 1980 group (35%) can likely be attributed to the 1982 laws, AB 541 (Moorhead), which applied tougher sanctions on DUI offenders, and AB 7 (Hart) which established the 0.10% per se BAC illegal limit. The effectiveness of these laws was confirmed by a previous California study by Tashima and Peck (1986). Table 15, which compares the 1980 cohort with the 1994 group over 9 years, shows that 44% of the 1980 group recidivated while 25% of the 1994 group reoffended. The difference between the

recidivism rates of these two groups remains quite dramatic at the end of 9 years. There was only a one percent increase in recidivism each year for the 1994 group in years 8 through 14.

Continuing with Figure 9e, it is evident that the difference in the reoffending proportions between the 1984 group (27%) and the 1994 group (18%) is substantial; this reduction in reoffenses is possibly due to the enactment of the 1990 laws, SB 1623 (Lockyer), which established APS suspensions for all offenders at the time of arrest, and SB 1150 (Lockyer), which set the illegal BAC limit to 0.08% and imposed other stringent sanctions on DUI offenders. As noted earlier, an evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS law documented recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders, both attributable to the APS law. Figure 9e also shows that the level of reoffenses is very similar for both the 1994 and 2000 cohorts. At each of the 5 years, the reoffenses of the 2000 offenders were only 1% lower than that of the 1994 group.

In summary, the 1994 offenders have long-term reoffense rates that are higher among those with more DUI priors (within 10 years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers. These findings are not surprising and are consistent and supported by previous studies. In comparing the reoffense rates between the 1994 and 2000 groups with the 1980 and 1984 offenders, it was found that the cumulative proportions of reoffenses was much lower among the 1994 and 2000 offenders. The dramatically lower reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2000 groups could be attributed, in part, to the enactment of more stringent sanctions for DUI offenders in the past 2 decades, including the APS suspension law of 1990.

#### DUI PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

*Subject Selection and Follow-up Data:* The basis for evaluating the effectiveness of DUI programs for offenders convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving, or for first DUI offenders, was established by legislation. The evaluation for the offenders with alcohol-related reckless convictions was mandated by SB 1176 (Johnson); for these offenders, this legislation requires the courts to order enrollment in a DUI intervention program as a condition of probation. An evaluation of the efficacy of the 3-month versus 6-month DUI intervention program for first offenders was mandated by AB 1916 (Torlakson). In 2004, the courts were required to refer first offenders whose BAC level is less than 0.20% to a 3-month program, and those with a BAC level of 0.20% or above, or who

refuse to take a chemical test, to a 6-month program. Effective 2005, AB 1353 (Liu) increased the duration of DUI intervention programs from 6 to 9 months for first DUI offenders on probation whose BAC level is 0.20% or greater or who refuse to take a chemical test.

Two groups of alcohol-related reckless convictees were identified, including: 1) those who were assigned to a DUI intervention program and 2) those who were not assigned to a program. These sanctions are reported by the courts to DMV via disposition codes on the conviction abstracts. Although courts are mandated to require all alcohol-related reckless drivers to attend a DUI intervention program as a condition of probation, it was found that 42% of such offenders arrested from July 2007 through June 2008 were not assigned. This discrepancy allowed a comparison of subsequent crashes and DUI incidents between the two groups.

In evaluating the traffic safety impact of length of time of DUI intervention programs, first offenders arrested in 2007 that showed the 3-month and 9-month designations on their conviction abstracts were identified and selected for the analysis. Since, as of 2005, the courts were to prescribe 9-month DUI program for first offenders whose BAC level is 0.20% or above, it was decided to investigate the impact of the 9-month instead of 6-month DUI program for this analysis.

The records of 54% of first offenders who were referred to DUI intervention program either did not indicate the specific length of time of the program or indicated other lengths of time that were not 3 or 9 months. These individuals were not included in this evaluation, and the analysis is limited to first offenders that were adjudicated by the courts that were in compliance with the law. Of the total sample selected, 74% were referred to 3-month programs, while 26% were assigned to 9-month programs. To further explore the possible effects of BAC levels, two additional subanalyses included 1) first offenders assigned to a 9-month DUI program with BAC levels below 0.20% versus those with BAC levels of 0.20% and above, and 2) first offenders with BAC levels 0.20% and above assigned to a 3-month DUI program versus first offenders with BAC levels 0.20% and above assigned to a 9-month DUI program.

The conviction date was considered to be the “treatment date” for defining prior and subsequent driving record data, because the penalties and sanctions for the offense are typically effective as of that date. The evaluation periods for the postconviction driving measures start from the conviction date, and were: 1) 1 year following conviction for alcohol-related reckless offenders who were arrested from July, 2007 through June,

2008, and 2) 1 year following conviction for first DUI convictees who were arrested in 2007, and who were referred to 3-month and 9-month DUI programs.

A buffer period of 4 months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the extraction date to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV. DUI offenders who had less than the full 1-year follow-up time period (from conviction date to the buffer period) were excluded. For all of these groups, the outcome driver record measures consisted of the proportion of offenders who were involved in: 1) any crash and 2) DUI incidents (alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI failures-to-appear). Only the first crash or DUI incident or "failure" was evaluated. This is not an important limitation with the data because the incidence of repeat failures (two-or-more crashes or DUI incidents) was very low over the study time window. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. Therefore, this type of confounding is avoided because multiple incidents were not included in this analysis.

*Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures:* Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled to the extent possible by using biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices, such as crash and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area (Appendix Table B5). While this "quasi-experimental" design is subject to a number of limitations in assessing cause-effect relationships, the attempt to statistically control for group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a more precise estimate of the relationship between type of sanction and subsequent record. It is possible, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured or reflected in covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions are commonly received by offenders through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socio-economic status may be more likely to receive program with restriction and less likely to receive jail than those of lower status).

For the alcohol-related reckless drivers and first offenders attending 3-month and 9-month programs, prior driver record data were extracted for the 2 years preceding their DUI or alcohol-reckless conviction date. The prior driver record variables for these offenders are shown in Appendix Table B5, and since some of these driver record variables were significantly different between the two groups, they were used as covariates in the analyses to remove these differences.




Following the extraction of covariates, simple correlations were computed between demographic, prior driving variables, and the outcome measures (number of days to first subsequent crash and number of days to first subsequent DUI incident). The demographic and 2-year prior driving variables that had statistically significant correlations with the outcome measures were identified and selected as potential covariates. For each logistic regression analysis, potential interactions between the covariates and treatment/comparison groups were tested. In analyses where there are significant interactions, the levels of the covariate and treatment groups were plotted on a graph to determine if there are differential effects of DUI programs on the covariate levels. The interaction term is then typically included in the final logistic regression analyses.

For the alcohol-reckless drivers, there were not significant interactions between the covariates and the DUI program sanction on either crashes or DUI reoffenses.

#### DUI Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol-Reckless Driving

Figure 10a and Table 16a display the results of the ninth evaluation of the effectiveness of the DUI program on drivers convicted of alcohol-related reckless driving violations.



*Figure 10a.* Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for 2007-2008 (fiscal year) alcohol reckless drivers by type of sanction.

Total Crashes: Like the past 4 years' findings, the results show that assignment to the DUI intervention program does not have a significant effect on 1-year subsequent crash rates of alcohol-related reckless offenders; the slight differences between the two groups

may be due to chance alone. Comparing these rates to the rates of the 2007 first DUI offenders, it is evident that alcohol-related reckless drivers who are not assigned and those assigned to a DUI program are slightly more involved in crashes (5.07 and 4.24 per 100 drivers, respectively, see Table 16a) than are first DUI offenders (4.1 per 100 drivers; see Table 13a). The crash rates of the 2007 alcohol-reckless drivers with no program are slightly lower than in the last year's evaluation (6.14 per 100 drivers), but relatively unchanged from the previous evaluations going back from 2005 to 2003 (4.95, 5.13, and 5.44, respectively). However, the crash rates for those involved in DUI programs are slightly lower this year (4.24 per 100 drivers) than in the previous 4 years' evaluations (5.26, 5.07, 5.24, and 5.82, respectively). These drivers who were arrested with a BAC level of 0.08% and above would have incurred an APS license suspension/restriction prior to their conviction. Table 9a shows that about 85% of the alcohol-reckless drivers had BAC levels of 0.08% and above.

TABLE 16a: THE EFFECT OF DUI PROGRAM ON SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS CONVICTED OF ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1} - \text{GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1} - \text{GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
7/2007 - 6/2008 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	1) No program	(3,123)	5.07	19.6%	4.12	28.3%*
	2) DUI program	(4,336)	4.24		3.21	

\* $p = .036$

DUI Incidents: Figure 10a and Table 16a indicate that program participants show fewer DUI incidents in the 1 year following their assignment to the DUI programs than the nonparticipants, and this difference is statistically significant ( $p = .036$ ). The reoffense rate of the alcohol-reckless offenders not assigned to the programs is 28.3% higher than the reoffense rate of the program participants. In the previous 2 years' evaluations, this difference was not large enough to be significant. These results have to be viewed with some caution because random assignment to program attendance was not possible; there still remains the possibility of uncontrolled biases through self- or judicial-selectivity, even though statistical control of group differences removed part of the biases based on available covariates.

### Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders

Total Crashes: Figure 10b and Table 16b display the results of the evaluation of the effectiveness of the DUI intervention program on first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month versus 9-month programs. Different from the past 2 years' findings, these results show that the length of time of the DUI program is significantly ( $p = .027$ ) associated with the 1-year subsequent crash rates of first DUI offenders. The 3-month program participants have a 13.8% higher crash rate than that of the 9-month participants. However, the findings should be viewed with caution since the prior results generally did not show significant differences between the two groups.




Figure 10b. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in year 2007) by length of DUI program.

DUI Incidents: Comparable to the last 7 years' results, Figure 10b and Table 16b indicate that, among first DUI offenders, the 3-month program participants have significantly fewer DUI incidents in the 1 year following their assignment to the DUI programs than do the 9-month program participants ( $p = .0001$ ). The reoffense rate of the 3-month program participants is 22.9% lower than that of the 9-month participants. Again, this finding is not surprising given that first DUI offenders assigned to the longer-term program have higher BAC levels (0.20% and above), and would be more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC levels.

TABLE 16b: FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1} - \text{GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1} - \text{GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
2007 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	1) 3-month program	(31,193)	4.04	13.8%*	3.70	-22.9%**
	2) 9-month program	(10,800)	3.55		4.80	

\* $p = .027$ \*\* $p = .0001$ 

In order to determine whether BAC level was a major factor in the outcome of the previous analysis, two further subanalyses were conducted to investigate this possibility. Among first offenders assigned to the 9-month program, 13% actually had BAC levels below 0.20% and 87% had BAC levels 0.20% and above. This difference in BAC levels allowed for conducting additional analyses comparing the outcome measures between those with BAC levels below 0.20% and those with BAC levels 0.20% and above. A second subanalysis was conducted comparing 3-month versus 9-month DUI program effects for those with BAC levels of 0.20% and above. There were a sufficient number of drivers who had BAC levels of 0.20% and above who were assigned to the 3-month program to allow for this second comparison. The results of these additional subanalyses are described below.

#### Results of the Evaluation of the 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders with BAC Levels Below 0.20% Versus 0.20% and Above

Total Crashes and DUI Incidents: Table 16c shows the results of the effects of the 9-month DUI program on crashes and DUI incidents for two groups: 1) those with BAC levels below 0.20% and 2) those with BAC levels of 0.20% and above. As evident in Table 16c, differences in crash rates between the two groups were not significant. These results are similar to those of the past 4 years, and show that differences in the BAC levels among the 9-month DUI program participants are not associated with their subsequent 1-year crashes. Also, the results of this analysis indicate that there were no significant differences in subsequent 1-year DUI incidents between DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.20% and above and those with BAC levels below 0.20%. These findings should be viewed with caution since the prior 4 years' results indicate that those with higher BAC levels are more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC levels.

TABLE 16c: FIRST OFFENDER 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS WITH BAC LEVELS BELOW 0.20% VERSUS 0.20% AND ABOVE

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1} - \text{GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1} - \text{GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
2007 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	1) BAC below 0.20%	(1,288)	3.18	-10.2%	4.78	-1.6%
	2) BAC 0.20% and above	(8,308)	3.54		4.86	

### Results of the Evaluation of the 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders with BAC Levels of 0.20% and Above

Total Crashes and DUI Incidents: As shown in Table 16d, and consistent with the past 4 years' results, the length of time of DUI intervention program for first DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.20% and above were not associated with 1-year subsequent crashes. Although the crash rates for those attending the 3-month program are slightly higher than for those attending the 9-month program, the differences were not significant. Thus, with BAC level held constant, the findings of this analysis indicate that the extended 9-month DUI program does not appear to be more effective than the 3-month DUI program in reducing subsequent 1-year crashes for first offenders with high BAC levels.

In contrast, this year's findings indicate that, for first-DUI offenders with BAC levels 0.20% and above, the extended 9-month DUI program appears to be more effective ( $p = .047$ ) in attenuating subsequent 1-year DUI incidents relative to the 3-month DUI program. The 9-month DUI program participants had 26.8% fewer DUI incidents than the 3-month DUI program participants. This year's findings are different from the prior 4 years' results which indicated that extending the program length for first offenders with high BAC levels does not reduce subsequent 1-year crashes or DUI incidents. One possible explanation for these findings might be the impact of the SB 1756 law (Migden) that imposes a longer-term (10-month) license suspension on first-DUI offenders, with a BAC level of 0.20% and above, who are assigned to 9-month DUI programs.

TABLE 16d: FIRST OFFENDER 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAM EFFECTS ON TOTAL CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS FOR DRIVERS WITH BAC LEVELS 0.20% AND ABOVE

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP (BAC LEVELS 0.20% AND ABOVE)	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF CRASH- INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1} - \text{GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT- INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1} - \text{GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
2007 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	1) 3-month program	(1,303)	4.00	15.3%	5.97	26.8%*
	2) 9-month program	(8,308)	3.47		4.71	

\*p=.047

The effectiveness of increasing the duration of time for DUI intervention programs has not been supported in the literature. DeYoung examined the effectiveness of lengthening SB 38 alcohol treatment programs from 12 to 18 months for second offenders and found no evidence that the additional 6 months contributed to reducing DUI recidivism (DeYoung, 1995). A final limitation of these analyses should be noted. Since this study only included first offenders whose conviction abstract had information on the length of DUI program, there may be additional unknown biases that this quasi-experimental design cannot rule out. However, some statistical control of group differences removed at least part of the biases based on available covariates.

## SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation [S/R]) taken in DUI cases are presented below. These statutorily-mandated actions, which are taken in cases of alcohol-impaired driving, are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (0.08% BAC, zero tolerance, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action.

The total count of postconviction suspension/revocation actions has dramatically increased as a result of a law change (SB 1697), effective September 20, 2005, which assigned to DMV sole responsibility for imposing postconviction license actions for all DUI offenders, removing this responsibility from the courts. DMV is also responsible for issuing license restrictions to DUI offenders who meet requirements defined by the law.

This section includes the following tables:

Table 17: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1998-2008. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 1998 through 2008. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourth-offender revocations.

Table 18: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data for fiscal years 2006/2007 through 2008/2009.

The following statements are based on the data shown in the previously listed tables.

- The total number of DMV DUI preconviction and postconviction S/R actions increased by 64.4% over that for 1998 (see Table 17). These totals have increased markedly as of September 20, 2005 due to the law change noted above.

- In 2008, 204,332 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 76% were first-offender actions (including actions for zero tolerance) and 24% were repeat-offender actions (see Table 17).
- In FY 2008/2009, total APS actions increased by 0.7% from FY 2007/2008, following a 5.1% increase in the previous fiscal year (see Table 18).
- The number of chemical test refusal actions decreased by 2.0% in 2008, after increasing by 2.9% in 2007. The total number of refusal actions has fallen 5.5% in the past decade (see Table 17).
- Requests for APS hearings have increased from 25.4% of all APS actions in FY 2007/2008 to 27.7% in 2008/2009. The rate at which APS S/R actions are upheld after hearing has increased slightly during the past several fiscal years, from 89.8% upheld in 2006/2007, to 90.9% upheld in 2007/2008, to 91.5% upheld in 2008/2009 (see Table 18).
- During the first 15.5 years after implementation (on January 1, 1994) of the "zero tolerance" law for minors, 254,327 suspension actions have been taken (see Table 17).



TABLE 17: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1998-2008

	YEAR										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	238612 <sup>1</sup>	236141	240597	231217	236603	241242	239580	247568	339796 <sup>3</sup>	362859 <sup>3</sup>	392319 <sup>3</sup>
<b>PRECONVICTION</b>											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	175365	179332	172606	164840	165505	171470	171828	168569	185481	192213	204332
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	15640	17775	18185	18549	19129	19949	19967	19374	22044	22112	22180
.08 First-offender suspensions	116827	119621	114997	109695	109888	114975	116022	107466	118468	123594	132266
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	39024	38487	36147	33517	33580	33413	32903	38097	41420	42979	46388
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498
Commercial driver actions	4609	4471	4139	4013	3936	3853	3801	3525	2974	2903	3172
Chemical test refusal actions	9935	9435	9433	8841	8772	9399	9353	9599	9315	9581	9390
.01 Test refusal suspensions	229	268	270	280	290	341	326	364	419	426	433
.08 Test refusal suspensions	5832	5718	5886	5482	5547	5925	6091	5603	5347	5627	5459
.08 Test refusal revocations	3874	3449	3277	3079	2908	3133	2936	3632	3549	3528	3498
<b>POSTCONVICTION<sup>2</sup></b>											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	1026 <sup>1</sup>	918	741	714	896	794	838	737	941	1061	917
First-offender suspensions	9588 <sup>1</sup>	15072	29924	31097	32716	32521	31012	39078	110525 <sup>3</sup>	124436 <sup>3</sup>	136480 <sup>3</sup>
Misdemeanor	7497 <sup>1</sup>	13401	28118	29188	30563	30298	28799	36808	108227 <sup>3</sup>	122102 <sup>3</sup>	133987 <sup>3</sup>
Felony	2091 <sup>1</sup>	1671	1806	1909	2153	2223	2213	2270	2298	2334	2493
Second-offender S/R actions	40238 <sup>1</sup>	31940	29097	26911	29345	28737	28400	30294	32680	34296	38266
Misdemeanor	39065 <sup>1</sup>	31455	28571	26334	28748	28160	27847	29699	32046	33649	37568
Felony	633 <sup>1</sup>	485	526	577	597	577	553	595	634	647	658
Third-offender revocations	9397 <sup>1</sup>	6573	6163	5727	6171	5953	5581	6720	7649	8063	9164
Misdemeanor	9167 <sup>1</sup>	6452	6015	5585	5996	5758	5429	6537	7424	7830	8933
Felony	230 <sup>1</sup>	121	148	142	175	195	152	183	225	233	231
Fourth-offender revocations	2998 <sup>1</sup>	2306	2066	1928	1970	1767	1921	2170	2520	2790	3200
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION S/R ACTIONS	63247 <sup>1</sup>	56809	67991	66377	71098	69772	67752	78999	154315 <sup>3</sup>	170646 <sup>3</sup>	187987 <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The 1998 counts reflect backlogged actions from 1997 that were processed in 1998.<sup>2</sup>These totals include suspension actions that are associated with lack of compliance with statutory requirements, and include workload counts.<sup>3</sup>This count has increased as a result of the law change, effective 09/20/2005, which assigned to DMV the sole responsibility for imposing license actions for all DUIs and removed this responsibility from the courts.

TABLE 18: ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	7/06-6/07	7/07-6/08	7/08-6/09
Total APS actions taken (including actions later set aside)	208,106	218,776	220,309
Total .08 <sup>1</sup> APS actions set aside	17,721	16,678	17,541
Total .01 <sup>2</sup> suspensions set aside	1,415	1,302	1,274
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	188,970	200,796	201,494
Net total .08 APS actions	166,544	178,501	180,013
Net total .01 suspensions	22,426	22,295	21,481
<b>Net APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:<sup>3</sup></b>			
Net total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	186,251	197,851	200,906
Net total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	2,719	2,945	2,915
Net total actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	4	21	34
Net APS .08 actions for drivers with no prior DUI convictions or APS actions <sup>4</sup>	121,138	129,755	130,022
4-month license suspensions	85,599	92,283	89,615
30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE <sup>5</sup> restrictions	27,596	29,250	32,451
First-offender chemical test refusals	5,622	5,604	5,137
CDL first offender suspensions/restrictions	2,321	2,618	2,819
Net APS .08 actions taken for drivers with prior DUI convictions	45,406	48,746	49,991
Suspensions	41,904	45,085	46,646
Revocations	3,502	3,661	3,345
Total probation violation actions initiated from 1/1/09-6/30/09 <sup>6</sup>	n/a	n/a	2,615
<b>APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:</b>			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside)	10,151	10,323	9,448
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	568	559	568
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	31	30	26
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	9,552	9,734	8,854
Net total .08 refusal actions	9,159	9,266	8,482
Net total .01 refusal actions	382	468	372
Chemical test refusal rate (excluding actions later set aside)	4.88%	4.72%	4.29%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no prior DUIs	5,622	5,604	5,137
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with prior DUIs	3,502	3,662	3,345
<b>APS Hearings<sup>7</sup></b>			
Total .08 and .01 inperson or telephone APS hearings scheduled	51,677	55,535	61,003
Percentage of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing <sup>8</sup>	24.8%	25.4%	27.7%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	47,093	50,360	55,788
.08 actions sustained/upheld following hearings	42,281	45,756	51,064
Percentage of .08 APS actions sustained/upheld following hearings	89.8%	90.9%	91.5%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	4,766	4,861	4,922
.01 actions sustained/upheld following hearings	4,194	4,348	4,446
Percentage of .01 APS actions sustained/upheld following hearings	88.0%	89.4%	90.3%
<b>APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings</b>			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	3,209	3,393	3,418
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	3,075	3,254	3,291
.08 APS refusal actions sustained/upheld following hearings	2,665	2,883	2,860

<sup>1</sup>.08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

<sup>2</sup>.01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs .01% or greater, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

<sup>3</sup>All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result.

<sup>4</sup>Prior DUI convictions or APS actions consist of any such conviction or action where the violation occurred within 10 years (7 years before 1/1/05) prior to the current violation.

<sup>5</sup>This restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course-of-employment (enacted 1/1/95).

<sup>6</sup>Probation violation APS actions are those taken under VC § 23154 (including actions later set aside). A single arrest results in concurrent actions taken for both the APS and probation violation if a violator was arrested for DUI while on DUI probation.

<sup>7</sup>These figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety/Investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings and departmental reviews.

<sup>8</sup>Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

## SECTION 6: CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL

This section presents data on alcohol-involved crashes, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol. Only crashes involving injury or fatality are assessed, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) crashes<sup>1</sup>. Drivers identified as being under the influence of drugs other than alcohol are also included in the "alcohol-involved crash" category, but typically comprise less than 1% of the total. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 19: DUI Arrests Associated with Reported Crashes, 1997-2007. This table shows the number of DUI arrests and percentage of DUI arrests associated with reported crashes from 1997-2007.

Table 20: 2007 Had-been-drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Race/Ethnicity and Sobriety Level. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of sobriety and race/ethnicity for 2007 HBD drivers involved in crashes.

Table 21: 2007 Had-been-drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Adjudication Status and Sobriety Level. This table cross tabulates crash sobriety codes (from law enforcement crash reports) with the court disposition for 2007 DUI convictions associated with those crashes.

Table 22: 2007 Had-been-drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes With No Record of Conviction, by County and Sobriety Level. This table shows the number of HBD drivers involved in fatal/injury crashes without a corresponding conviction, by sobriety level, by county.

Table 23: Had-been-drinking Drivers Under Age 21 Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes, 1997-2007. This table shows the total number of HBD drivers under age 21 in California. It also shows their percentage of the total count of HBD drivers in the state, over the same time period.

---

<sup>1</sup> Among 2007 DUI arrests, 31,209 were associated with a reported traffic crash, with 12,451 involving an injury or fatality, and 18,758 PDO.

Tables 24a-24b: 2007 Had-been-drinking Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Sex (Total and Not Arrested or Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2007 HBD drivers in fatal and injury crashes by age and sex, both total (24a) and for drivers who were not arrested or convicted in conjunction with the crash (24b).

Table 24c: 2007 Had-been-drinking Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Type of Crash. This table cross tabulates type of crash by age group for HBD drivers involved in fatal/injury crashes.

Tables 25a-25b: 2007 Had-been-drinking (HBD) Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Sobriety Level and Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested or Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2007 HBD drivers involved in fatal and injury crashes by sobriety level and prior conviction status, both total (25a) and for drivers who were not arrested or convicted in conjunction with the crash (25b).

Tables 26a-26b: 2007 Had-been-drinking Drivers Involved in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested or Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2007 HBD drivers involved in fatal and injury crashes by number of prior convictions, both total (26a) and for drivers who were not arrested or convicted in conjunction with the crash (26b).

Table 27: 2007 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Drivers Involved in Alcohol-Related Crashes. This table shows the mean, the median, and frequency distribution of BAC levels for HBD drivers involved in alcohol-related crashes in 2007.

Figure 11 (opposite page) shows the annual percentages of traffic injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 1998 to 2008. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI summary statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.

Figure 12 (opposite page) shows the alcohol- and drug-involved fatalities from 1998 to 2008. It also shows a breakdown of the number of fatalities when only alcohol was known to be involved, when only drugs were involved, or when both alcohol and drugs were involved in the fatality.




Figure 11. Percentages of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1998-2008.




Figure 12. Alcohol- and drug-involved total fatalities, 1998-2008.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- The number of alcohol-involved traffic fatalities decreased by 9.0% in 2008, following a decrease of 6.8% in 2007 and increases of 1.5% in 2006, 7.7% in 2005, 1.2% in 2004, 2.0% in 2003, 8.3% in 2002, 6.1% in 2001, 5.4% in 2000, and 9.1% in 1999. The proportion of traffic fatalities which are alcohol-involved increased to

39.8%, which is the highest proportion in the past decade (see Figure 11 and DUI Summary Statistics).

- Drug-involved fatalities show a noticeable growing trend in the past decade, increasing by 173%, from 266 in 1998 to 726 in 2008. However, in the past 3 years, the number of drug-involved fatalities has declined, with 2008 showing a drop of 3.1%. Also, the greatest proportion of fatalities remains alcohol-related (see Figure 12).
- 11.8% of traffic crash injuries in 2008 were alcohol-involved, relatively unchanged from 11.5% in 2007 (see DUI Summary Statistics).
- The proportion of HBD drivers involved in fatal/injury crashes under age of 21 increased from 9.9% in 1997 to 12.1% in 2007 (22.2% increase, see Table 23).
- 15.3% of all 2007 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic crash, compared to 15.5% in 2006. 6.1% of DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, slightly lower than 6.3% in 2006 (see Table 19).
- In 40.5% of cases where a DUI offender was arrested in connection with a fatal/injury traffic crash, there is no record of any corresponding conviction. In 56.6% of these nonconvicted cases, the crash report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired (see Table 21).
- Non-arrested or non-convicted drivers in alcohol-involved fatal/injury crashes in 2007 were less likely to have a prior conviction within 10 years for DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving than did drivers who were arrested in conjunction with the crash (see Tables 25a and 25b).
- About two-thirds (71.9%) of arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal crashes had no prior DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 26a). In contrast, almost two-thirds (63%) of drivers in alcohol-involved injury crashes had at least one prior DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction.
- Among 2007 HBD drivers in fatal/injury crashes, 34.2% were involved in crashes with fixed objects, while 53.0% were involved in multiple vehicle crashes. With

increasing age, the proportion of HBD drivers in fixed object crashes declined, while the proportion of HBD drivers in multiple vehicle crashes increased (see Table 24c).

TABLE 19: DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 1997-2007

	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	191164	188327	188523	181336	176490	177056	183560	180957	180288	197248	203866
PERCENT OF DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CRASHES	12.3%	12.9%	12.6%	13.7%	14.3%	15.0%	14.3%	14.8%	15.8%	15.5%	15.3%
PERCENT OF DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CRASHES INVOLVING INJURIES/FATALITIES	5.8%	5.9%	5.8%	6.4%	6.3%	6.4%	6.1%	6.2%	6.6%	6.3%	6.1%

TABLE 20: 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL\*

SOBRIETY LEVEL	HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)									
		N	%	WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER		UNKNOWN	
				N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	TOTAL	21069	100.0	8861	42.1	8324	39.5	1501	7.1	1198	5.7	1185	5.6
	HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	16567	78.6	7044	42.5	6979	42.1	1120	6.8	899	5.4	525	3.2
	HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1836	8.7	544	29.6	521	28.4	127	6.9	94	5.1	550	30.0
	HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2666	12.7	1273	47.7	824	30.9	254	9.5	205	7.7	110	4.1

\*For each sobriety level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2007 California Highway Patrol data files.

TABLE 21: 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES  
BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY LEVEL\*

	TOTAL			TYPE OF CONVICTION													
				MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL- RECKLESS		YOUTH DUI		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTIONS			
				N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
TOTAL				18525	100.0	8295	44.8	2152	11.6	501	2.7	72	0.4	4	0.0	7501	40.5
SOBRIETY LEVEL	HBD-OBVIOUSLY DRUNK (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	2	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
	HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	15035	81.2	8132	54.1	2108	14.0	489	3.3	59	0.4	4	0.0	4243	28.2		
	HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%- .079%)	1029	5.6	128	12.4	40	3.9	9	0.9	3	0.3	0	0.0	849	82.5		
	HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%- .049%)	2401	13.0	31	1.3	4	0.2	2	0.1	10	0.4	0	0.0	2354	98.0		
	HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	27	0.1	3	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	24	88.9		
NOT REPORTED	31	0.2	1	3.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	96.8	

\*For each sobriety level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2007 California Highway Patrol data files, and include only cases where the drivers license was found in the DMV Master file.



TABLE 22: 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND SOBRIETY LEVEL

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	SOBRIETY LEVEL					
		HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)		HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)		HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	7456	4249	57.0	840	11.3	2367	31.7
ALAMEDA	286	156	54.5	31	10.8	99	34.6
AMADOR	13	7	53.8	0	0.0	6	46.2
BUTTE	53	28	52.8	2	3.8	23	43.4
CALAVERAS	20	13	65.0	1	5.0	6	30.0
COLUSA	11	7	63.6	2	18.2	2	18.2
CONTRA COSTA	171	87	50.9	22	12.9	62	36.3
DEL NORTE	4	1	25.0	0	0.0	3	75.0
EL DORADO	53	30	56.6	6	11.3	17	32.1
FRESNO	204	134	65.7	15	7.4	55	27.0
GLENN	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
HUMBOLDT	63	40	63.5	7	11.1	16	25.4
IMPERIAL	38	26	68.4	2	5.3	10	26.3
INYO	10	7	70.0	2	20.0	1	10.0
KERN	151	101	66.9	18	11.9	32	21.2
KINGS	31	18	58.1	2	6.5	11	35.5
LAKE	25	13	52.0	4	16.0	8	32.0
LASSEN	9	2	22.2	2	22.2	5	55.6
LOS ANGELES	1876	1011	53.9	239	12.7	626	33.4
MADERA	63	45	71.4	4	6.3	14	22.2
MARIN	34	21	61.8	1	2.9	12	35.3
MARIPOSA	3	2	66.7	0	0.0	1	33.3
MENDOCINO	8	5	62.5	0	0.0	3	37.5
MERCED	70	51	72.9	5	7.1	14	20.0
MODOC	2	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
MONO	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0
MONTEREY	66	42	63.6	2	3.0	22	33.3
NAPA	50	19	38.0	7	14.0	24	48.0
NEVADA	35	13	37.1	6	17.1	16	45.7
ORANGE	449	225	50.1	42	9.4	182	40.5
PLACER	43	24	55.8	5	11.6	14	32.6
PLUMAS	7	0	0.0	3	42.9	4	57.1
RIVERSIDE	514	333	64.8	54	10.5	127	24.7
SACRAMENTO	301	168	55.8	29	9.6	104	34.6
SAN BENITO	37	23	62.2	7	18.9	7	18.9
SAN BERNARDINO	577	347	60.1	63	10.9	167	28.9
SAN DIEGO	673	374	55.6	66	9.8	233	34.6
SAN FRANCISCO	115	57	49.6	12	10.4	46	40.0
SAN JOAQUIN	136	97	71.3	13	9.6	26	19.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	60	34	56.7	9	15.0	17	28.3
SAN MATEO	93	35	37.6	9	9.7	49	52.7
SANTA BARBARA	89	54	60.7	7	7.9	28	31.5
SANTA CLARA	209	110	52.6	40	19.1	59	28.2
SANTA CRUZ	28	19	67.9	1	3.6	8	28.6
SHASTA	52	29	55.8	13	25.0	10	19.2
SISKIYOU	16	7	43.8	4	25.0	5	31.3
SOLANO	63	29	46.0	11	17.5	23	36.5
SONOMA	73	33	45.2	5	6.8	35	47.9
STANISLAUS	143	98	68.5	12	8.4	33	23.1
SUTTER	26	11	42.3	4	15.4	11	42.3
TEHAMA	26	13	50.0	1	3.8	12	46.2
TRINITY	10	6	60.0	2	20.0	2	20.0
TULARE	107	75	70.1	12	11.2	20	18.7
TUOLUMNE	21	14	66.7	2	9.5	5	23.8
VENTURA	187	119	63.6	28	15.0	40	21.4
YOLO	37	23	62.2	3	8.1	11	29.7
YUBA	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0

TABLE 23: HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 1997-2007

AGE		1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
TOTAL (ALL AGES)	N	19277	19080	18720	19591	20530	20633	20632	20847	20818	21031	21045
UNDER 18	N	407	375	354	366	375	382	376	409	351	344	369
	%	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.8	2.0	1.7	1.6	1.8
18-20	N	1509	1608	1678	1811	1943	2016	1894	1943	1946	2226	2171
	%	7.8	8.4	9.0	9.2	9.5	9.8	9.2	9.3	9.4	10.6	10.3
UNDER 21	N	1916	1983	2032	2177	2318	2398	2270	2352	2297	2570	2540
	%	9.9	10.4	10.9	11.1	11.3	11.6	11.0	11.3	11.0	12.2	12.1

TABLE 24a: 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES\* BY AGE AND SEX

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	21045	100.0%	16603	78.9%	4442	21.1%
UNDER 18	369	1.8%	263	71.3%	106	28.7%
18-20	2171	10.3%	1721	79.3%	450	20.7%
21-30	8095	38.5%	6384	78.9%	1711	21.1%
31-40	3950	18.8%	3129	79.2%	821	20.8%
41-50	3339	15.9%	2534	75.9%	805	24.1%
51-59	1555	7.4%	1227	78.9%	328	21.1%
60-69	554	2.6%	447	80.7%	107	19.3%
70 & ABOVE	213	1.0%	172	80.8%	41	19.2%
AGE UNKNOWN	799	3.8%	726	90.9%	73	9.1%

\*These data are derived from the 2007 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 24b: 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	4749	100.0	3755	79.1	994	20.9
UNDER 18	79	1.7	56	70.9	23	29.1
18-20	400	8.4	326	81.5	74	18.5
21-30	1933	40.7	1549	80.1	384	19.9
31-40	912	19.2	722	79.2	190	20.8
41-50	774	16.3	592	76.5	182	23.5
51-59	387	8.1	300	77.5	87	22.5
60-69	171	3.6	138	80.7	33	19.3
70 & ABOVE	93	2.0	72	77.4	21	22.6

These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above, and include only cases where the drivers license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 24c: 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND TYPE OF CRASH

AGE	TOTAL	TYPE OF CRASH									
		VEH/FIXED OBJECT		VEHICLE-PEDESTRIAN		MULTIPLE VEHICLE		VEHICLE-BICYCLE		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	18525	6344	34.2	327	1.8	9813	53.0	131	0.7	1910	10.3
UNDER 18	325	151	46.5	5	1.5	127	39.1	2	0.6	40	12.3
18-20	1972	854	43.3	28	1.4	870	44.1	9	0.5	211	10.7
21-30	7399	2780	37.6	99	1.3	3734	50.5	31	0.4	755	10.2
31-40	3599	1126	31.3	71	2.0	2023	56.2	21	0.6	358	9.9
41-50	3083	876	28.4	56	1.8	1775	57.6	36	1.2	340	11.0
51-60	1542	419	27.2	39	2.5	891	57.8	23	1.5	170	11.0
61-70	433	99	22.9	15	3.5	280	64.7	7	1.6	32	7.4
71 & ABOVE	172	39	22.7	14	8.1	113	65.7	2	1.2	4	2.3

TABLE 25a: 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY SOBRIETY LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS\*

HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS		TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
		N	%			ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
						N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL		18525	100.0	7239	39.1	8623	46.5	2033	11.0	472	2.5	158	0.9
SOBRIETY LEVEL	HBD-OBVIOUSLY DRUNK (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	2	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	15035	81.2	4337	28.8	8154	54.2	1941	12.9	451	3.0	152	1.0
	HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1029	5.6	716	69.6	237	23.0	58	5.6	15	1.5	3	0.3
	HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2401	13.0	2135	88.9	223	9.3	34	1.4	6	0.2	3	0.1
	HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	27	0.1	23	85.2	4	14.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	NOT REPORTED	31	0.2	27	87.1	4	12.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

\*These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's files on alcohol involved crashes and include only those cases with available driver license numbers.

TABLE 25b: 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY SOBRIETY LEVEL AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)\*

HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS			TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
			N	%			ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
TOTAL			4749	100.0	4082	86.0	526	11.1	108	2.3	24	0.5	9	0.2
SOBRIETY LEVEL	HBD-OBVIOUSLY DRUNK (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	1	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
	HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	1648	34.7	1349	81.9	215	13.0	62	3.8	16	1.0	6	0.4	
	HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	803	16.9	667	83.1	111	13.8	20	2.5	4	0.5	1	0.1	
	HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2246	47.3	2019	89.9	195	8.7	26	1.2	4	0.2	2	0.1	
	HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	22	0.5	21	95.5	1	4.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
	NOT REPORTED	29	0.6	26	89.7	3	10.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	

\*These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

TABLE 26a: 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY  
CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS\*

HBD DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	18525	100.0	7239	39.1	8623	46.5	2033	11.0	472	2.5	158	0.9
WITH FATALITIES	1083**	5.8	779	71.9	229	21.1	50	4.6	19	1.8	6	0.6
WITH INJURIES	17442	94.2	6460	37.0	8394	48.1	1983	11.4	453	2.6	152	0.9

\*These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's files on alcohol involved crashes and include only those cases with available driver license numbers.

\*\*The records of 80.3% (870) of the HBD drivers indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 26b: 2007 HAD-BEEN-DRINKING (HBD) DRIVERS INVOLVED IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES  
BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED OR CONVICTED)\*

HBD DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	4749	100.0	4082	86.0	526	11.1	108	2.3	24	0.5	9	0.2
WITH FATALITIES	830**	17.5	680	81.9	111	13.4	25	3.0	10	1.2	4	0.5
WITH INJURIES	3919	82.5	3402	86.8	415	10.6	83	2.1	14	0.4	5	0.1

\*These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

\*\*The records of 88.3% (733) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 27: 2007 REPORTED\* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS  
OF DRIVERS INVOLVED IN ALCOHOL-RELATED CRASHES

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	136	1.1
.01	45	0.4
.02	56	0.5
.03	83	0.7
.04	121	1.0
.05	151	1.2
.06	185	1.5
.07	238	1.9
.08	357	2.9
.09	450	3.6
.10	551	4.4
.11	569	4.6
.12	645	5.2
.13	690	5.5
.14	777	6.2
.15	768	6.1
.16	795	6.4
.17	729	5.8
.18	731	5.9
.19	665	5.3
.20	633	5.1
.21	535	4.3
.22	479	3.8
.23	410	3.3
.24	319	2.6
.25	276	2.2
.26	245	2.0
.27	177	1.4
.28	142	1.1
.29	148	1.2
.30	70	0.6
.31	72	0.6
.32	60	0.5
.33	42	0.3
.34	40	0.3
.35	28	0.2
.36	22	0.2
.37	18	0.1
.38	21	0.2
.39	6	0.1
.40	7	0.1
.41	3	0.0
.42	3	0.0
.43	2	0.0
.45	2	0.0
TOTAL	12502	100.0
	MEAN** BAC .16	
	MEDIAN** BAC .17	

\*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted alcohol-crash drivers (62.7% of the records showed BAC levels).

\*\*The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which could be related to drivers driving under the influence of drugs

## DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

### DUI Arrest Data:

Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates, or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994. In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

### DUI Conviction Data:

Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy or through direct electronic access from the courts) they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the automated name index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract. The total number of DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts is tallied monthly and annually. Since this workload total includes abstracts which amend, correct, or dismiss prior abstracts of conviction, it tends to overestimate the actual number of convictions which have occurred. Conviction data are also subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those for DUI arrests. Although the 1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System documented the fact that thousands of DUI convictions appearing in court records did not appear on the DMV driver record database, an upcoming study by DMV's Justice and Government Branch will document the current level of discrepancy.

### Alcohol-Involved Crash Data:

Crash data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on crashes involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only crashes varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in their annual report.

## HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975

AB 91 (Feuer), effective 7/01/2010, establishes a pilot program in four counties (Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare) that requires convicted first-time and repeat DUI offenders, as a condition of obtaining a restricted driver's license, to install an ignition interlock device (IID) on all vehicles they own or operate. The required time period for the IID installation would be based on the number of prior DUI convictions. The law also requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to evaluate the effectiveness of the pilot program in reducing the recidivism rate of DUI offenders and to report their findings to the legislature.

SB 598 (Huff), effective 7/01/2010, requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to advise second and third offenders convicted of misdemeanor DUI (alcohol only), of the option of obtaining a restricted driver's license after completing a 90-day suspension period for a second misdemeanor DUI or a 6-month suspension period for a third misdemeanor DUI. The issuance of a restricted driver's license is subject to certain conditions among which are the installation and maintenance of an ignition interlock device (IID) in any vehicle that the offender owns or operates and enrollment in a DUI program.

SB 1388 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/2009, transfers regulatory authority for the administration of mandatory ignition interlock device (IID) programs from the state courts to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV). This law also authorizes the DMV to require any driver convicted of driving with a suspended license, due to a prior conviction for DUI, to install an IID in any vehicle that the offender owns or operates.

SB 1190 (Oropeza), effective 1/1/2009, reduces the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court may require first time offenders convicted of a DUI to install an ignition interlock device (IID) from 0.20% to 0.15% at the time of arrest.

AB 2802 (Houston), effective 1/1/2009, requires the court to order a person convicted of alcohol-reckless driving to participate in a licensed DUI program for at least 9 months, if that person has a prior conviction for alcohol-reckless driving or a DUI within 10 years. This law requires the court to revoke the person's probation for failure to enroll in, participate in, or complete the program. It also requires the



Department of Motor Vehicles to include in the annual report to the Legislature an evaluation of the effectiveness of that program.

AB 1165 (Maze), effective 1/1/2009, authorizes law enforcement to issue a notice of suspension and impound the vehicle of a convicted DUI offender, who is on probation and is driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater (as measured by a preliminary alcohol screen test or other chemical test).

SB 1756 (Migden), effective 1/1/2007, extends driver's license suspension from 6 to 10 months for a person convicted of a first DUI offense, who is granted probation, and whose blood alcohol level (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

AB 2520 (Committee on Transportation), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to immediately suspend (APS action) the commercial driver's license of a driver operating a commercial vehicle with a blood alcohol level (BAC) of 0.04% or greater.

AB 2559 (Benoit), effective 1/1/2007, reorganizes the section of the penal code 192 (c) (3) related to gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated, to include the offense where the intoxication was a contributing factor in the killing.

AB 2752 (Spitzer), effective 1/1/2007, makes it an infraction for a person under the age of 21 to drive with any measurable (0.01% or greater) blood alcohol concentration. Persons under the age of 21 will now be subject to criminal penalties.

AB 3045 (Koretz), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to verify installment of an ignition interlock device (IID) before reinstating the driving privilege, when an IID restriction is imposed by the courts.

SB 207 (Scott), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a statewide administrative vehicle impoundment program for repeat DUI offenders, when the driver's BAC level is 0.10% or more by weight, or when the driver refuses to submit to a chemical test. If the driver has one prior DUI conviction within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 5 days, and if the driver has two or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 15 days.

SB 547 (Cox), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a pilot program in Sacramento County that would authorize a peace officer to impound a person's vehicle for up to 30 days, if the driver has one or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years. Vehicle impoundment will take place in combination with a DUI intervention program established by the county. This bill shall remain operative until January 1, 2009, and would require the county to report the effectiveness of the pilot program to the Legislature.

SB 571 (Levine), effective 1/1/2006, lowers the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court must consider enhanced penalties from 0.20% to 0.15%, if a person is convicted of DUI.

AB 979 (Runner), effective 1/1/2006, reduces the mandatory suspension/revocation period, from a 12- to 30-month range to 12 months for repeat DUI offenders, before they become eligible to obtain a restricted driver's license. The license restriction requires the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID). This bill allows for a mandatory 30-day vehicle impoundment period if a person is operating the vehicle in violation of the ignition interlock device restriction.

AB 1353 (Liu), effective 9/20/2005, increases the duration of DUI programs from 6 to 9 months (consisting of at least 60 hours of program activities) for first DUI offenders, who are granted probation, and whose blood alcohol content (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

SB 1694 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, increases the time period from 7 to 10 years during which arrests and/or convictions of DUI will be counted as prior offenses for enhanced penalties (includes DUI convictions of persons under age 21). This new law also requires the court to order a person convicted of a prior DUI to complete a DUI program, even though that prior conviction occurred more than 10 years ago, and authorizes the court to order the person to complete a repeat offender DUI program. Finally, it expands court-ordered participation in a county alcohol/drug assessment program to all persons convicted of a repeat DUI offense within 10 years of a prior offense.

SB 1696 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, requires the DUI program providers to send proof of enrollment in, or proof of completion of, the programs directly to DMV Headquarters, and prohibits the DMV from receiving the certificates from program participants.

SB 1697 (Torlakson), effective 9/20/2005, assigns sole responsibility for imposing driver license actions for DUI arrests and convictions to DMV, and removes this responsibility from the courts. It also ensures that all persons convicted of a DUI will receive a license restriction, suspension, or revocation of the driving privilege.

SB 408 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2004, prohibits the DMV (for cases showing a “critical need to drive”) from issuing a restricted drivers license to minors convicted of DUI with a BAC of 0.01% or greater if the minor has other zero tolerance or DUI convictions within 7 years of the current violation.

AB 1078 (Jackson), effective 1/1/2002, removes the 10-year limit on certain vehicular manslaughter convictions, resulting in the permanent retention of these violations on the driver’s record. These convictions would be considered by the court as “priors” for enhancing penalties upon subsequent conviction for DUI.

AB 803 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2001, requires the court to order a person who is at least 18 years of age who is convicted of a first violation of DUI with 0.05% or more, by weight, of alcohol to attend the educational component of a licensed DUI program; upon a second or subsequent conviction, the court is required to order the person, in addition to other penalties, to attend a 30-hour DUI program. If the person’s license is suspended, the DMV cannot reinstate the driving privilege until the person provides proof of having completed the program as specified.

AB 1650 (Assembly Transportation Committee), effective 1/1/2000, is a committee bill intended to deal with transportation issues more efficiently by clarifying and making technical changes. This bill authorizes the DMV to impose a driver license suspension on those convicted of DUI in a water vessel involving injury; this remedies an oversight in existing law which provides for sanctions against drivers convicted of DUI in a water vessel without injury, but does not specify sanctions for cases involving injury.

AB 762 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/1999, extends the suspension period for a second-DUI offender from 18 months to 2 years, but allows the second offender to serve 12 months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license, with continued enrollment in a DUI program and installation of an ignition interlock device; requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed 3 years or until the driving privilege is reinstated, and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings (0.20% or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury crashes. This law requires that upon a first DUI conviction, if a court grants probation, 1) the person's driving privilege shall be suspended for 6 months by the DMV, in addition to other penalties, or 2) the person may operate a motor vehicle restricted for 90 days, to and from work and DUI program, if the person establishes proof of financial responsibility and complies with other penalties and fees.

SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for 1 year the driving privilege of any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under authority section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under section 23246(g)] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with, or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the device; amends certain sections to specify that completion of a DUI program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.

SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and/or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.

SB 1176 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1999, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcohol-related reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.

SB 1890 (Hurt), effective 1/1/1999, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.

AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/1999, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, refer a first offender whose BAC level is less than 0.20%, by weight, to participate for at least 3 months (minimum 30 hours) or longer to a licensed education/counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to 0.20% or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least 6 months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education/counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning 1/1/2000, to prepare, or contract to be prepared, an alcohol and drug assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.

AB 130 (Battin), effective 1/1/1998, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a habitual traffic offender for a 3-year period and have their driver license revoked for 4 years.

SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1998, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within 7 years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, is ordered to enroll in, participate, and complete a DUI treatment program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit for any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.

AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1/1/1997, cited as “Courtney’s Law”; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional 5-year prison enhancement.

SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1997, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first Failure to Appear (FTA) for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.

SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1996, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1/1/1995, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a BAC of 0.05% or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged with vehicular manslaughter (Penal Code (PC) 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these vehicular manslaughter laws.

SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1995, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to 2 years revocation for the second offense in 7 years and 3 years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the CVC section 23140 to drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a 0.05% BAC level or greater.

SB 1758 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1995, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first APS DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension/restriction shall be 6 months, rather than 4 months. Suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days. If the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle and has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended/revoked, the vehicle is subject to forfeiture to local authorities.

AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9/30/1994, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary IID orders (23235), although part of the repealed statutes were incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (section 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.

SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1994, amends CVC 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the 4-month administrative suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat DUI offenders, 23161 requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for 1 to 3 years after restoration of the driving privilege; specifies that the person cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate their license privilege, includes violation of 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with 0.05% BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1994, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, as measured by a PAS test; violators receive

a 1-year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for 1 year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective 7/1/1993, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within 7 years of a prior conviction to install an IID on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of 1 to 3 years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for 1 year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective 7/1/1993, changes the effective date of APS suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9/26/1992, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective 1/1/1992, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the APS laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of 0.08% or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective 1/1/1992, amends Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to 6 months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of 2800.2 or .3 (evading a peace officer in a reckless manner, causing injury or death), within 7 years of a violation of 23103, 23152, 23153, or PCs 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9/28/1990, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This urgency statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases, permits the court to grant subjects revoked for 3-or-more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on



satisfactory completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7/26/1990, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from 0.10% to 0.08%, requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (1-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, 2-year license revocation for one prior or APS action, and 3-year revocation for two or more prior DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under 13353 and 13353.2 CVC to be considered as priors.

SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7/1/1990, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed \$100, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.

AB 757 (Friedman), effective 1/1/1990, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.

SB 310 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of PCs 191.5 or 192(c3), CVC 23152 which occurred within 7 years of two or more convictions of 23152 or 23153, or a violation of 23153 which occurred within 7 years of one or more convictions of 23152 or 23153 or the cited PC sections.

SB 408 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1990, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of 0.08% or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.

SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990 for vessel provisions and 1/1/1992 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of 0.04% or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above 0.01%, but less than 0.04%; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill, a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.

SB 1344 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30-hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds 6 months of monitoring and follow-up to second offender programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.

SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1/1/1990, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within 7 years as “habitual traffic offenders.”

AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1/1/1989, allows the 4<sup>th</sup> DUI within 7 years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than 1 year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a 1-year or 30-month treatment program.

AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1/1/1989, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of CVC 23140.

SB 1300 (Campbell), effective 1/1/1989, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for 1 year, or delay the driving privilege of those 13 years or older, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.

SB 1964 (Robbins), effective 1/1/1989, requires all first DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.

SB 885 (Royce), effective 1/1/1988, requires that a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense to show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the 1-year restricted license.

SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1988, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12-month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders, in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than 1 year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.

AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1/1/1987, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4, 6, or 10 years. Former PC 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).

AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1/1/1987, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of 0.05% or more (CVC 23140). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.

SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.

SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1987, extends the sentencing period for prior DUIs from 5 to 7 years, and specifies a 3- to 5-year probation term for a DUI conviction.

SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes courts to order the installation of IID for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.

SB 925 (Seymour), effective 7/1/1986, extends the period of license suspension for second-misdemeanor offenders from 1 year to 18 months, and also requires that

offenders with three-or-more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.

AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9/29/1985, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20% percent or above, or a refusal to take a chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petrus), effective 1/1/1985, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two-or-more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within 5 years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective 1/1/1985, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153. The same time period for impoundment is required for second offenses within 5 years. For third-and-subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1984, requires a 1-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (Sections 23152, 23153 CVC).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7/1/1982, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of 6 months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1/1/1982, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a BAC level of 0.10% or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (0.05%-

0.09%) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1982, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is a misdemeanor, while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90-day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only) and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6-month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for 1 year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of \$390 is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three-or-more DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are referred to a 12-month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior DUIs changes from 7 to 5 years. Convictions of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer license actions and jail terms) than the misdemeanor offenses. The only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for 1 year and a license restriction for 2 years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1978, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1976, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12-month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12-month suspension or 3-year revocation.

## REFERENCES

- Automobile Club of Southern California, California Highway Patrol, California State Automobile Association, & Department of Motor Vehicles. (2009). *Digest of Traffic Legislation*. Sacramento: Authors.
- California Department of Motor Vehicles. (1984-87). *An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system. Volumes 1-8*. Sacramento: Author.
- California Highway Patrol. (2003). *2003 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Sacramento: Author.
- DeYoung, D. J. (1995). *An evaluation of the effectiveness of California drinking driver programs* (Report No. 146). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- DeYoung, D. J. (1997). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effect of vehicle impoundment on suspended, revoked and unlicensed drivers in California* (Report No. 171). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- DeYoung, D. J., Peck, R. C., & Helander, C. J. (1999). Estimating the exposure and fatal crash rates of suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers in California. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 29(1), 17-23.
- Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system* (Report No. 121). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. (1995, September). DUI legislation 1982-1995. *The 1995 Judicial DUI Seminar*. Presented by the Committee on Drinking Drivers of the Municipal Court Judges Association and the Los Angeles County Municipal Courts Planning and Research Unit. Oxnard, California.
- Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2009). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 228). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Peck, R. C. (1991). The general and specific deterrent effects of DUI sanctions: A review of California's experience. *Alcohol, Drugs and Driving*, 7(1), 13-42.
- Peck, R. C. (1993, January). *California DMV's driving under the influence R&D program: Some recent findings and activities*. Paper presented at the 72<sup>nd</sup> Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Session 86A. Washington, DC.
- Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1992). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 134). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1999). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 179). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2000). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 185). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2001). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 188). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2002). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 191). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2003). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 198). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2004). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 206). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2005). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 211). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2006). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 220). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.



Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2007). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 222). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2008). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 224). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Peck, R. C. (1986). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effects of alternative sanctions for first and repeat DUI offenders: Vol. 3 of an evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 95). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

## GLOSSARY

### ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se ("on-the-spot") license suspension or revocation occurs immediately upon arrest for the following reasons: a person was driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, a person refuses a chemical test, a commercial driver was driving a commercial vehicle with a BAC of 0.04% or more, or a person was on probation for a violation of Section 23152 or 23153 and had a BAC of 0.01% or more. Also, in January 1994, California enacted a "zero tolerance" statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS.

### ALCOHOL-INVOLVED CRASH

Alcohol-involved crashes are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the crash report that the driver "had-been-drinking (HBD)." Crashes involving drivers who are determined to be under the influence of drugs other than alcohol (typically less than 1% of all crashes) are also included in the alcohol-involved crash category.

### ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Commonly called a "wet" reckless, alcohol-related reckless driving refers to an arrest/conviction incident which originated as a DUI arrest. DUI arrests involving drugs which are reduced to reckless driving are also referred to as alcohol-involved or "wet" reckless driving. "Wet" reckless convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

### ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small—e.g., .01, .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

### BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

### CONVICTION

Conviction of an offense, as used in this report, refers to the receipt by DMV of a court abstract of conviction. In a small proportion of cases, an offender may be convicted of an offense but that conviction is not reported to DMV. Such cases would functionally be treated by DMV as though the offender had not been convicted. Because convictions can be amended, corrected, dismissed, or simply not reported at all, the conviction totals reported herein are dynamic and subject to change.

### COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

### DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152, 23153, 23140, of the California Vehicle Code, Penal Codes 191.5a, b, 192.3c, d, 192.5a, b, US Codes J36FR46, J36423, and out of state DUI codes.

### DUI CONVICTION RATE

Percent of total DUI convictions with a violation (arrest) date in a given calendar year divided by the total number of DUI arrests in the same calendar year.

### LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred crashes and/or DUI incidents.

### MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless-driving and hit-and-run convictions.

### MEAN

Arithmetic average computed by adding up all the values and dividing them by the number of values.

### MEDIAN

The median is the midpoint in a set of values arranged from lowest to highest, so that half of the values are below and half are above.

$p$

$p$  stands for probability. For example, if  $p < .05$ , the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference found is by chance alone.

### QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be exercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

### STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone.

## APPENDIX A

Assembly Bill No. 757

### CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code, relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed  
with Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program

assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

O

## APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		214811	172220	80.2	42591	19.8	85305	39.7	97709	45.5	15678	7.3	16119	7.5
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	39	30	76.9	9	23.1	17	43.6	15	38.5	2	5.1	5	12.8
	18-20	602	468	77.7	134	22.3	183	30.4	241	40.0	96	15.9	82	13.6
	21-30	3524	2727	77.4	797	22.6	951	27.0	1350	38.3	698	19.8	525	14.9
	31-40	1965	1587	80.8	378	19.2	454	23.1	771	39.2	486	24.7	254	12.9
	41-50	1304	1058	81.1	246	18.9	468	35.9	331	25.4	362	27.8	143	11.0
	51-60	602	479	79.6	123	20.4	265	44.0	103	17.1	173	28.7	61	10.1
	61-70	142	114	80.3	28	19.7	72	50.7	22	15.5	36	25.4	12	8.5
	71 & ABOVE	25	17	68.0	8	32.0	14	56.0	3	12.0	5	20.0	3	12.0
	TOTAL	8203	6480	79.0	1723	21.0	2424	29.6	2836	34.6	1858	22.7	1085	13.2
ALPINE	21-30	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	31-40	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	8	4	50.0	4	50.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	20	13	65.0	7	35.0	18	90.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	1	5.0
	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	16	15	93.8	1	6.3	14	87.5	0	0.0	1	6.3	1	6.3
AMADOR	21-30	88	69	78.4	19	21.6	68	77.3	11	12.5	1	1.1	8	9.1
	31-40	60	48	80.0	12	20.0	42	70.0	15	25.0	0	0.0	3	5.0
	41-50	97	64	66.0	33	34.0	83	85.6	6	6.2	1	1.0	7	7.2
	51-60	45	28	62.2	17	37.8	43	95.6	2	4.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	8	80.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	324	240	74.1	84	25.9	265	81.8	37	11.4	3	0.9	19	5.9
	UNDER 18	22	21	95.5	1	4.5	19	86.4	1	4.5	1	4.5	1	4.5
	18-20	240	208	86.7	32	13.3	186	77.5	36	15.0	7	2.9	11	4.6
	21-30	868	769	88.6	99	11.4	655	75.5	152	17.5	24	2.8	37	4.3
BUTTE	31-40	402	337	83.8	65	16.2	315	78.4	64	15.9	11	2.7	12	3.0
	41-50	379	310	81.8	69	18.2	304	80.2	50	13.2	18	4.7	7	1.8
	51-60	217	184	84.8	33	15.2	198	91.2	8	3.7	6	2.8	5	2.3
	61-70	64	47	73.4	17	26.6	57	89.1	5	7.8	2	3.1	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	16	15	93.8	1	6.3	15	93.8	1	6.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2208	1891	85.6	317	14.4	1749	79.2	317	14.4	69	3.1	73	3.3
	UNDER 18	22	21	95.5	1	4.5	19	86.4	1	4.5	1	4.5	1	4.5

TABLE B1: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	19	16	84.2	3	15.8	15	78.9	3	15.8	1	5.3	0	0.0
	21-30	102	95	93.1	7	6.9	80	78.4	18	17.6	2	2.0	2	2.0
	31-40	75	57	76.0	18	24.0	58	77.3	12	16.0	2	2.7	3	4.0
	41-50	96	69	71.9	27	28.1	85	88.5	8	8.3	1	1.0	2	2.1
	51-60	61	41	67.2	20	32.8	57	93.4	1	1.6	1	1.6	2	3.3
	61-70	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	21	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	380	299	78.7	81	21.3	322	84.7	42	11.1	7	1.8	9	2.4
COLUSA	18-20	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	8	38.1	13	61.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	72	57	79.2	15	20.8	24	33.3	45	62.5	2	2.8	1	1.4
	31-40	49	39	79.6	10	20.4	22	44.9	26	53.1	0	0.0	1	2.0
	41-50	53	39	73.6	14	26.4	33	62.3	19	35.8	1	1.9	0	0.0
	51-60	24	18	75.0	6	25.0	17	70.8	7	29.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	228	179	78.5	49	21.5	109	47.8	114	50.0	3	1.3	2	0.9
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	39	27	69.2	12	30.8	27	69.2	8	20.5	1	2.6	3	7.7
	18-20	357	285	79.8	72	20.2	164	45.9	138	38.7	23	6.4	32	9.0
	21-30	1972	1551	78.7	421	21.3	764	38.7	785	39.8	231	11.7	192	9.7
	31-40	1051	847	80.6	204	19.4	361	34.3	392	37.3	203	19.3	95	9.0
	41-50	819	628	76.7	191	23.3	427	52.1	199	24.3	145	17.7	48	5.9
	51-60	394	283	71.8	111	28.2	247	62.7	56	14.2	68	17.3	23	5.8
	61-70	110	91	82.7	19	17.3	75	68.2	12	10.9	15	13.6	8	7.3
	71 & ABOVE	33	30	90.9	3	9.1	28	84.8	2	6.1	2	6.1	1	3.0
	TOTAL	4775	3742	78.4	1033	21.6	2093	43.8	1592	33.3	688	14.4	402	8.4
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	18	13	72.2	5	27.8	12	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	33.3
	21-30	84	72	85.7	12	14.3	56	66.7	16	19.0	1	1.2	11	13.1
	31-40	44	33	75.0	11	25.0	31	70.5	7	15.9	0	0.0	6	13.6
	41-50	70	45	64.3	25	35.7	56	80.0	5	7.1	1	1.4	8	11.4
	51-60	44	34	77.3	10	22.7	40	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	9.1
	61-70	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	268	203	75.7	65	24.3	202	75.4	29	10.8	2	0.7	35	13.1



TABLE B1: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	12	80.0	2	13.3	0	0.0	1	6.7
	18-20	117	95	81.2	22	18.8	102	87.2	9	7.7	2	1.7	4	3.4
	21-30	474	365	77.0	109	23.0	389	82.1	65	13.7	4	0.8	16	3.4
	31-40	250	177	70.8	73	29.2	202	80.8	39	15.6	3	1.2	6	2.4
	41-50	271	183	67.5	88	32.5	239	88.2	21	7.7	1	0.4	10	3.7
	51-60	166	112	67.5	54	32.5	152	91.6	8	4.8	3	1.8	3	1.8
	61-70	44	35	79.5	9	20.5	41	93.2	0	0.0	2	4.5	1	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1343	982	73.1	361	26.9	1143	85.1	144	10.7	15	1.1	41	3.1
FRESNO	UNDER 18	65	52	80.0	13	20.0	22	33.8	39	60.0	2	3.1	2	3.1
	18-20	666	555	83.3	111	16.7	156	23.4	436	65.5	29	4.4	45	6.8
	21-30	3410	2850	83.6	560	16.4	764	22.4	2329	68.3	116	3.4	201	5.9
	31-40	1857	1563	84.2	294	15.8	353	19.0	1327	71.5	98	5.3	79	4.3
	41-50	1134	901	79.5	233	20.5	353	31.1	649	57.2	86	7.6	46	4.1
	51-60	478	388	81.2	90	18.8	187	39.1	218	45.6	50	10.5	23	4.8
	61-70	119	104	87.4	15	12.6	53	44.5	56	47.1	4	3.4	6	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	22	17	77.3	5	22.7	11	50.0	10	45.5	0	0.0	1	4.5
	TOTAL	7751	6430	83.0	1321	17.0	1899	24.5	5064	65.3	385	5.0	403	5.2
GLENN	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	41	35	85.4	6	14.6	23	56.1	16	39.0	1	2.4	1	2.4
	21-30	160	136	85.0	24	15.0	70	43.8	79	49.4	2	1.2	9	5.6
	31-40	117	90	76.9	27	23.1	55	47.0	57	48.7	0	0.0	5	4.3
	41-50	108	83	76.9	25	23.1	68	63.0	32	29.6	3	2.8	5	4.6
	51-60	52	48	92.3	4	7.7	36	69.2	15	28.8	1	1.9	0	0.0
	61-70	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	11	73.3	2	13.3	2	13.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
	TOTAL	498	410	82.3	88	17.7	267	53.6	201	40.4	9	1.8	21	4.2
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	90	70	77.8	20	22.2	70	77.8	7	7.8	1	1.1	12	13.3
	21-30	554	411	74.2	143	25.8	456	82.3	45	8.1	5	0.9	48	8.7
	31-40	319	241	75.5	78	24.5	263	82.4	15	4.7	9	2.8	32	10.0
	41-50	248	179	72.2	69	27.8	202	81.5	11	4.4	8	3.2	27	10.9
	51-60	167	129	77.2	38	22.8	145	86.8	8	4.8	2	1.2	12	7.2
	61-70	34	26	76.5	8	23.5	29	85.3	3	8.8	1	2.9	1	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1424	1064	74.7	360	25.3	1176	82.6	90	6.3	26	1.8	132	9.3

TABLE B1: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	5	23.8	15	71.4	0	0.0	1	4.8
	18-20	154	120	77.9	34	22.1	30	19.5	118	76.6	4	2.6	2	1.3
	21-30	537	439	81.8	98	18.2	93	17.3	411	76.5	18	3.4	15	2.8
	31-40	262	211	80.5	51	19.5	42	16.0	195	74.4	10	3.8	15	5.7
	41-50	256	210	82.0	46	18.0	65	25.4	173	67.6	11	4.3	7	2.7
	51-60	123	112	91.1	11	8.9	42	34.1	78	63.4	1	0.8	2	1.6
	61-70	45	38	84.4	7	15.6	14	31.1	29	64.4	0	0.0	2	4.4
	71 & ABOVE	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	4	50.0	3	37.5	1	12.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1406	1156	82.2	250	17.8	295	21.0	1022	72.7	45	3.2	44	3.1
INYO	18-20	23	11	47.8	12	52.2	15	65.2	2	8.7	0	0.0	6	26.1
	21-30	116	93	80.2	23	19.8	65	56.0	22	19.0	0	0.0	29	25.0
	31-40	56	41	73.2	15	26.8	31	55.4	9	16.1	1	1.8	15	26.8
	41-50	80	54	67.5	26	32.5	61	76.3	11	13.8	0	0.0	8	10.0
	51-60	47	37	78.7	10	21.3	37	78.7	4	8.5	0	0.0	6	12.8
	61-70	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	17	81.0	1	4.8	1	4.8	2	9.5
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	2	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	71.4
	TOTAL	350	262	74.9	88	25.1	228	65.1	49	14.0	2	0.6	71	20.3
	UNDER 18	53	42	79.2	11	20.8	18	34.0	33	62.3	1	1.9	1	1.9
KERN	18-20	528	455	86.2	73	13.8	173	32.8	319	60.4	15	2.8	21	4.0
	21-30	2526	2145	84.9	381	15.1	791	31.3	1544	61.1	133	5.3	58	2.3
	31-40	1309	1113	85.0	196	15.0	376	28.7	815	62.3	84	6.4	34	2.6
	41-50	964	767	79.6	197	20.4	447	46.4	436	45.2	65	6.7	16	1.7
	51-60	405	337	83.2	68	16.8	217	53.6	129	31.9	45	11.1	14	3.5
	61-70	89	82	92.1	7	7.9	47	52.8	32	36.0	7	7.9	3	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	12	75.0	4	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	5890	4955	84.1	935	15.9	2081	35.3	3312	56.2	350	5.9	147	2.5
	UNDER 18	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	2	16.7	8	66.7	1	8.3	1	8.3
KINGS	18-20	105	84	80.0	21	20.0	32	30.5	62	59.0	6	5.7	5	4.8
	21-30	567	485	85.5	82	14.5	137	24.2	369	65.1	35	6.2	26	4.6
	31-40	254	222	87.4	32	12.6	47	18.5	186	73.2	15	5.9	6	2.4
	41-50	176	146	83.0	30	17.0	56	31.8	103	58.5	12	6.8	5	2.8
	51-60	86	76	88.4	10	11.6	34	39.5	42	48.8	6	7.0	4	4.7
	61-70	14	12	85.7	2	14.3	6	42.9	5	35.7	3	21.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1218	1040	85.4	178	14.6	316	25.9	777	63.8	78	6.4	47	3.9

TABLE B1: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
LAKE	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	5	71.4	1	14.3	1	14.3	0	0.0
	18-20	46	40	87.0	6	13.0	38	82.6	6	13.0	2	4.3	0	0.0
	21-30	142	112	78.9	30	21.1	95	66.9	31	21.8	6	4.2	10	7.0
	31-40	114	91	79.8	23	20.2	87	76.3	17	14.9	1	0.9	9	7.9
	41-50	123	83	67.5	40	32.5	103	83.7	11	8.9	4	3.3	5	4.1
	51-60	100	77	77.0	23	23.0	89	89.0	3	3.0	6	6.0	2	2.0
	61-70	29	18	62.1	11	37.9	25	86.2	2	6.9	1	3.4	1	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	9	90.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	571	436	76.4	135	23.6	451	79.0	72	12.6	21	3.7	27	4.7
LASSEN	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	16	84.2	2	10.5	0	0.0	1	5.3
	21-30	62	48	77.4	14	22.6	46	74.2	9	14.5	2	3.2	5	8.1
	31-40	33	24	72.7	9	27.3	24	72.7	5	15.2	2	6.1	2	6.1
	41-50	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	35	87.5	2	5.0	1	2.5	2	5.0
	51-60	28	21	75.0	7	25.0	24	85.7	1	3.6	0	0.0	3	10.7
	61-70	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	197	156	79.2	41	20.8	160	81.2	19	9.6	5	2.5	13	6.6
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	136	110	80.9	26	19.1	54	39.7	66	48.5	4	2.9	12	8.8
	18-20	2965	2321	78.3	644	21.7	772	26.0	1775	59.9	154	5.2	264	8.9
	21-30	19160	14910	77.8	4250	22.2	4357	22.7	11223	58.6	1410	7.4	2170	11.3
	31-40	10915	9146	83.8	1769	16.2	2182	20.0	6515	59.7	1266	11.6	952	8.7
	41-50	6945	5749	82.8	1196	17.2	1873	27.0	3539	51.0	1015	14.6	518	7.5
	51-60	2923	2471	84.5	452	15.5	959	32.8	1222	41.8	491	16.8	251	8.6
	61-70	709	635	89.6	74	10.4	310	43.7	234	33.0	111	15.7	54	7.6
	71 & ABOVE	114	99	86.8	15	13.2	52	45.6	34	29.8	21	18.4	7	6.1
	TOTAL	43867	35441	80.8	8426	19.2	10559	24.1	24608	56.1	4472	10.2	4228	9.6
MADERA	UNDER 18	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	4	30.8	9	69.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	105	89	84.8	16	15.2	26	24.8	72	68.6	2	1.9	5	4.8
	21-30	463	420	90.7	43	9.3	107	23.1	333	71.9	10	2.2	13	2.8
	31-40	242	197	81.4	45	18.6	60	24.8	158	65.3	11	4.5	13	5.4
	41-50	196	155	79.1	41	20.9	83	42.3	104	53.1	5	2.6	4	2.0
	51-60	109	85	78.0	24	22.0	49	45.0	45	41.3	8	7.3	7	6.4
	61-70	35	33	94.3	2	5.7	22	62.9	12	34.3	0	0.0	1	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	2	25.0	4	50.0	0	0.0	2	25.0
	TOTAL	1171	998	85.2	173	14.8	353	30.1	737	62.9	36	3.1	45	3.8

TABLE B1: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	8	66.7	3	25.0	1	8.3	0	0.0
	18-20	90	71	78.9	19	21.1	49	54.4	32	35.6	3	3.3	6	6.7
	21-30	580	444	76.6	136	23.4	257	44.3	256	44.1	24	4.1	43	7.4
	31-40	370	283	76.5	87	23.5	197	53.2	113	30.5	22	5.9	38	10.3
	41-50	281	211	75.1	70	24.9	207	73.7	42	14.9	21	7.5	11	3.9
	51-60	189	145	76.7	44	23.3	159	84.1	10	5.3	13	6.9	7	3.7
	61-70	76	52	68.4	24	31.6	68	89.5	3	3.9	3	3.9	2	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	10	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	9.1
	TOTAL	1609	1226	76.2	383	23.8	955	59.4	459	28.5	87	5.4	108	6.7
MARIPOSA	18-20	9	8	88.9	1	11.1	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	27	23	85.2	4	14.8	26	96.3	1	3.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	10	62.5	5	31.3	1	6.3	0	0.0
	41-50	19	12	63.2	7	36.8	13	68.4	5	26.3	0	0.0	1	5.3
	51-60	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	13	92.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.1
	61-70	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	92	75	81.5	17	18.5	77	83.7	12	13.0	1	1.1	2	2.2
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	10	7	70.0	3	30.0	4	40.0	6	60.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	88	69	78.4	19	21.6	56	63.6	23	26.1	0	0.0	9	10.2
	21-30	387	322	83.2	65	16.8	255	65.9	103	26.6	8	2.1	21	5.4
	31-40	203	163	80.3	40	19.7	138	68.0	46	22.7	4	2.0	15	7.4
	41-50	187	145	77.5	42	22.5	140	74.9	32	17.1	2	1.1	13	7.0
	51-60	121	83	68.6	38	31.4	100	82.6	11	9.1	0	0.0	10	8.3
	61-70	26	20	76.9	6	23.1	26	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1027	814	79.3	213	20.7	723	70.4	222	21.6	14	1.4	68	6.6
MERCED	UNDER 18	24	22	91.7	2	8.3	11	45.8	12	50.0	0	0.0	1	4.2
	18-20	255	225	88.2	30	11.8	75	29.4	158	62.0	8	3.1	14	5.5
	21-30	1119	951	85.0	168	15.0	222	19.8	807	72.1	32	2.9	58	5.2
	31-40	526	438	83.3	88	16.7	120	22.8	368	70.0	30	5.7	8	1.5
	41-50	359	283	78.8	76	21.2	119	33.1	211	58.8	13	3.6	16	4.5
	51-60	170	148	87.1	22	12.9	61	35.9	86	50.6	12	7.1	11	6.5
	61-70	41	34	82.9	7	17.1	19	46.3	12	29.3	8	19.5	2	4.9
	71 & ABOVE	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	6	50.0	6	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2506	2111	84.2	395	15.8	633	25.3	1660	66.2	103	4.1	110	4.4

TABLE B1: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	4	66.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	21	17	81.0	4	19.0	14	66.7	5	23.8	0	0.0	2	9.5
	31-40	17	14	82.4	3	17.6	11	64.7	4	23.5	1	5.9	1	5.9
	41-50	24	19	79.2	5	20.8	18	75.0	3	12.5	0	0.0	3	12.5
	51-60	24	20	83.3	4	16.7	21	87.5	3	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	99	81	81.8	18	18.2	75	75.8	17	17.2	1	1.0	6	6.1
	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	13	12	92.3	1	7.7	10	76.9	2	15.4	1	7.7	0	0.0
MONTEREY	21-30	40	31	77.5	9	22.5	25	62.5	13	32.5	0	0.0	2	5.0
	31-40	38	33	86.8	5	13.2	21	55.3	16	42.1	0	0.0	1	2.6
	41-50	32	23	71.9	9	28.1	27	84.4	2	6.3	1	3.1	2	6.3
	51-60	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	13	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	142	115	81.0	27	19.0	101	71.1	34	23.9	2	1.4	5	3.5
	UNDER 18	19	16	84.2	3	15.8	4	21.1	14	73.7	0	0.0	1	5.3
	18-20	281	244	86.8	37	13.2	64	22.8	202	71.9	5	1.8	10	3.6
	21-30	1519	1281	84.3	238	15.7	335	22.1	1092	71.9	40	2.6	52	3.4
NAPA	31-40	696	584	83.9	112	16.1	171	24.6	476	68.4	18	2.6	31	4.5
	41-50	389	300	77.1	89	22.9	181	46.5	177	45.5	15	3.9	16	4.1
	51-60	231	172	74.5	59	25.5	142	61.5	70	30.3	11	4.8	8	3.5
	61-70	71	54	76.1	17	23.9	53	74.6	13	18.3	3	4.2	2	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	13	8	61.5	5	38.5	10	76.9	1	7.7	1	7.7	1	7.7
	TOTAL	3219	2659	82.6	560	17.4	960	29.8	2045	63.5	93	2.9	121	3.8
	UNDER 18	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	2	28.6	4	57.1	1	14.3	0	0.0
	18-20	87	67	77.0	20	23.0	39	44.8	44	50.6	1	1.1	3	3.4
	21-30	393	326	83.0	67	17.0	166	42.2	204	51.9	10	2.5	13	3.3
	31-40	213	164	77.0	49	23.0	107	50.2	95	44.6	5	2.3	6	2.8
NAPA	41-50	166	127	76.5	39	23.5	99	59.6	52	31.3	7	4.2	8	4.8
	51-60	95	77	81.1	18	18.9	70	73.7	21	22.1	1	1.1	3	3.2
	61-70	25	19	76.0	6	24.0	20	80.0	3	12.0	0	0.0	2	8.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	990	789	79.7	201	20.3	507	51.2	423	42.7	25	2.5	35	3.5

TABLE B1: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	18-20	55	41	74.5	14	25.5	50	90.9	2	3.6	1	1.8	2	3.6
	21-30	242	184	76.0	58	24.0	205	84.7	30	12.4	2	0.8	5	2.1
	31-40	158	128	81.0	30	19.0	132	83.5	19	12.0	3	1.9	4	2.5
	41-50	171	115	67.3	56	32.7	160	93.6	6	3.5	2	1.2	3	1.8
	51-60	105	77	73.3	28	26.7	101	96.2	2	1.9	2	1.9	0	0.0
	61-70	31	23	74.2	8	25.8	30	96.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	8	5	62.5	3	37.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	773	576	74.5	197	25.5	688	89.0	59	7.6	10	1.3	16	2.1
	UNDER 18	137	89	65.0	48	35.0	75	54.7	47	34.3	2	1.5	13	9.5
ORANGE	18-20	1434	1118	78.0	316	22.0	634	44.2	604	42.1	31	2.2	165	11.5
	21-30	7938	6264	78.9	1674	21.1	3153	39.7	3683	46.4	213	2.7	889	11.2
	31-40	3834	3141	81.9	693	18.1	1420	37.0	1945	50.7	108	2.8	361	9.4
	41-50	2729	2091	76.6	638	23.4	1507	55.2	938	34.4	71	2.6	213	7.8
	51-60	1115	887	79.6	228	20.4	698	62.6	278	24.9	27	2.4	112	10.0
	61-70	330	274	83.0	56	17.0	234	70.9	60	18.2	5	1.5	31	9.4
	71 & ABOVE	58	48	82.8	10	17.2	47	81.0	9	15.5	0	0.0	2	3.4
	TOTAL	17575	13912	79.2	3663	20.8	7768	44.2	7564	43.0	457	2.6	1786	10.2
	UNDER 18	25	16	64.0	9	36.0	20	80.0	4	16.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
	18-20	202	148	73.3	54	26.7	162	80.2	26	12.9	1	0.5	13	6.4
PLACER	21-30	944	704	74.6	240	25.4	705	74.7	165	17.5	29	3.1	45	4.8
	31-40	499	380	76.2	119	23.8	355	71.1	107	21.4	18	3.6	19	3.8
	41-50	432	306	70.8	126	29.2	358	82.9	47	10.9	9	2.1	18	4.2
	51-60	240	180	75.0	60	25.0	208	86.7	16	6.7	4	1.7	12	5.0
	61-70	71	55	77.5	16	22.5	63	88.7	4	5.6	3	4.2	1	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	15	10	66.7	5	33.3	12	80.0	1	6.7	0	0.0	2	13.3
	TOTAL	2428	1799	74.1	629	25.9	1883	77.6	370	15.2	64	2.6	111	4.6
	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	22	16	72.7	6	27.3	20	90.9	0	0.0	1	4.5	1	4.5
	21-30	74	50	67.6	24	32.4	64	86.5	7	9.5	1	1.4	2	2.7
PLUMAS	31-40	59	39	66.1	20	33.9	50	84.7	4	6.8	1	1.7	4	6.8
	41-50	67	51	76.1	16	23.9	57	85.1	2	3.0	4	6.0	4	6.0
	51-60	43	32	74.4	11	25.6	42	97.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.3
	61-70	18	16	88.9	2	11.1	17	94.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.6
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	294	214	72.8	80	27.2	261	88.8	13	4.4	7	2.4	13	4.4
	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	22	16	72.7	6	27.3	20	90.9	0	0.0	1	4.5	1	4.5
	21-30	74	50	67.6	24	32.4	64	86.5	7	9.5	1	1.4	2	2.7
	31-40	59	39	66.1	20	33.9	50	84.7	4	6.8	1	1.7	4	6.8

TABLE B1: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	74	52	70.3	22	29.7	41	55.4	27	36.5	4	5.4	2	2.7
	18-20	998	787	78.9	211	21.1	360	36.1	571	57.2	40	4.0	27	2.7
	21-30	4567	3762	82.4	805	17.6	1459	31.9	2726	59.7	216	4.7	166	3.6
	31-40	2307	1912	82.9	395	17.1	740	32.1	1352	58.6	143	6.2	72	3.1
	41-50	1852	1416	76.5	436	23.5	868	46.9	791	42.7	137	7.4	56	3.0
	51-60	767	608	79.3	159	20.7	441	57.5	261	34.0	45	5.9	20	2.6
	61-70	227	179	78.9	48	21.1	152	67.0	50	22.0	14	6.2	11	4.8
	71 & ABOVE	80	67	83.8	13	16.2	62	77.5	13	16.2	3	3.8	2	2.5
SACRAMENTO	TOTAL	10872	8783	80.8	2089	19.2	4123	37.9	5791	53.3	602	5.5	356	3.3
	UNDER 18	39	25	64.1	14	35.9	19	48.7	8	20.5	3	7.7	9	23.1
	18-20	706	540	76.5	166	23.5	322	45.6	220	31.2	70	9.9	94	13.3
	21-30	4067	3059	75.2	1008	24.8	1706	41.9	1295	31.8	535	13.2	531	13.1
	31-40	1760	1344	76.4	416	23.6	699	39.7	563	32.0	333	18.9	165	9.4
	41-50	1284	964	75.1	320	24.9	678	52.8	246	19.2	274	21.3	86	6.7
	51-60	580	472	81.4	108	18.6	330	56.9	87	15.0	128	22.1	35	6.0
	61-70	125	100	80.0	25	20.0	82	65.6	11	8.8	20	16.0	12	9.6
SAN BENITO	71 & ABOVE	25	23	92.0	2	8.0	17	68.0	3	12.0	4	16.0	1	4.0
	TOTAL	8586	6527	76.0	2059	24.0	3853	44.9	2433	28.3	1367	15.9	933	10.9
	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	27	24	88.9	3	11.1	10	37.0	16	59.3	0	0.0	1	3.7
	21-30	113	101	89.4	12	10.6	21	18.6	90	79.6	1	0.9	1	0.9
	31-40	88	74	84.1	14	15.9	20	22.7	66	75.0	2	2.3	0	0.0
	41-50	48	40	83.3	8	16.7	16	33.3	32	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	13	50.0	12	46.2	0	0.0	1	3.8
SAN BERNARDINO	61-70	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	312	270	86.5	42	13.5	85	27.2	221	70.8	3	1.0	3	1.0
	UNDER 18	98	82	83.7	16	16.3	45	45.9	47	48.0	1	1.0	5	5.1
	18-20	1181	977	82.7	204	17.3	418	35.4	659	55.8	60	5.1	44	3.7
	21-30	5838	4780	81.9	1058	18.1	1886	32.3	3231	55.3	412	7.1	309	5.3
	31-40	3151	2639	83.8	512	16.2	940	29.8	1770	56.2	301	9.6	140	4.4
	41-50	2334	1902	81.5	432	18.5	944	40.4	1032	44.2	263	11.3	95	4.1
SAN BERNARDINO	51-60	1033	845	81.8	188	18.2	500	48.4	343	33.2	148	14.3	42	4.1
	61-70	300	264	88.0	36	12.0	167	55.7	80	26.7	39	13.0	14	4.7
	71 & ABOVE	49	44	89.8	5	10.2	34	69.4	11	22.4	3	6.1	1	2.0
	TOTAL	13984	11533	82.5	2451	17.5	4934	35.3	7173	51.3	1227	8.8	650	4.6

TABLE B1: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	141	104	73.8	37	26.2	83	58.9	46	32.6	4	2.8	8	5.7
	18-20	1675	1289	77.0	386	23.0	861	51.4	604	36.1	99	5.9	111	6.6
	21-30	8696	6802	78.2	1894	21.8	4228	48.6	3245	37.3	602	6.9	621	7.1
	31-40	3711	2997	80.8	714	19.2	1671	45.0	1513	40.8	279	7.5	248	6.7
	41-50	2688	2064	76.8	624	23.2	1470	54.7	861	32.0	211	7.8	146	5.4
	51-60	1291	1014	78.5	277	21.5	834	64.6	310	24.0	82	6.4	65	5.0
	61-70	319	260	81.5	59	18.5	225	70.5	66	20.7	14	4.4	14	4.4
	71 & ABOVE	67	55	82.1	12	17.9	41	61.2	18	26.9	5	7.5	3	4.5
	TOTAL	18588	14585	78.5	4003	21.5	9413	50.6	6663	35.8	1296	7.0	1216	6.5
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0
	18-20	87	60	69.0	27	31.0	30	34.5	18	20.7	11	12.6	28	32.2
	21-30	650	492	75.7	158	24.3	264	40.6	98	15.1	92	14.2	196	30.2
	31-40	408	323	79.2	85	20.8	195	47.8	59	14.5	46	11.3	108	26.5
	41-50	203	168	82.8	35	17.2	118	58.1	25	12.3	33	16.3	27	13.3
	51-60	95	76	80.0	19	20.0	58	61.1	4	4.2	17	17.9	16	16.8
	61-70	35	30	85.7	5	14.3	26	74.3	3	8.6	1	2.9	5	14.3
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	TOTAL	1483	1153	77.7	330	22.3	694	46.8	207	14.0	201	13.6	381	25.7
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	35	26	74.3	9	25.7	18	51.4	15	42.9	0	0.0	2	5.7
	18-20	399	330	82.7	69	17.3	128	32.1	215	53.9	29	7.3	27	6.8
	21-30	1884	1581	83.9	303	16.1	495	26.3	1128	59.9	129	6.8	132	7.0
	31-40	957	814	85.1	143	14.9	240	25.1	556	58.1	94	9.8	67	7.0
	41-50	751	604	80.4	147	19.6	288	38.3	322	42.9	96	12.8	45	6.0
	51-60	338	264	78.1	74	21.9	165	48.8	103	30.5	54	16.0	16	4.7
	61-70	108	98	90.7	10	9.3	56	51.9	30	27.8	15	13.9	7	6.5
	71 & ABOVE	24	17	70.8	7	29.2	14	58.3	5	20.8	5	20.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4496	3734	83.1	762	16.9	1404	31.2	2374	52.8	422	9.4	296	6.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	29	19	65.5	10	34.5	24	82.8	2	6.9	2	6.9	1	3.4
	18-20	298	227	76.2	71	23.8	204	68.5	80	26.8	6	2.0	8	2.7
	21-30	1052	821	78.0	231	22.0	675	64.2	333	31.7	14	1.3	30	2.9
	31-40	440	345	78.4	95	21.6	248	56.4	163	37.0	13	3.0	16	3.6
	41-50	365	265	72.6	100	27.4	293	80.3	61	16.7	6	1.6	5	1.4
	51-60	240	170	70.8	70	29.2	198	82.5	31	12.9	3	1.2	8	3.3
	61-70	61	41	67.2	20	32.8	57	93.4	1	1.6	2	3.3	1	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	18	94.7	1	5.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2504	1905	76.1	599	23.9	1717	68.6	672	26.8	46	1.8	69	2.8



TABLE B1: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	29	23	79.3	6	20.7	20	69.0	4	13.8	0	0.0	5	17.2
	18-20	277	206	74.4	71	25.6	103	37.2	121	43.7	6	2.2	47	17.0
	21-30	1572	1244	79.1	328	20.9	492	31.3	659	41.9	66	4.2	355	22.6
	31-40	776	632	81.4	144	18.6	244	31.4	320	41.2	43	5.5	169	21.8
	41-50	502	359	71.5	143	28.5	273	54.4	137	27.3	31	6.2	61	12.2
	51-60	298	248	83.2	50	16.8	180	60.4	51	17.1	22	7.4	45	15.1
	61-70	69	59	85.5	10	14.5	49	71.0	7	10.1	3	4.3	10	14.5
	71 & ABOVE	18	14	77.8	4	22.2	15	83.3	2	11.1	0	0.0	1	5.6
	TOTAL	3541	2785	78.7	756	21.3	1376	38.9	1301	36.7	171	4.8	693	19.6
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	26	21	80.8	5	19.2	13	50.0	12	46.2	0	0.0	1	3.8
	18-20	287	240	83.6	47	16.4	119	41.5	152	53.0	3	1.0	13	4.5
	21-30	1418	1197	84.4	221	15.6	550	38.8	776	54.7	30	2.1	62	4.4
	31-40	535	448	83.7	87	16.3	182	34.0	321	60.0	14	2.6	18	3.4
	41-50	470	351	74.7	119	25.3	248	52.8	200	42.6	13	2.8	9	1.9
	51-60	256	194	75.8	62	24.2	176	68.8	69	27.0	6	2.3	5	2.0
	61-70	54	45	83.3	9	16.7	47	87.0	6	11.1	1	1.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	19	12	63.2	7	36.8	16	84.2	3	15.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3065	2508	81.8	557	18.2	1351	44.1	1539	50.2	67	2.2	108	3.5
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	66	50	75.8	16	24.2	23	34.8	36	54.5	0	0.0	7	10.6
	18-20	555	442	79.6	113	20.4	179	32.3	282	50.8	15	2.7	79	14.2
	21-30	3571	2886	80.8	685	19.2	894	25.0	1989	55.7	163	4.6	525	14.7
	31-40	1666	1393	83.6	273	16.4	410	24.6	948	56.9	73	4.4	235	14.1
	41-50	1035	832	80.4	203	19.6	421	40.7	450	43.5	51	4.9	113	10.9
	51-60	464	380	81.9	84	18.1	236	50.9	148	31.9	25	5.4	55	11.9
	61-70	107	91	85.0	16	15.0	53	49.5	33	30.8	8	7.5	13	12.1
	71 & ABOVE	20	17	85.0	3	15.0	12	60.0	3	15.0	1	5.0	4	20.0
	TOTAL	7484	6091	81.4	1393	18.6	2228	29.8	3889	52.0	336	4.5	1031	13.8
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	18	10	55.6	8	44.4	9	50.0	8	44.4	1	5.6	0	0.0
	18-20	145	104	71.7	41	28.3	80	55.2	59	40.7	3	2.1	3	2.1
	21-30	563	449	79.8	114	20.2	254	45.1	283	50.3	7	1.2	19	3.4
	31-40	297	248	83.5	49	16.5	130	43.8	157	52.9	8	2.7	2	0.7
	41-50	256	195	76.2	61	23.8	180	70.3	61	23.8	8	3.1	7	2.7
	51-60	164	120	73.2	44	26.8	131	79.9	24	14.6	1	0.6	8	4.9
	61-70	31	25	80.6	6	19.4	23	74.2	6	19.4	1	3.2	1	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1482	1159	78.2	323	21.8	815	55.0	598	40.4	29	2.0	40	2.7

TABLE B1: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	19	12	63.2	7	36.8	17	89.5	1	5.3	0	0.0	1	5.3
	18-20	154	115	74.7	39	25.3	131	85.1	8	5.2	5	3.2	10	6.5
	21-30	605	455	75.2	150	24.8	522	86.3	42	6.9	12	2.0	29	4.8
	31-40	335	248	74.0	87	26.0	288	86.0	24	7.2	10	3.0	13	3.9
	41-50	330	218	66.1	112	33.9	304	92.1	11	3.3	6	1.8	9	2.7
	51-60	190	141	74.2	49	25.8	173	91.1	5	2.6	6	3.2	6	3.2
	61-70	53	45	84.9	8	15.1	52	98.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	12	92.3	1	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1699	1245	73.3	454	26.7	1499	88.2	92	5.4	39	2.3	69	4.1
	18-20	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SIERRA	21-30	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	5	71.4	2	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	21	15	71.4	6	28.6	20	95.2	1	4.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	18	13	72.2	5	27.8	17	94.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.6
	61-70	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	60	45	75.0	15	25.0	54	90.0	4	6.7	0	0.0	2	3.3
	UNDER 18	6	3	50.0	3	50.0	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	39	33	84.6	6	15.4	26	66.7	5	12.8	0	0.0	8	20.5
	21-30	160	121	75.6	39	24.4	137	85.6	15	9.4	3	1.9	5	3.1
SISKIYOU	31-40	101	72	71.3	29	28.7	76	75.2	16	15.8	3	3.0	6	5.9
	41-50	108	85	78.7	23	21.3	96	88.9	6	5.6	2	1.9	4	3.7
	51-60	65	51	78.5	14	21.5	55	84.6	4	6.2	3	4.6	3	4.6
	61-70	20	17	85.0	3	15.0	19	95.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	503	386	76.7	117	23.3	417	82.9	49	9.7	11	2.2	26	5.2
	UNDER 18	24	14	58.3	10	41.7	8	33.3	12	50.0	3	12.5	1	4.2
	18-20	189	147	77.8	42	22.2	91	48.1	57	30.2	20	10.6	21	11.1
	21-30	841	679	80.7	162	19.3	343	40.8	298	35.4	135	16.1	65	7.7
	31-40	466	378	81.1	88	18.9	181	38.8	146	31.3	105	22.5	34	7.3
SOLANO	41-50	354	261	73.7	93	26.3	185	52.3	62	17.5	81	22.9	26	7.3
	51-60	180	146	81.1	34	18.9	95	52.8	23	12.8	50	27.8	12	6.7
	61-70	45	38	84.4	7	15.6	28	62.2	5	11.1	10	22.2	2	4.4
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	2	40.0	1	20.0	2	40.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2104	1668	79.3	436	20.7	933	44.3	604	28.7	406	19.3	161	7.7

TABLE B1: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	27	22	81.5	5	18.5	16	59.3	11	40.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	289	221	76.5	68	23.5	173	59.9	99	34.3	5	1.7	12	4.2
	21-30	1546	1235	79.9	311	20.1	801	51.8	630	40.8	36	2.3	79	5.1
	31-40	745	607	81.5	138	18.5	385	51.7	314	42.1	20	2.7	26	3.5
	41-50	550	390	70.9	160	29.1	395	71.8	114	20.7	17	3.1	24	4.4
	51-60	349	243	69.6	106	30.4	299	85.7	39	11.2	3	0.9	8	2.3
	61-70	96	72	75.0	24	25.0	87	90.6	4	4.2	2	2.1	3	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	20	17	85.0	3	15.0	17	85.0	1	5.0	0	0.0	2	10.0
	TOTAL	3622	2807	77.5	815	22.5	2173	60.0	1212	33.5	83	2.3	154	4.3
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	34	29	85.3	5	14.7	16	47.1	17	50.0	0	0.0	1	2.9
	18-20	328	254	77.4	74	22.6	121	36.9	181	55.2	12	3.7	14	4.3
	21-30	1547	1255	81.1	292	18.9	514	33.2	862	55.7	67	4.3	104	6.7
	31-40	694	553	79.7	141	20.3	244	35.2	381	54.9	38	5.5	31	4.5
	41-50	466	358	76.8	108	23.2	238	51.1	180	38.6	25	5.4	23	4.9
	51-60	212	171	80.7	41	19.3	113	53.3	74	34.9	10	4.7	15	7.1
	61-70	47	37	78.7	10	21.3	29	61.7	11	23.4	6	12.8	1	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	14	14	100.0	0	0.0	9	64.3	3	21.4	1	7.1	1	7.1
	TOTAL	3342	2671	79.9	671	20.1	1284	38.4	1709	51.1	159	4.8	190	5.7
SUTTER	UNDER 18	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	71	56	78.9	15	21.1	35	49.3	29	40.8	1	1.4	6	8.5
	21-30	264	219	83.0	45	17.0	113	42.8	114	43.2	15	5.7	22	8.3
	31-40	120	100	83.3	20	16.7	48	40.0	57	47.5	5	4.2	10	8.3
	41-50	112	77	68.8	35	31.3	76	67.9	25	22.3	3	2.7	8	7.1
	51-60	54	48	88.9	6	11.1	39	72.2	11	20.4	1	1.9	3	5.6
	61-70	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	11	78.6	3	21.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	645	519	80.5	126	19.5	330	51.2	241	37.4	25	3.9	49	7.6
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	59	52	88.1	7	11.9	37	62.7	19	32.2	0	0.0	3	5.1
	21-30	341	278	81.5	63	18.5	233	68.3	97	28.4	5	1.5	6	1.8
	31-40	208	160	76.9	48	23.1	158	76.0	44	21.2	1	0.5	5	2.4
	41-50	207	151	72.9	56	27.1	174	84.1	27	13.0	2	1.0	4	1.9
	51-60	124	91	73.4	33	26.6	110	88.7	9	7.3	2	1.6	3	2.4
	61-70	34	27	79.4	7	20.6	28	82.4	6	17.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	14	10	71.4	4	28.6	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	991	773	78.0	218	22.0	756	76.3	204	20.6	10	1.0	21	2.1

TABLE B1: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TRINITY	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	12	12	100.0	0	0.0	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	67	52	77.6	15	22.4	62	92.5	2	3.0	3	4.5	0	0.0
	31-40	44	37	84.1	7	15.9	41	93.2	2	4.5	0	0.0	1	2.3
	41-50	54	44	81.5	10	18.5	51	94.4	1	1.9	0	0.0	2	3.7
	51-60	39	29	74.4	10	25.6	37	94.9	2	5.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	17	15	88.2	2	11.8	17	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	236	191	80.9	45	19.1	223	94.5	7	3.0	3	1.3	3	1.3
TULARE	UNDER 18	58	49	84.5	9	15.5	16	27.6	40	69.0	1	1.7	1	1.7
	18-20	394	333	84.5	61	15.5	73	18.5	304	77.2	3	0.8	14	3.6
	21-30	1974	1703	86.3	271	13.7	333	16.9	1575	79.8	29	1.5	37	1.9
	31-40	1024	888	86.7	136	13.3	177	17.3	805	78.6	20	2.0	22	2.1
	41-50	611	519	84.9	92	15.1	173	28.3	413	67.6	9	1.5	16	2.6
	51-60	259	219	84.6	40	15.4	91	35.1	157	60.6	5	1.9	6	2.3
	61-70	55	50	90.9	5	9.1	22	40.0	30	54.5	0	0.0	3	5.5
	71 & ABOVE	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	5	50.0	5	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4385	3770	86.0	615	14.0	890	20.3	3329	75.9	67	1.5	99	2.3
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	6	3	50.0	3	50.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	38	32	84.2	6	15.8	35	92.1	3	7.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	167	126	75.4	41	24.6	154	92.2	11	6.6	1	0.6	1	0.6
	31-40	80	62	77.5	18	22.5	78	97.5	1	1.2	0	0.0	1	1.2
	41-50	120	90	75.0	30	25.0	114	95.0	6	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	82	63	76.8	19	23.2	79	96.3	2	2.4	0	0.0	1	1.2
	61-70	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	15	88.2	1	5.9	0	0.0	1	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	516	397	76.9	119	23.1	487	94.4	24	4.7	1	0.2	4	0.8
VENTURA	UNDER 18	49	34	69.4	15	30.6	30	61.2	16	32.7	0	0.0	3	6.1
	18-20	458	354	77.3	104	22.7	221	48.3	204	44.5	11	2.4	22	4.8
	21-30	2332	1873	80.3	459	19.7	868	37.2	1325	56.8	43	1.8	96	4.1
	31-40	1110	918	82.7	192	17.3	387	34.9	644	58.0	28	2.5	51	4.6
	41-50	813	601	73.9	212	26.1	476	58.5	301	37.0	17	2.1	19	2.3
	51-60	398	295	74.1	103	25.9	261	65.6	114	28.6	10	2.5	13	3.3
	61-70	87	64	73.6	23	26.4	60	69.0	22	25.3	3	3.4	2	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	18	14	77.8	4	22.2	17	94.4	0	0.0	1	5.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	5265	4153	78.9	1112	21.1	2320	44.1	2626	49.9	113	2.1	206	3.9

TABLE B1: 2008 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	17	15	88.2	2	11.8	8	47.1	8	47.1	0	0.0	1	5.9
	18-20	164	131	79.9	33	20.1	80	48.8	62	37.8	2	1.2	20	12.2
	21-30	657	565	86.0	92	14.0	290	44.1	292	44.4	16	2.4	59	9.0
	31-40	283	249	88.0	34	12.0	119	42.0	139	49.1	8	2.8	17	6.0
	41-50	215	162	75.3	53	24.7	132	61.4	69	32.1	8	3.7	6	2.8
	51-60	96	76	79.2	20	20.8	67	69.8	26	27.1	1	1.0	2	2.1
	61-70	28	23	82.1	5	17.9	23	82.1	5	17.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	10	10	100.0	0	0.0	6	60.0	4	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1470	1231	83.7	239	16.3	725	49.3	605	41.2	35	2.4	105	7.1
YUBA	UNDER 18	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	51	40	78.4	11	21.6	35	68.6	13	25.5	2	3.9	1	2.0
	21-30	269	224	83.3	45	16.7	158	58.7	82	30.5	14	5.2	15	5.6
	31-40	169	131	77.5	38	22.5	102	60.4	60	35.5	1	0.6	6	3.6
	41-50	152	110	72.4	42	27.6	121	79.6	23	15.1	4	2.6	4	2.6
	51-60	60	51	85.0	9	15.0	43	71.7	15	25.0	0	0.0	2	3.3
	61-70	21	20	95.2	1	4.8	16	76.2	5	23.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	3	50.0	3	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	730	583	79.9	147	20.1	480	65.8	201	27.5	21	2.9	28	3.8

TABLE B2: 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		160591	100.0	130047	81.0	30544	19.0
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	9	0.2	7	0.2	2	0.2
	18-20	317	5.6	244	5.4	73	6.5
	21-30	2408	42.8	1899	42.2	509	45.3
	31-40	1399	24.9	1151	25.6	248	22.1
	41-50	946	16.8	748	16.6	198	17.6
	51-60	415	7.4	341	7.6	74	6.6
	61-70	99	1.8	82	1.8	17	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	31	0.6	28	0.6	3	0.3
	TOTAL	5624	100.0	4500	100.0	1124	100.0
ALPINE	21-30	2	12.5	1	8.3	1	25.0
	31-40	4	25.0	2	16.7	2	50.0
	41-50	5	31.3	4	33.3	1	25.0
	51-60	3	18.8	3	25.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	6.3	1	8.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	6.3	1	8.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	16	100.0	12	100.0	4	100.0
AMADOR	UNDER 18	4	1.3	4	1.8	0	0.0
	18-20	19	6.4	15	6.9	4	4.9
	21-30	84	28.1	64	29.5	20	24.4
	31-40	61	20.4	46	21.2	15	18.3
	41-50	74	24.7	46	21.2	28	34.1
	51-60	44	14.7	34	15.7	10	12.2
	61-70	12	4.0	8	3.7	4	4.9
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.3	0	0.0	1	1.2
	TOTAL	299	100.0	217	100.0	82	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	25	1.8	16	1.5	9	2.5
	18-20	131	9.3	87	8.3	44	12.3
	21-30	577	41.0	445	42.4	132	37.0
	31-40	261	18.6	204	19.4	57	16.0
	41-50	244	17.4	169	16.1	75	21.0
	51-60	124	8.8	93	8.9	31	8.7
	61-70	36	2.6	27	2.6	9	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.6	8	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1406	100.0	1049	100.0	357	100.0
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	2	0.9	2	1.1	0	0.0
	18-20	18	7.9	15	8.0	3	7.5
	21-30	66	28.9	60	31.9	6	15.0
	31-40	46	20.2	37	19.7	9	22.5
	41-50	49	21.5	35	18.6	14	35.0
	51-60	35	15.4	29	15.4	6	15.0
	61-70	11	4.8	9	4.8	2	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.4	1	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	228	100.0	188	100.0	40	100.0
COLUSA	UNDER 18	2	1.0	2	1.2	0	0.0
	18-20	17	8.7	14	8.7	3	8.6
	21-30	64	32.7	58	36.0	6	17.1
	31-40	39	19.9	29	18.0	10	28.6
	41-50	37	18.9	26	16.1	11	31.4
	51-60	31	15.8	27	16.8	4	11.4
	61-70	6	3.1	5	3.1	1	2.9
	TOTAL	196	100.0	161	100.0	35	100.0
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	18	0.5	14	0.5	4	0.5
	18-20	313	8.8	256	9.1	57	7.5
	21-30	1502	42.1	1174	41.8	328	43.3
	31-40	735	20.6	590	21.0	145	19.2
	41-50	616	17.3	473	16.8	143	18.9
	51-60	296	8.3	234	8.3	62	8.2
	61-70	71	2.0	56	2.0	15	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	16	0.4	13	0.5	3	0.4
	TOTAL	3567	100.0	2810	100.0	757	100.0

TABLE B2: 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	1	0.6	1	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	12	7.7	9	7.3	3	9.1
	21-30	57	36.5	47	38.2	10	30.3
	31-40	28	17.9	23	18.7	5	15.2
	41-50	37	23.7	26	21.1	11	33.3
	51-60	16	10.3	13	10.6	3	9.1
	61-70	4	2.6	3	2.4	1	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.6	1	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	156	100.0	123	100.0	33	100.0
EL DORADO	18-20	72	7.8	57	8.1	15	6.9
	21-30	324	35.2	261	37.1	63	28.9
	31-40	176	19.1	138	19.6	38	17.4
	41-50	227	24.6	151	21.5	76	34.9
	51-60	99	10.7	78	11.1	21	9.6
	61-70	19	2.1	14	2.0	5	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.4	4	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	921	100.0	703	100.0	218	100.0
FRESNO	UNDER 18	17	0.3	13	0.3	4	0.4
	18-20	448	8.3	381	8.5	67	7.5
	21-30	2370	43.9	1972	43.8	398	44.5
	31-40	1263	23.4	1074	23.8	189	21.1
	41-50	836	15.5	676	15.0	160	17.9
	51-60	374	6.9	309	6.9	65	7.3
	61-70	80	1.5	72	1.6	8	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.2	10	0.2	3	0.3
	TOTAL	5401	100.0	4507	100.0	894	100.0
GLENN	18-20	39	11.5	31	11.2	8	12.9
	21-30	122	36.0	102	36.8	20	32.3
	31-40	83	24.5	63	22.7	20	32.3
	41-50	65	19.2	53	19.1	12	19.4
	51-60	24	7.1	22	7.9	2	3.2
	61-70	2	0.6	2	0.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.2	4	1.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	339	100.0	277	100.0	62	100.0
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	4	0.5	2	0.3	2	1.0
	18-20	68	8.2	50	8.0	18	9.1
	21-30	342	41.4	252	40.1	90	45.5
	31-40	165	20.0	125	19.9	40	20.2
	41-50	145	17.6	115	18.3	30	15.2
	51-60	80	9.7	64	10.2	16	8.1
	61-70	18	2.2	16	2.5	2	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.5	4	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	826	100.0	628	100.0	198	100.0
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	2	0.2	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	84	10.0	75	10.4	9	7.6
	21-30	329	39.3	285	39.7	44	37.0
	31-40	176	21.0	146	20.3	30	25.2
	41-50	133	15.9	109	15.2	24	20.2
	51-60	77	9.2	67	9.3	10	8.4
	61-70	30	3.6	30	4.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.7	4	0.6	2	1.7
	TOTAL	837	100.0	718	100.0	119	100.0

TABLE B2: 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
INYO	UNDER 18	1	0.5	1	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	12	6.2	11	7.4	1	2.2
	21-30	54	27.8	44	29.5	10	22.2
	31-40	42	21.6	30	20.1	12	26.7
	41-50	43	22.2	28	18.8	15	33.3
	51-60	33	17.0	27	18.1	6	13.3
	61-70	8	4.1	7	4.7	1	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	194	100.0	149	100.0	45	100.0
KERN	UNDER 18	44	1.0	38	1.0	6	0.9
	18-20	422	9.5	369	9.8	53	7.6
	21-30	1875	42.1	1603	42.7	272	38.8
	31-40	1003	22.5	852	22.7	151	21.5
	41-50	751	16.9	586	15.6	165	23.5
	51-60	273	6.1	236	6.3	37	5.3
	61-70	73	1.6	62	1.7	11	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.3	7	0.2	6	0.9
	TOTAL	4454	100.0	3753	100.0	701	100.0
KINGS	UNDER 18	3	0.3	2	0.3	1	0.7
	18-20	78	9.0	71	9.9	7	4.6
	21-30	429	49.3	351	48.9	78	51.3
	31-40	176	20.2	144	20.1	32	21.1
	41-50	125	14.4	97	13.5	28	18.4
	51-60	45	5.2	41	5.7	4	2.6
	61-70	10	1.1	9	1.3	1	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.5	3	0.4	1	0.7
	TOTAL	870	100.0	718	100.0	152	100.0
LAKE	18-20	22	5.6	20	6.5	2	2.3
	21-30	114	29.0	92	30.1	22	25.3
	31-40	84	21.4	71	23.2	13	14.9
	41-50	93	23.7	65	21.2	28	32.2
	51-60	59	15.0	40	13.1	19	21.8
	61-70	18	4.6	15	4.9	3	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.8	3	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	393	100.0	306	100.0	87	100.0
LASSEN	UNDER 18	1	0.6	1	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	15	8.4	12	8.6	3	7.7
	21-30	58	32.4	48	34.3	10	25.6
	31-40	36	20.1	27	19.3	9	23.1
	41-50	42	23.5	32	22.9	10	25.6
	51-60	22	12.3	16	11.4	6	15.4
	61-70	4	2.2	4	2.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.6	0	0.0	1	2.6
	TOTAL	179	100.0	140	100.0	39	100.0
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	9	0.0	8	0.0	1	0.0
	18-20	1880	6.2	1514	6.0	366	7.2
	21-30	13015	43.1	10556	42.1	2459	48.3
	31-40	7683	25.5	6596	26.3	1087	21.4
	41-50	5024	16.6	4205	16.8	819	16.1
	51-60	1982	6.6	1701	6.8	281	5.5
	61-70	493	1.6	430	1.7	63	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	96	0.3	85	0.3	11	0.2
	TOTAL	30182	100.0	25095	100.0	5087	100.0



TABLE B2: 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MADERA	UNDER 18	7	1.1	7	1.3	0	0.0
	18-20	35	5.4	29	5.4	6	5.3
	21-30	265	41.0	228	42.8	37	32.5
	31-40	130	20.1	113	21.2	17	14.9
	41-50	133	20.6	95	17.8	38	33.3
	51-60	62	9.6	49	9.2	13	11.4
	61-70	12	1.9	11	2.1	1	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.5	1	0.2	2	1.8
	TOTAL	647	100.0	533	100.0	114	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	12	0.8	11	1.0	1	0.3
	18-20	113	7.7	86	7.5	27	8.5
	21-30	540	36.9	438	38.3	102	32.0
	31-40	314	21.4	253	22.1	61	19.1
	41-50	265	18.1	191	16.7	74	23.2
	51-60	153	10.5	117	10.2	36	11.3
	61-70	53	3.6	37	3.2	16	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	14	1.0	12	1.0	2	0.6
	TOTAL	1464	100.0	1145	100.0	319	100.0
MARIPOSA	18-20	5	5.0	4	4.9	1	5.0
	21-30	29	28.7	26	32.1	3	15.0
	31-40	21	20.8	15	18.5	6	30.0
	41-50	21	20.8	14	17.3	7	35.0
	51-60	19	18.8	16	19.8	3	15.0
	61-70	5	5.0	5	6.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.0	1	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	101	100.0	81	100.0	20	100.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	8	1.0	5	0.8	3	2.1
	18-20	62	8.1	55	8.9	7	4.8
	21-30	261	34.2	225	36.5	36	24.7
	31-40	181	23.7	140	22.7	41	28.1
	41-50	133	17.4	95	15.4	38	26.0
	51-60	91	11.9	73	11.8	18	12.3
	61-70	22	2.9	19	3.1	3	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.7	5	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	763	100.0	617	100.0	146	100.0
MERCED	UNDER 18	13	1.0	12	1.1	1	0.5
	18-20	120	9.1	103	9.1	17	8.6
	21-30	560	42.3	487	43.3	73	36.9
	31-40	291	22.0	242	21.5	49	24.7
	41-50	214	16.2	173	15.4	41	20.7
	51-60	102	7.7	85	7.5	17	8.6
	61-70	19	1.4	19	1.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.4	5	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1324	100.0	1126	100.0	198	100.0
MODOC	UNDER 18	1	1.4	1	1.9	0	0.0
	18-20	7	9.6	4	7.4	3	15.8
	21-30	19	26.0	14	25.9	5	26.3
	31-40	15	20.5	10	18.5	5	26.3
	41-50	19	26.0	14	25.9	5	26.3
	51-60	8	11.0	7	13.0	1	5.3
	61-70	3	4.1	3	5.6	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.4	1	1.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	73	100.0	54	100.0	19	100.0

TABLE B2: 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MONO	UNDER 18	4	2.8	1	0.9	3	12.0
	18-20	9	6.4	6	5.2	3	12.0
	21-30	52	36.9	50	43.1	2	8.0
	31-40	25	17.7	20	17.2	5	20.0
	41-50	35	24.8	26	22.4	9	36.0
	51-60	11	7.8	8	6.9	3	12.0
	61-70	3	2.1	3	2.6	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1.4	2	1.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	141	100.0	116	100.0	25	100.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	8	0.3	7	0.3	1	0.3
	18-20	189	7.7	168	7.8	21	6.4
	21-30	1243	50.3	1100	51.3	143	43.9
	31-40	514	20.8	448	20.9	66	20.2
	41-50	305	12.4	246	11.5	59	18.1
	51-60	148	6.0	120	5.6	28	8.6
	61-70	53	2.1	45	2.1	8	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.4	9	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2469	100.0	2143	100.0	326	100.0
NAPA	UNDER 18	5	0.5	4	0.5	1	0.5
	18-20	101	10.5	86	11.0	15	8.2
	21-30	368	38.1	307	39.2	61	33.5
	31-40	218	22.6	175	22.3	43	23.6
	41-50	158	16.4	120	15.3	38	20.9
	51-60	82	8.5	65	8.3	17	9.3
	61-70	29	3.0	22	2.8	7	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.4	4	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	965	100.0	783	100.0	182	100.0
NEVADA	UNDER 18	2	0.3	0	0.0	2	1.2
	18-20	45	6.8	35	7.1	10	6.0
	21-30	231	34.9	169	34.2	62	37.1
	31-40	136	20.6	107	21.7	29	17.4
	41-50	134	20.3	93	18.8	41	24.6
	51-60	86	13.0	67	13.6	19	11.4
	61-70	26	3.9	22	4.5	4	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.2	1	0.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	661	100.0	494	100.0	167	100.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	84	0.6	60	0.5	24	0.8
	18-20	1112	7.7	870	7.5	242	8.3
	21-30	6574	45.3	5261	45.3	1313	45.3
	31-40	3226	22.2	2651	22.8	575	19.8
	41-50	2298	15.8	1792	15.4	506	17.5
	51-60	933	6.4	751	6.5	182	6.3
	61-70	223	1.5	177	1.5	46	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	52	0.4	41	0.4	11	0.4
	TOTAL	14502	100.0	11603	100.0	2899	100.0
PLACER	UNDER 18	16	0.8	14	0.9	2	0.4
	18-20	186	8.9	148	9.1	38	8.0
	21-30	859	40.9	680	41.8	179	37.8
	31-40	403	19.2	316	19.4	87	18.4
	41-50	378	18.0	262	16.1	116	24.5
	51-60	197	9.4	157	9.7	40	8.5
	61-70	48	2.3	40	2.5	8	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.5	8	0.5	3	0.6
	TOTAL	2098	100.0	1625	100.0	473	100.0

TABLE B2: 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	1	0.5	1	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	15	7.1	13	8.8	2	3.2
	21-30	57	27.1	39	26.5	18	28.6
	31-40	39	18.6	19	12.9	20	31.7
	41-50	60	28.6	41	27.9	19	30.2
	51-60	31	14.8	27	18.4	4	6.3
	61-70	7	3.3	7	4.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	210	100.0	147	100.0	63	100.0
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	33	0.4	26	0.4	7	0.5
	18-20	754	9.3	609	9.2	145	9.7
	21-30	3385	41.6	2787	42.0	598	39.8
	31-40	1735	21.3	1425	21.5	310	20.7
	41-50	1418	17.4	1110	16.7	308	20.5
	51-60	602	7.4	499	7.5	103	6.9
	61-70	163	2.0	140	2.1	23	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	49	0.6	42	0.6	7	0.5
	TOTAL	8139	100.0	6638	100.0	1501	100.0
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	30	0.4	27	0.5	3	0.2
	18-20	548	8.1	429	8.2	119	7.6
	21-30	3133	46.1	2382	45.5	751	48.1
	31-40	1451	21.3	1149	21.9	302	19.3
	41-50	1081	15.9	796	15.2	285	18.3
	51-60	436	6.4	357	6.8	79	5.1
	61-70	98	1.4	79	1.5	19	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	21	0.3	18	0.3	3	0.2
	TOTAL	6798	100.0	5237	100.0	1561	100.0
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	7	1.8	6	1.9	1	1.4
	18-20	43	11.3	35	11.3	8	11.1
	21-30	150	39.3	128	41.3	22	30.6
	31-40	79	20.7	69	22.3	10	13.9
	41-50	60	15.7	40	12.9	20	27.8
	51-60	32	8.4	22	7.1	10	13.9
	61-70	10	2.6	9	2.9	1	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.3	1	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	382	100.0	310	100.0	72	100.0
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	29	0.3	25	0.3	4	0.2
	18-20	784	7.9	640	7.9	144	8.2
	21-30	4235	42.9	3486	43.0	749	42.7
	31-40	2212	22.4	1833	22.6	379	21.6
	41-50	1662	16.8	1329	16.4	333	19.0
	51-60	734	7.4	619	7.6	115	6.6
	61-70	173	1.8	146	1.8	27	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	37	0.4	33	0.4	4	0.2
	TOTAL	9866	100.0	8111	100.0	1755	100.0
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	81	0.6	72	0.6	9	0.3
	18-20	1281	8.8	1046	9.1	235	7.7
	21-30	6820	46.7	5388	46.7	1432	46.7
	31-40	3045	20.8	2438	21.1	607	19.8
	41-50	2194	15.0	1651	14.3	543	17.7
	51-60	941	6.4	759	6.6	182	5.9
	61-70	210	1.4	164	1.4	46	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	35	0.2	25	0.2	10	0.3
	TOTAL	14607	100.0	11543	100.0	3064	100.0

TABLE B2: 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	1	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.4
	18-20	49	4.5	36	4.3	13	5.1
	21-30	489	44.4	359	42.5	130	50.6
	31-40	294	26.7	240	28.4	54	21.0
	41-50	157	14.3	120	14.2	37	14.4
	51-60	88	8.0	69	8.2	19	7.4
	61-70	17	1.5	15	1.8	2	0.8
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.5	5	0.6	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1101	100.0	844	100.0	257	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	7	0.2	7	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	304	9.3	256	9.4	48	8.6
	21-30	1344	40.9	1137	41.7	207	37.0
	31-40	768	23.4	634	23.3	134	23.9
	41-50	569	17.3	454	16.7	115	20.5
	51-60	216	6.6	174	6.4	42	7.5
	61-70	68	2.1	55	2.0	13	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.3	9	0.3	1	0.2
	TOTAL	3286	100.0	2726	100.0	560	100.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	13	0.7	11	0.7	2	0.5
	18-20	234	11.8	184	11.8	50	11.8
	21-30	855	43.1	706	45.1	149	35.3
	31-40	338	17.0	274	17.5	64	15.2
	41-50	338	17.0	229	14.6	109	25.8
	51-60	172	8.7	135	8.6	37	8.8
	61-70	31	1.6	21	1.3	10	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.3	4	0.3	1	0.2
	TOTAL	1986	100.0	1564	100.0	422	100.0
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	17	0.6	10	0.4	7	1.4
	18-20	193	7.0	150	6.7	43	8.4
	21-30	1197	43.7	990	44.4	207	40.5
	31-40	629	22.9	524	23.5	105	20.5
	41-50	438	16.0	343	15.4	95	18.6
	51-60	224	8.2	180	8.1	44	8.6
	61-70	32	1.2	24	1.1	8	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.4	10	0.4	2	0.4
	TOTAL	2742	100.0	2231	100.0	511	100.0
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	18	0.7	16	0.8	2	0.4
	18-20	311	12.3	245	12.0	66	13.8
	21-30	1112	44.1	929	45.5	183	38.2
	31-40	481	19.1	407	19.9	74	15.4
	41-50	341	13.5	253	12.4	88	18.4
	51-60	202	8.0	148	7.2	54	11.3
	61-70	46	1.8	37	1.8	9	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.5	9	0.4	3	0.6
	TOTAL	2523	100.0	2044	100.0	479	100.0
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	29	0.5	27	0.5	2	0.2
	18-20	458	7.4	362	7.1	96	8.4
	21-30	3006	48.3	2453	48.3	553	48.5
	31-40	1342	21.6	1150	22.6	192	16.8
	41-50	877	14.1	692	13.6	185	16.2
	51-60	398	6.4	317	6.2	81	7.1
	61-70	90	1.4	63	1.2	27	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	22	0.4	18	0.4	4	0.4
	TOTAL	6222	100.0	5082	100.0	1140	100.0

TABLE B2: 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	18	1.1	12	1.0	6	1.6
	18-20	139	8.8	99	8.2	40	10.8
	21-30	660	42.0	509	42.4	151	40.6
	31-40	330	21.0	253	21.1	77	20.7
	41-50	271	17.2	205	17.1	66	17.7
	51-60	122	7.8	97	8.1	25	6.7
	61-70	28	1.8	21	1.7	7	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.3	5	0.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1573	100.0	1201	100.0	372	100.0
SHASTA	UNDER 18	18	1.1	13	1.1	5	1.2
	18-20	132	8.4	101	8.7	31	7.4
	21-30	602	38.2	449	38.8	153	36.6
	31-40	297	18.8	214	18.5	83	19.9
	41-50	330	20.9	219	18.9	111	26.6
	51-60	158	10.0	126	10.9	32	7.7
	61-70	32	2.0	30	2.6	2	0.5
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.4	6	0.5	1	0.2
	TOTAL	1576	100.0	1158	100.0	418	100.0
SIERRA	18-20	3	12.5	3	13.6	0	0.0
	21-30	3	12.5	3	13.6	0	0.0
	31-40	1	4.2	1	4.5	0	0.0
	41-50	9	37.5	7	31.8	2	100.0
	51-60	5	20.8	5	22.7	0	0.0
	61-70	3	12.5	3	13.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	24	100.0	22	100.0	2	100.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	3	0.8	3	1.1	0	0.0
	18-20	29	8.1	24	8.5	5	6.7
	21-30	104	29.1	81	28.7	23	30.7
	31-40	66	18.5	57	20.2	9	12.0
	41-50	89	24.9	68	24.1	21	28.0
	51-60	46	12.9	29	10.3	17	22.7
	61-70	15	4.2	15	5.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	1.4	5	1.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	357	100.0	282	100.0	75	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	19	1.1	13	0.9	6	1.7
	18-20	153	8.8	124	9.0	29	8.0
	21-30	710	40.8	578	42.0	132	36.4
	31-40	348	20.0	282	20.5	66	18.2
	41-50	305	17.5	220	16.0	85	23.4
	51-60	165	9.5	124	9.0	41	11.3
	61-70	33	1.9	29	2.1	4	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.4	7	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1740	100.0	1377	100.0	363	100.0
SONOMA	UNDER 18	40	1.4	30	1.3	10	1.7
	18-20	232	7.8	191	8.1	41	6.9
	21-30	1275	43.0	1048	44.2	227	38.5
	31-40	598	20.2	494	20.8	104	17.6
	41-50	467	15.8	353	14.9	114	19.3
	51-60	265	8.9	187	7.9	78	13.2
	61-70	70	2.4	58	2.4	12	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	15	0.5	11	0.5	4	0.7
	TOTAL	2962	100.0	2372	100.0	590	100.0

TABLE B2: 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	24	0.9	23	1.1	1	0.2
	18-20	255	10.0	204	9.8	51	11.2
	21-30	1178	46.4	963	46.2	215	47.3
	31-40	512	20.2	443	21.3	69	15.2
	41-50	370	14.6	285	13.7	85	18.7
	51-60	148	5.8	123	5.9	25	5.5
	61-70	46	1.8	38	1.8	8	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.2	5	0.2	1	0.2
	TOTAL	2539	100.0	2084	100.0	455	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	8	1.7	4	1.0	4	5.5
	18-20	55	11.9	47	12.1	8	11.0
	21-30	184	39.9	155	39.9	29	39.7
	31-40	93	20.2	82	21.1	11	15.1
	41-50	81	17.6	65	16.8	16	21.9
	51-60	30	6.5	25	6.4	5	6.8
	61-70	9	2.0	9	2.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.2	1	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	461	100.0	388	100.0	73	100.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	10	2.3	9	2.6	1	1.1
	18-20	37	8.3	29	8.3	8	8.5
	21-30	158	35.6	128	36.6	30	31.9
	31-40	88	19.8	65	18.6	23	24.5
	41-50	92	20.7	69	19.7	23	24.5
	51-60	42	9.5	38	10.9	4	4.3
	61-70	13	2.9	9	2.6	4	4.3
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.9	3	0.9	1	1.1
	TOTAL	444	100.0	350	100.0	94	100.0
TRINITY	18-20	6	7.3	6	10.0	0	0.0
	21-30	18	22.0	15	25.0	3	13.6
	31-40	21	25.6	11	18.3	10	45.5
	41-50	14	17.1	9	15.0	5	22.7
	51-60	17	20.7	14	23.3	3	13.6
	61-70	6	7.3	5	8.3	1	4.5
	TOTAL	82	100.0	60	100.0	22	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	13	0.4	13	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	278	9.2	240	9.2	38	9.1
	21-30	1392	46.1	1207	46.4	185	44.2
	31-40	677	22.4	588	22.6	89	21.2
	41-50	422	14.0	355	13.6	67	16.0
	51-60	197	6.5	161	6.2	36	8.6
	61-70	34	1.1	31	1.2	3	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.2	6	0.2	1	0.2
	TOTAL	3020	100.0	2601	100.0	419	100.0
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	2	0.4	2	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	34	7.6	27	8.0	7	6.2
	21-30	130	28.9	101	30.0	29	25.7
	31-40	93	20.7	72	21.4	21	18.6
	41-50	99	22.0	66	19.6	33	29.2
	51-60	72	16.0	52	15.4	20	17.7
	61-70	17	3.8	14	4.2	3	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.7	3	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	450	100.0	337	100.0	113	100.0
VENTURA	UNDER 18	34	0.7	28	0.7	6	0.6
	18-20	409	8.7	318	8.5	91	9.3
	21-30	2139	45.3	1724	46.1	415	42.2
	31-40	982	20.8	794	21.2	188	19.1
	41-50	767	16.2	571	15.3	196	19.9
	51-60	301	6.4	237	6.3	64	6.5
	61-70	78	1.7	59	1.6	19	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	16	0.3	12	0.3	4	0.4
	TOTAL	4726	100.0	3743	100.0	983	100.0

TABLE B2: 2007 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	9	0.9	8	0.9	1	0.6
	18-20	123	11.9	107	12.4	16	9.6
	21-30	477	46.2	410	47.4	67	40.1
	31-40	184	17.8	148	17.1	36	21.6
	41-50	138	13.4	108	12.5	30	18.0
	51-60	81	7.8	69	8.0	12	7.2
	61-70	17	1.6	12	1.4	5	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.3	3	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1032	100.0	865	100.0	167	100.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	2	0.4	0	0.0	2	2.2
	18-20	28	6.3	24	6.7	4	4.4
	21-30	156	34.9	130	36.5	26	28.6
	31-40	99	22.1	78	21.9	21	23.1
	41-50	84	18.8	66	18.5	18	19.8
	51-60	55	12.3	37	10.4	18	19.8
	61-70	20	4.5	18	5.1	2	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.7	3	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	447	100.0	356	100.0	91	100.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2007 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE <sup>1</sup>	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS) <sup>2</sup>	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	78.8%		155867	4724	16085	2574	3488	1926	81.0	9.0
ALAMEDA	—	OAKLAND	110	18	0	0	12	1	121.0	48.0
		JUV OAKLAND	13	1	0	0	0	0	126.0	60.0
		ALAMEDA	158	0	18	2	6	2	62.0	0.0
		FREMONT	755	8	107	15	15	10	184.0	7.0
		PLEASANTON	1043	3	227	21	26	10	97.0	6.0
		OAKLAND	1864	10	253	39	32	33	93.0	4.0
		HAYWARD	1632	9	253	30	65	87	102.0	7.0
ALPINE	—	TOTAL	5575	49	858	107	156	143	107.0	6.0
		ALPINE	15	1	4	0	0	0	66.0	9.0
AMADOR	—	TOTAL	15	1	4	0	0	0	66.0	9.0
		JUV AMADOR	5	0	0	0	0	0	80.0	12.0
		JACKSON	285	9	31	11	3	3	61.0	8.0
BUTTE	—	TOTAL	290	9	31	11	3	3	61.0	8.0
		BUTTE	1299	54	316	64	24	0	70.0	28.0
		JUV BUTTE	30	1	0	0	0	0	103.0	8.0
		CHICO	10	0	0	0	2	26	34.0	353.0
		GRIDLEY	1	0	0	0	0	6	68.0	381.0
		OROVILLE	7	0	1	0	1	15	34.0	98.0
		PARADISE	4	0	0	0	0	5	41.0	167.0
		TOTAL	1351	55	317	64	27	52	72.0	28.0
CALAVERAS	—	CALAVERAS	214	13	57	18	13	5	62.0	13.0
		JUV SAN ANDREAS	1	0	0	1	0	0	58.0	2.0
		TOTAL	215	13	57	19	13	5	62.0	13.0
COLUSA	—	COLUSA	0	1	0	0	0	0	628.0	5.0
		JUV COLUSA	3	0	0	0	1	0	85.0	56.0
		COLUSA OAK	186	6	36	14	4	8	73.0	9.0
		TOTAL	189	7	36	14	5	8	73.0	9.0
CONTRA COSTA	—	CONTRA COSTA	17	44	1	0	5	0	249.0	31.0
		MARTINEZ EST DV	25	1	3	1	2	0	111.0	74.0
		CONCORD	30	7	3	0	4	19	221.0	25.0
		RICHMOND	791	14	116	2	16	14	118.0	11.0
		PITTSBURG	856	15	95	0	15	15	138.0	8.0
		WALNUT CREEK	1747	20	245	2	22	17	123.0	7.0
		TOTAL	3466	101	463	5	64	65	128.0	8.0
		DEL NORTE	149	7	58	5	13	6	76.0	3.0
		TOTAL	149	7	58	5	13	6	76.0	3.0
EL DORADO	—	SO LAKE TAHOE	290	4	68	4	8	2	71.0	16.0
		PLACERVILLE	591	36	121	15	6	10	107.0	6.0
		TOTAL	881	40	189	19	14	12	97.0	8.0

<sup>1</sup>The information on DUI conviction rates by county is not available in this report due to recently discovered and still unresolved DUI data reporting problems.<sup>2</sup>Adjudication time is presented using median values (in days) for 2007 data.



TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2007 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS) <sup>2</sup>	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
FRESNO	-	JUV FRESNO	23	1	0	0	0	0	128.0	58.0
		FRESNO CENTRAL	3534	277	655	41	54	42	101.0	2.0
		CLOVIS	440	2	74	3	2	1	94.0	1.0
		COALINGA	169	2	27	3	4	7	136.0	1.0
		FIREBAUGH	153	5	25	1	2	1	138.0	2.0
		FOWLER	1	0	0	0	0	0	81.0	551.0
		KERMAN	81	7	12	2	0	0	122.0	6.0
		KINGSBURG	374	26	51	5	3	1	104.0	3.0
		REEDLEY	288	13	36	1	10	2	110.0	2.0
		SUPSANGER	2	0	0	0	1	0	104.0	193.0
		SELMA	2	1	0	0	1	0	247.0	5.0
		TOTAL	5067	334	880	56	77	54	104.0	2.0
		GLENN	334	5	57	14	17	2	60.0	15.0
		TOTAL	334	5	57	14	17	2	60.0	15.0
		HUMBOLDT	800	26	224	57	36	1	90.0	13.0
		TOTAL	800	26	224	57	36	1	90.0	13.0
		IMPERIAL	40	5	1	5	1	0	98.0	114.0
INYO	-	JUV IMPERIAL	3	0	0	0	0	0	61.0	97.0
		BRAWLEY	89	0	2	6	3	55	203.0	134.0
		CALEXICO	272	0	1	33	1	0	128.0	126.0
		EL CENTRO	424	3	14	36	13	0	123.0	124.0
		WINTERHAVEN	1	0	0	0	0	0	41.0	5.0
		TOTAL	829	8	18	80	18	55	129.0	125.0
		INYO	6	5	1	0	0	0	72.0	24.0
		JUV INYO	1	0	0	0	0	0	5.0	21.0
		BISHOP	182	0	53	0	6	0	64.0	4.0
		TOTAL	189	5	54	0	6	0	65.0	4.0
KERN	-	KERN	1	2	0	0	0	36	132.0	82.0
		JUV KERN	49	4	1	0	0	0	82.0	31.0
		LAMONT	317	10	29	9	8	2	25.0	1.0
		BAKERSFIELD	2573	76	274	51	19	3	37.0	20.0
		DELANO	241	28	17	4	3	3	29.0	6.0
		LAKE ISABELLA	101	4	10	12	2	0	45.0	0.0
		TAFT	165	9	17	0	2	7	39.0	3.0
		SHAFTER	290	14	33	6	11	4	25.0	2.0
		MOJAVE	434	2	97	29	17	9	35.0	0.0
		RIDGECREST	129	5	11	5	4	2	57.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4300	154	489	116	66	66	34.0	14.0
		JUV KINGS	6	1	0	1	0	0	163.0	1.0
		HANFORD	653	29	102	9	4	4	87.0	0.0
		AVENAL	65	1	14	4	1	1	96.0	0.0
		CORCORAN	113	2	6	1	2	2	95.0	0.0
		TOTAL	837	33	122	15	7	7	89.0	0.0
KINGS	-	JUV KINGS	6	1	0	1	0	0	163.0	1.0
		HANFORD	653	29	102	9	4	4	87.0	0.0
KINGS	-	AVENAL	65	1	14	4	1	1	96.0	0.0
		CORCORAN	113	2	6	1	2	2	95.0	0.0
KINGS	-	TOTAL	837	33	122	15	7	7	89.0	0.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2007 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS) <sup>2</sup>	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LAKE	-	LAKE	207	6	16	3	7	96	94.0	23.0
		CLEARLAKE	173	7	12	17	2	79	118.0	41.0
LASSEN	-	TOTAL	380	13	28	20	9	175	104.0	28.0
		JUV LASSEN	2	0	0	0	0	0	146.0	1.0
LOS ANGELES	-	SUSANVILLE	176	1	10	9	3	3	92.0	27.0
		TOTAL	178	1	10	9	3	3	92.0	24.0
LOS ANGELES	-	LOS ANGELES	114	72	2	0	3	0	122.0	10.0
		POMONA	83	30	0	0	3	0	83.0	10.0
		LANCASTER	32	15	0	0	0	0	89.0	10.0
		SAN FERNANDO	75	22	1	0	0	0	99.0	10.0
		PASADENA	20	5	0	0	1	0	173.0	12.0
		VAN NUYS	25	10	2	0	0	0	210.0	10.0
		LONG BEACH	41	16	0	0	0	0	69.0	9.0
		COMPTON	13	13	0	0	0	0	147.0	20.0
		NORWALK	77	27	2	0	0	0	103.0	9.0
		TORRANCE	24	6	0	0	0	0	138.0	10.0
		SANTA MONICA	20	11	0	0	0	0	166.0	7.0
		JUV LOS ANGELES	1	0	0	0	0	0	285.0	57.0
		LOS ANGELES DLQ	8	0	0	0	0	0	111.0	5.0
		LOS ANGELES AIRPRT	1437	10	223	14	74	0	88.0	15.0
		ALHAMBRA	645	10	51	3	10	4	97.0	7.0
		LANCASTER	1293	5	94	16	22	7	66.0	8.0
		BEVERLY HILLS	391	3	30	1	7	3	115.0	6.0
		BURBANK	300	8	25	1	0	1	51.0	10.0
		WEST COVINA	1845	10	47	5	29	3	77.0	8.0
		CHATSORTH	1	0	0	0	0	0	115.0	1.0
		COMPTON	1077	19	68	2	22	12	96.0	11.0
		DOWNEY	1059	9	25	4	10	6	83.0	8.0
		EAST LOS ANGELES	1390	7	63	7	21	16	68.0	9.0
		ELMONTE	720	6	35	5	13	9	84.0	11.0
		GLENDALE	552	6	88	1	15	3	95.0	8.0
		INGLEWOOD	283	6	28	1	9	3	110.0	8.0
		LONG BEACH	1493	14	258	14	50	2	84.0	21.0
		LA METRO	5855	17	603	2	789	4	55.0	15.0
		BELLFLOWER	677	11	14	1	19	1	98.0	8.0
		SANTA CLARITA	967	13	82	11	22	6	106.0	8.0
		PASADENA	854	16	220	11	41	6	110.0	6.0
		MALIBU	262	3	79	1	9	0	124.0	8.0
		POMONA	1096	13	48	5	25	2	76.0	9.0
		HUNTINGTON PK	1	0	0	0	1	8	108.0	331.0
		TORRANCE	1758	10	249	8	32	1	95.0	8.0
		WHITTIER	734	22	44	3	5	2	95.0	8.0
		HOLLYWOOD	129	0	10	0	6	0	59.0	8.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2007 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS) <sup>2</sup>	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	—	SAN FERNANDO	1630	11	235	12	40	0	40.0	13.0
		VAN NUYS	2629	36	210	7	203	0	46.0	8.0
		L ANGELES PURD	4	0	0	0	0	0	69.0	7.0
		AVALON	8	0	0	1	0	0	57.0	16.0
		US DIST CILA	67	0	3	0	0	0	113.0	17.0
		TOTAL	29690	492	2839	136	1481	99	75.0	10.0
MADERA	—	MADERA	31	28	0	1	0	7	219.0	21.0
		JUV MADERA	11	0	0	0	0	0	77.0	79.0
		CHOWCHILLA	394	0	73	8	9	7	294.0	34.0
		MADERA CRIM	26	0	2	1	1	0	274.0	14.0
		BASS LAKE SIERRA	154	3	35	4	5	2	266.0	1.0
		TOTAL	616	31	110	14	15	16	281.0	24.0
MARIN	—	MARIN	1431	33	0	0	37	10	71.0	31.0
		TOTAL	1431	33	0	0	37	10	71.0	31.0
MARIPOSA	—	MARIPOSA JUD	95	5	21	8	1	7	72.0	13.0
		USMG YOSEMITE	1	0	0	0	0	0	62.0	41.0
		TOTAL	96	5	21	8	1	7	72.0	13.0
		MENDOCINO	9	10	0	0	1	0	52.0	175.0
MENDOCINO	—	JUV MENDOCINO	8	0	1	0	1	0	104.0	152.0
		WILLITS	132	7	26	3	3	0	57.0	84.0
		UKIAH	448	2	136	15	7	18	47.0	77.0
		POINT ARENA	13	0	2	0	0	0	88.0	117.0
		COVELO	9	0	1	0	1	0	48.0	209.0
		FORT BRAGG	123	2	28	2	3	0	56.0	146.0
		TOTAL	742	21	194	20	16	18	51.0	89.0
		MERCED PROB	6	0	0	0	0	0	56.0	19.0
		MERCED	1001	22	133	29	20	29	144.0	57.0
		LOS BANOS	284	11	27	7	15	5	186.0	61.0
MERCED	—	TOTAL	1291	33	160	36	35	34	155.0	58.0
		ALTURAS	72	1	1	4	2	7	69.0	17.0
MODOC	—	TOTAL	72	1	1	4	2	7	69.0	17.0
		JUV MONO	1	0	0	0	0	0	106.0	23.0
MONO	—	BRIDGEPORT	21	1	2	0	0	0	36.0	20.0
		MAMMOTH LAKES	117	1	14	5	0	1	66.0	23.0
		TOTAL	139	2	16	5	0	1	59.0	23.0
		MONTEREY	120	37	7	0	2	0	99.0	21.0
MONTEREY	—	JUV MONTEREY	12	0	0	0	0	0	177.0	32.0
		MARINA	13	0	2	0	8	3	34.0	36.0
		SALINAS	1890	10	234	77	26	17	43.0	25.0
		KING CITY	381	6	30	9	8	2	74.0	36.0
		TOTAL	2416	53	273	86	44	22	54.0	28.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2007 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS) <sup>2</sup>	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
NAPA	—		913	52	121	4	8	11	66.0	3.0
		TOTAL	913	52	121	4	8	11	66.0	3.0
NEVADA	—		6	11	2	0	0	0	253.0	28.0
		JUV NEVADA	2	0	3	0	0	1	99.0	96.0
		JUV TRUCKEE	2	0	1	0	0	0	106.0	2.0
		NEVADA CITY	426	4	78	11	4	10	62.0	42.0
		TRUCKEE	200	10	45	4	4	5	53.0	8.0
		TOTAL	636	25	129	15	8	16	58.0	32.0
ORANGE	—		1	0	0	0	0	0	171.0	252.0
		JUV ORANGE	111	9	0	1	2	0	114.0	13.0
		FULLERTON	3716	106	176	12	35	12	70.0	0.0
		WESTMINSTER	3627	95	219	29	35	30	124.0	0.0
		LAGUNA HILLS	1002	61	79	5	10	0	89.0	0.0
		LAGUNA NIGUEL	1	1	0	0	0	14	101.0	205.0
		NEWPORT BEACH	3197	66	238	25	23	9	93.0	0.0
		SANTA ANA	2421	88	98	10	24	10	115.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14076	426	810	82	129	75	96.0	0.0
PLACER	—		24	0	0	0	0	0	150.0	5.0
		JUV TAHOE VISTA	3	0	0	0	0	0	92.0	28.0
		AUBURN	1832	82	180	6	12	21	88.0	14.0
		ROSEVILLE	1	0	0	0	2	0	78.0	61.0
		TAHOE CITY	152	4	24	9	4	0	65.0	2.0
		TOTAL	2012	86	204	15	18	21	86.0	13.0
PLUMAS	—		1	0	0	0	0	1	48.0	145.0
		QUINCY	205	4	43	8	4	0	52.0	8.0
		TOTAL	206	4	43	8	4	1	52.0	8.0
RIVERSIDE	—		3104	137	9	1	2	6	78.0	3.0
		INDIO	61	30	0	0	2	39	83.0	4.0
		JUV RIVERSIDE	39	1	0	0	0	0	133.0	11.0
		JUV MURRIETA	4	0	0	0	0	0	97.0	125.0
		HEMET	2	0	0	44	16	4	96.0	2.0
		BANNING	440	4	1	21	5	6	83.0	4.0
		INDIO	1714	14	83	127	17	12	73.0	1.0
		BLYTHE	142	0	14	7	4	3	60.0	1.0
		MURRIETA	2409	23	33	85	11	12	81.0	2.0
		TEMECULA	14	1	0	0	5	0	91.0	4.0
		TOTAL	7929	210	140	285	62	82	79.0	2.0
SACRAMENTO	—		154	213	3	1	4	0	110.0	15.0
		JUV SACRAMENTO	42	1	2	0	0	0	99.0	43.0
		SACRAMENTO CM	6283	94	591	9	102	13	57.0	18.0
		USDIST COURT	11	0	2	0	0	0	43.0	42.0
		TOTAL	6490	308	598	10	106	13	60.0	18.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2007 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS) <sup>2</sup>	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN BENITO	-	SAN BENITO	356	16	47	2	6	8	138.0	16.0
		JUV SAN BENITO	9	1	0	0	1	0	83.0	59.0
		TOTAL	365	17	47	2	7	8	137.0	22.0
SAN BERNARDINO	-	SAN BERNARDINO	71	48	1	0	0	37	150.0	38.0
		R CUCAMONGA	65	26	0	1	0	1	131.0	35.0
		VICTORVILLE	82	38	0	0	4	0	103.0	36.0
		BARSTOW	512	21	88	20	19	8	99.0	6.0
		JOSHUA TREE	12	9	0	0	0	6	67.0	43.0
		JUV SN BRNDNO	10	1	1	2	0	0	101.0	50.0
		JUV TR CUCMNGA	1	0	0	0	1	0	95.0	47.0
		JUV R CUCMNGA	14	1	0	1	0	0	119.0	10.0
		JUV VICTORVILLE	10	2	0	1	0	0	50.0	13.0
		CHINO	555	15	2	1	4	0	114.0	2.0
		REDLANDS	15	0	0	0	0	2	87.0	2.0
		SN BRNDND IV	2300	22	109	95	55	0	139.0	2.0
		FONTANA	1060	39	26	25	27	1	176.0	4.0
		VICTORVILLE	1614	11	92	24	34	39	165.0	2.0
		RN CHCUMGA DIST	2549	21	15	34	25	13	126.0	2.0
		BIG BEAR LAKE	217	7	24	16	6	0	70.0	3.0
		SUP NEEDLES	115	4	53	8	9	1	80.0	4.0
		JOSHUA TREE DIST	396	3	67	77	17	0	103.0	7.0
		TOTAL	9598	268	478	305	201	108	136.0	3.0
SAN DIEGO	-	SAN DIEGO	75	104	4	1	0	35	153.0	138.0
		VISTA	63	158	3	0	2	103	76.0	35.0
		JUV SAN DIEGO	111	3	0	0	3	0	159.0	4.0
		EL CAJON	2235	112	304	46	15	16	60.0	8.0
		VISTA	4469	77	694	169	38	2	46.0	7.0
		VISTA 2	33	0	1	0	3	24	71.0	57.0
		SN DIEGO KRNYBR	5298	17	435	52	48	12	119.0	7.0
		CHULA VISTA	1794	54	128	51	8	11	86.0	35.0
		US CT SN DIEGO	4	0	0	0	0	0	644.0	2.0
		TOTAL	14082	525	1569	319	117	203	74.0	9.0
		SAN FRANCISCO	12	18	2	1	0	0	280.0	7.0
		JUV DEL SANFRAN	2	1	0	0	0	0	70.0	2.0
		TRAF SANFRAN	1041	26	105	108	18	75	104.0	4.0
		US DIST COURT	1	0	0	0	0	0	733.0	1.0
		TOTAL	1056	45	107	109	18	75	106.0	4.0
SAN JOAQUIN	-	JUV SAN JOAQUIN	15	1	1	2	0	0	170.0	47.0
		LODI	495	29	42	1	17	3	38.0	7.0
		MANTECA	479	9	82	13	8	9	51.0	9.0
		TRACY	439	7	60	7	5	7	52.0	7.0
		STOCKTON	1753	59	223	15	40	34	37.0	6.0
		TOTAL	3181	105	408	38	70	53	43.0	7.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2007 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS) <sup>2</sup>	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN LUIS OBISPO	-	JUV S L OBISPO	11	0	3	0	1	0	108.0	12.0
		S L OBISPO MONT	1892	83	339	42	56	12	45.0	14.0
		TOTAL	1903	83	342	42	57	12	45.0	14.0
SAN MATEO	-	SAN MATEO	55	40	0	1	3	0	150.0	75.0
		JUV SAN N MATEO	25	0	0	0	0	0	117.0	24.0
		SAN MATEO NO MB	5	0	0	0	4	0	36.0	1.0
		SO SN FRANCISCO	1387	6	247	4	33	31	90.0	8.0
		REDWOOD CITY	1217	7	214	9	22	28	81.0	13.0
		TOTAL	2689	53	461	14	62	59	87.0	11.0
SANTA BARBARA	-	JUV SNTA BARBRA	9	0	0	0	0	0	94.0	97.0
		JUV SNTA MARIA	9	0	0	0	0	0	66.0	27.0
		JUV S MARIA WST	4	0	0	0	0	0	115.0	11.0
		SNT BARBARA FIG	1259	50	229	56	24	5	57.0	14.0
		MILL S MARIA	927	59	25	31	12	6	37.0	15.0
		LOMPOC	200	6	17	6	1	0	34.0	20.0
		SOLVANG	0	0	0	0	1	0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2408	115	271	93	38	11	46.0	14.0
		SANTA CLARA	134	146	2	0	0	0	114.0	50.0
		JUV SNTA CLARA	45	0	1	0	3	0	130.0	29.0
SANTA CLARA	-	PALO ALTO	957	7	167	29	9	0	71.0	11.0
		SAN JOSE	4167	54	280	56	46	2	61.0	3.0
		SAN JOSE TRAF	22	0	0	0	4	0	113.0	1.0
		PALO ALTO 2	4	4	0	0	0	0	101.0	38.0
		SAN MARTIN	673	9	84	4	16	0	82.0	7.0
		TOTAL	6002	220	534	89	78	2	73.0	5.0
		SANTA CRUZ	27	5	0	0	0	13	142.0	52.0
		JUV SANTA CRUZ	17	2	2	0	1	0	63.0	15.0
SANTA CRUZ	-	TRAF SNTA CRUZ	1157	18	197	21	19	5	64.0	25.0
		WATSONVILLE	341	6	33	5	5	1	46.0	4.0
		TOTAL	1542	31	232	26	25	19	61.0	15.0
		JUV SHASTA	14	1	0	2	1	0	130.0	26.0
		BURNEY	41	0	14	0	2	0	97.0	6.0
SHASTA	-	REDDING	1451	69	193	22	19	19	53.0	14.0
		TOTAL	1506	70	207	24	22	19	54.0	14.0
		SIERRA	23	1	6	1	1	0	88.0	41.0
		TOTAL	23	1	6	1	1	0	88.0	41.0
SISKIYOU	-	SISKIYOU	2	2	1	0	0	0	123.0	286.0
		JUV SISKIYOU	4	1	0	0	0	0	134.0	19.0
		HAPPYCAMP	0	0	0	0	1	0	0.0	0.0
		WEED	186	1	21	3	1	1	96.0	5.0
		YREKA	143	18	32	2	3	5	96.0	13.0
		TOTAL	335	22	54	5	5	6	96.0	9.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2007 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSALS	MEDIAN ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS) <sup>2</sup>	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SOLANO	-	SOLANO	11	0	1	0	0	0	43.0	92.0
		JUV SOLANO	24	1	1	0	1	0	114.0	6.0
		FAIRFIELD	1171	23	232	22	23	18	93.0	48.0
		VALLEJO	486	24	86	21	8	12	148.0	28.0
		TOTAL	1692	48	320	43	32	30	111.0	39.0
SONOMA	-	SONOMA	2775	131	539	24	25	1	61.0	8.0
		JUV SONOMA	46	4	3	0	1	0	66.0	23.0
		SANTA ROSA	6	0	0	0	4	53	71.0	45.0
		TOTAL	2827	135	542	24	30	54	62.0	8.0
		STANISLAUS	2416	72	331	42	22	4	59.0	66.0
STANISLAUS	-	JUV STANISLAUS	22	1	1	1	1	0	112.0	78.0
		MODESTO	24	0	0	0	5	16	79.0	4.0
		TURLOCK	4	0	0	0	1	1	136.0	4.0
		TOTAL	2466	73	332	43	29	21	60.0	66.0
		TRAF YUBA CITY	433	28	117	5	10	1	62.0	42.0
SUTTER	-	TOTAL	433	28	117	5	10	1	62.0	42.0
		TEHAMA	16	9	0	0	0	1	171.0	52.0
		JUV TEHAMA	12	1	0	0	0	0	47.0	7.0
		CORNING	142	0	41	1	3	0	46.0	9.0
		RED BLUFF	254	10	42	9	2	8	46.0	13.0
TRINITY	-	TOTAL	424	20	83	10	5	9	48.0	12.0
		TRINITY	79	3	17	0	2	3	65.0	4.0
		TOTAL	79	3	17	0	2	3	65.0	4.0
		JUV VISALIA	21	0	0	0	0	0	191.0	9.0
		DINUBA	414	11	17	0	9	2	102.0	12.0
TULARE	-	PORTERVILLE	847	12	40	2	33	8	46.0	17.0
		TULARE	1535	2	44	5	39	2	71.0	94.0
		VISALIA DIV	141	37	3	0	10	33	117.0	35.0
		TOTAL	2958	62	104	7	91	45	68.0	46.0
		TUOLUMNE	436	14	89	3	1	10	54.0	8.0
TUOLUMNE	-	TOTAL	436	14	89	3	1	10	54.0	8.0
		VENTURA 2	4658	68	0	2	73	68	86.0	1.0
		TOTAL	4658	68	0	2	73	68	86.0	1.0
		YOLO	974	58	123	28	11	14	78.0	29.0
		TOTAL	974	58	123	28	11	14	78.0	29.0
YUBA	-	YUBA	8	11	0	0	0	0	110.0	68.0
		JUV YUBA	3	0	0	0	0	0	64.0	15.0
		MARYSVILLE	415	6	88	3	3	6	78.0	37.0
		BEALE AFB	4	0	0	0	0	0	45.0	44.0
		TOTAL	430	17	88	3	3	6	78.0	41.0

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS<sup>1</sup>

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE			160591	95.8	73.4	65.5	19.0	0.2	5.3
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1419	98.4	96.9	78.2	1.6	0.0	0.4
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	393	99.5	97.2	14.5	16.5	0.0	16.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	109	97.2	92.7	3.7	16.5	0.0	9.2
		4 <sup>th</sup> + DUI	81	86.4	97.5	0.0	23.5	0.0	6.2
		TOTAL	2002	98.1	96.8	58.5	6.2	0.0	4.2
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	14	92.9	7.1	35.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	92.9	7.1	35.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	119	97.5	94.1	90.8	1.7	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	35	100.0	97.1	22.9	65.7	0.0	2.9
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	4	100.0	100.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV OAKLAND	TOTAL	158	98.1	94.9	74.1	17.7	0.0	0.6
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	540	98.9	98.5	86.3	4.1	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	181	100.0	99.4	21.5	66.3	0.0	1.7
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	38	100.0	100.0	7.9	60.5	0.0	2.6
		4 <sup>th</sup> + DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	763	99.2	98.8	66.6	22.1	0.0	0.5
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	775	98.2	97.7	93.5	1.3	0.0	0.8
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	215	100.0	98.1	13.5	81.9	0.0	17.2
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	51	100.0	98.0	2.0	94.1	0.0	60.8
		4 <sup>th</sup> + DUI	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	60.0
	PLEASANTON	TOTAL	1046	98.7	97.8	72.3	22.8	0.0	7.4
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1160	98.9	98.8	83.5	5.7	0.0	0.6
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	388	100.0	100.0	16.2	73.2	0.0	16.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	79	98.7	96.2	12.7	72.2	0.0	29.1
		4 <sup>th</sup> + DUI	14	92.9	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	21.4
		TOTAL	1641	99.1	99.0	63.5	25.2	0.0	5.8
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	15	100.0	40.0	86.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	16	100.0	43.8	81.3	6.3	0.0	6.3
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	5	40.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV AMADOR	TOTAL	5	40.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	204	97.1	97.1	83.8	9.3	0.0	21.1
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	67	98.5	98.5	16.4	80.6	0.0	61.2
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	16	87.5	100.0	6.3	81.3	0.0	75.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	7	42.9	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	28.6
		TOTAL	294	95.6	97.6	62.2	30.3	0.0	33.3
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	899	94.2	91.3	88.2	3.1	0.1	1.6
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	335	91.9	94.3	14.9	71.0	0.0	7.5
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	84	82.1	92.9	0.0	73.8	0.0	31.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	35	54.3	91.4	2.9	45.7	0.0	48.6
	BUTTE	TOTAL	1353	91.9	92.2	62.4	25.4	0.1	6.1
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	29	82.8	0.0	72.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	2	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	31	83.9	0.0	71.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

<sup>1</sup> Entries represent percentages of 2007 DUI convicts receiving each sanction by county, court and offender status. Due to a law change, as of September 20, 2005, SB 1697, the percentages of license restrictions and court suspensions by county and offender status are no longer available in this Table. Statewide information on these sanctions is provided in Tables 17 and 18 in Section 5.



TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
BUTTE	(cont)	1stDUI	7	42.9	42.9	42.9	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ndDUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		3rdDUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	60.0	60.0	60.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0
		2ndDUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1stDUI	6	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ndDUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	57.1	57.1	42.9	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		3rdDUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CALAVERAS		1stDUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	25.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		1stDUI	145	97.2	97.2	98.6	92.4	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.1	0.0
		2ndDUI	57	89.5	89.5	98.2	33.3	50.9	50.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.6	0.0
		3rdDUI	20	90.0	90.0	95.0	10.0	60.0	60.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	65.0	0.0
		4th+DUI	5	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
		TOTAL	227	94.7	94.7	98.2	68.3	20.3	20.3	0.4	0.4	0.0	39.6	0.0
		1stDUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4th+DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COLUSA		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1stDUI	3	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	3	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		1stDUI	127	92.1	92.1	95.3	76.4	3.9	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ndDUI	47	95.7	95.7	100.0	8.5	72.3	72.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3rdDUI	14	100.0	100.0	92.9	7.1	21.4	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4th+DUI	4	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	192	91.7	91.7	96.4	53.1	21.9	21.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1stDUI	18	77.8	77.8	94.4	33.3	5.6	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ndDUI	12	83.3	83.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
CONTRA COSTA		3rdDUI	5	60.0	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4th+DUI	26	50.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	19.2	19.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0
		TOTAL	61	65.6	65.6	98.4	9.8	16.4	16.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	0.0
		1stDUI	26	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	26	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1stDUI	16	68.8	68.8	68.8	43.8	6.3	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ndDUI	10	90.0	90.0	100.0	10.0	70.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3rdDUI	4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		4th+DUI	7	71.4	71.4	100.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	37	78.4	78.4	83.8	21.6	27.0	27.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	0.0
RICHMOND		1stDUI	557	97.1	97.1	96.6	88.0	2.2	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ndDUI	191	99.5	99.5	100.0	11.0	79.6	79.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0
		3rdDUI	45	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	64.4	64.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0
		4th+DUI	12	58.3	58.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	805	97.3	97.3	97.6	63.5	24.5	24.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0

TABLE B4: 2007 DUISANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
CONTRA COSTA (cont)	PITTSBURG	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	601	96.8	93.7	92.3	1.7	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	197	100.0	97.5	14.7	79.7	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	64	93.8	100.0	0.0	71.9	0.0	3.1
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	9	77.8	100.0	0.0	77.8	0.0	11.1
		TOTAL	871	97.1	95.1	67.0	25.3	0.0	0.3
	WALNUT CREEK	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1320	98.2	94.5	94.2	1.4	0.0	0.2
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	348	99.1	97.1	11.2	81.0	0.0	0.3
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	84	98.8	98.8	4.8	85.7	0.0	7.1
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1767	98.4	95.3	72.8	21.6	0.0	0.6
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	106	96.2	95.3	88.7	0.9	0.0	3.8
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	38	94.7	81.6	7.9	65.8	18.4	42.1
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	9	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	22.2
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	3	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3
		TOTAL	156	93.6	87.2	62.2	16.7	9.6	14.7
EL DORADO	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	225	96.9	95.1	81.8	4.4	0.0	0.9
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	56	94.6	96.4	21.4	67.9	0.0	5.4
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	10	100.0	90.0	10.0	40.0	0.0	50.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	294	96.3	95.2	67.0	18.0	0.0	3.4
	PLACERVILLE	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	407	97.1	92.4	85.0	3.4	0.0	0.2
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	155	97.4	92.3	12.9	75.5	0.0	2.6
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	48	97.9	97.9	0.0	87.5	0.0	10.4
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	17	58.8	94.1	0.0	47.1	0.0	23.5
		TOTAL	627	96.2	92.8	58.4	28.9	0.0	2.2
FRESNO	JUV FRESNO	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	21	100.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	3	100.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	24	100.0	4.2	4.2	4.2	0.0	0.0
	FRESNO CENTRAL	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	2588	95.3	98.1	89.5	2.3	0.0	2.4
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	835	93.5	98.9	17.4	71.9	0.1	15.3
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	266	86.8	98.1	5.6	74.4	0.0	17.3
	CLOVIS	4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	122	59.8	100.0	7.4	36.1	0.0	12.3
		TOTAL	3811	93.2	98.3	65.2	23.7	0.0	6.6
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	321	99.4	98.8	96.9	0.9	0.0	0.6
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	98	100.0	98.0	13.3	82.7	0.0	10.2
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	21	100.0	95.2	0.0	81.0	0.0	4.8
	COALINGA	4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	442	99.1	98.4	73.3	22.9	0.0	2.9
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	123	99.2	94.3	92.7	0.8	0.0	0.8
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	33	100.0	100.0	33.3	60.6	0.0	9.1
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	13	84.6	100.0	23.1	53.8	0.0	23.1
	FIREBAUGH	4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	2	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	171	97.7	95.9	75.4	16.4	0.0	4.7
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	104	98.1	98.1	89.4	3.8	0.0	4.8
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	49	93.9	100.0	30.6	59.2	0.0	8.2
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	60.0
		TOTAL	158	96.8	98.7	68.4	24.1	0.0	7.6

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO	(cont)	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	61	98.4	93.4	93.4	90.2	1.6	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	19	89.5	100.0	100.0	31.6	52.6	52.6	0.0	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	3	100.0	66.7	66.7	33.3	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	5	80.0	100.0	100.0	40.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	88	95.5	94.3	94.3	72.7	14.8	14.8	1.1	1.1	3.4	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	278	96.4	97.8	97.8	90.3	4.7	4.7	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	84	96.4	100.0	100.0	16.7	76.2	76.2	0.0	0.0	19.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	27	88.9	96.3	96.3	0.0	81.5	81.5	0.0	0.0	48.1	0.0	0.0
KINGSBURG		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	11	54.5	100.0	100.0	0.0	45.5	45.5	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	400	94.8	98.3	98.3	66.3	26.0	26.0	0.0	0.0	9.8	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	197	98.5	99.0	99.0	82.7	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	2.5	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	78	98.7	100.0	100.0	12.8	80.8	80.8	0.0	0.0	10.3	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	19	84.2	100.0	100.0	0.0	78.9	78.9	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	7	57.1	100.0	100.0	0.0	42.9	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	301	96.7	99.3	99.3	57.5	28.2	28.2	0.3	0.3	4.7	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	2	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	3	66.7	100.0	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GLENN		TOTAL	3	66.7	100.0	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	231	94.4	74.0	74.0	59.3	6.5	6.5	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	87	93.1	94.3	94.3	19.5	39.1	39.1	0.0	0.0	6.9	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	18	94.4	100.0	100.0	16.7	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	3	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	339	93.2	80.8	80.8	46.3	16.2	16.2	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	590	98.1	34.2	34.2	89.8	3.1	3.1	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	171	98.2	89.5	89.5	18.1	74.3	74.3	0.0	0.0	56.1	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	51	94.1	94.1	94.1	2.0	82.4	82.4	0.0	0.0	56.9	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	14	85.7	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
HUMBOLDT		TOTAL	826	97.7	50.5	50.5	68.0	23.5	23.5	0.0	0.0	19.7	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	37	100.0	18.9	18.9	59.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	5	80.0	60.0	60.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	45	97.8	26.7	26.7	53.3	4.4	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	66	97.0	27.3	27.3	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
IMPERIAL		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	18	94.4	50.0	50.0	27.8	22.2	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	4	50.0	50.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	89	94.4	33.7	33.7	43.8	4.5	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	89	94.4	33.7	33.7	43.8	4.5	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	66	97.0	27.3	27.3	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	18	94.4	50.0	50.0	27.8	22.2	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	4	50.0	50.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
JUV IMPERIAL		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	89	94.4	33.7	33.7	43.8	4.5	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	89	94.4	33.7	33.7	43.8	4.5	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	66	97.0	27.3	27.3	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	18	94.4	50.0	50.0	27.8	22.2	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	4	50.0	50.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	89	94.4	33.7	33.7	43.8	4.5	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BRAWLEY		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	89	94.4	33.7	33.7	43.8	4.5	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	66	97.0	27.3	27.3	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	18	94.4	50.0	50.0	27.8	22.2	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	4	50.0	50.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	89	94.4	33.7	33.7	43.8	4.5	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	89	94.4	33.7	33.7	43.8	4.5	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 2007 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
IMPERIAL	CALEXICO	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	222	97.7	7.2	45.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	44	100.0	38.6	34.1	9.1	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	5	80.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	272	97.8	12.9	43.0	1.5	0.0	0.0
	EL CENTRO	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	333	98.2	22.5	30.9	1.2	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	81	96.3	59.3	30.9	35.8	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	11	100.0	90.9	27.3	45.5	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	427	97.9	31.6	63.5	8.9	0.0	0.0
INYO	WINTER HAVEN	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	INYO	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	5	80.0	60.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
	JUV INYO	TOTAL	11	63.6	72.7	27.3	27.3	0.0	27.3
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BISHOP	TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	112	98.2	29.5	92.9	0.9	0.0	0.0
KERN	KERN	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	52	98.1	84.6	9.6	69.2	0.0	5.8
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	16	100.0	93.8	0.0	87.5	0.0	43.8
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	182	97.3	51.6	59.9	28.0	0.0	5.5
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV KERN	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	50	100.0	10.0	82.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ARVIN-LAMONT	ARVIN-LAMONT	TOTAL	53	98.1	9.4	77.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	238	97.9	98.3	79.8	2.1	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	61	96.7	100.0	13.1	54.1	0.0	3.3
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	22	100.0	100.0	0.0	77.3	0.0	13.6
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	BAKERSFIELD	TOTAL	327	96.9	98.8	60.6	17.4	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1886	94.5	98.5	69.5	0.1	0.1	1.5
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	557	93.9	99.5	8.8	0.2	0.2	0.4
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	165	94.5	98.8	6.1	0.6	0.6	6.7
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	41	43.9	92.7	4.9	0.0	4.9	0.0
DELANO	DELANO	TOTAL	2649	93.6	98.6	51.8	0.2	0.2	1.9
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	181	98.9	91.2	87.3	2.8	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	61	93.4	100.0	8.2	73.8	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	19	84.2	100.0	5.3	63.2	0.0	5.3
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	8	37.5	87.5	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
	TOTAL	TOTAL	269	94.8	93.7	61.0	23.4	0.4	0.4

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
KERN	LAKE ISABELLA	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	62	98.4	100.0	27.4	9.7	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	29	100.0	100.0	10.3	17.2	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	105	95.2	100.0	19.0	10.5	0.0	0.0
	TAFT	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	129	96.1	98.4	55.8	0.8	0.0	2.3
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	34	94.1	94.1	14.7	50.0	0.0	38.2
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	9	100.0	88.9	0.0	22.2	0.0	11.1
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	174	95.4	97.1	44.3	11.5	0.0	10.3
	SHAFTER	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	232	97.4	98.3	85.3	3.0	0.4	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	53	98.1	100.0	17.0	66.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	12	75.0	100.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
	MOJAVE	4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	304	96.1	98.7	68.1	15.1	0.3	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	324	99.1	96.9	64.5	1.9	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	86	98.8	94.2	4.7	33.7	0.0	2.3
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	22	90.9	95.5	0.0	18.2	0.0	4.5
KINGS	RIDGECREST	4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	436	98.2	96.3	48.9	8.9	0.0	0.7
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	99	100.0	97.0	65.7	3.0	0.0	1.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	22	100.0	100.0	36.4	13.6	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	6	83.3	100.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	134	99.3	97.8	55.2	4.5	0.0	1.5
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	HANFORD	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	459	91.1	96.7	69.7	3.3	0.0	2.8
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	159	89.9	98.1	13.8	58.5	0.0	22.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	46	76.1	100.0	0.0	54.3	0.0	28.3
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	18	16.7	94.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	682	87.8	97.2	50.1	19.5	0.0	9.8
LAKE	AVENAL	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	44	95.5	100.0	75.0	4.5	0.0	4.5
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	15	80.0	100.0	20.0	46.7	0.0	13.3
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	33.3
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	66	89.4	100.0	54.5	18.2	0.0	10.6
	CORCORAN	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	85	92.9	94.1	69.4	8.2	0.0	4.7
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	24	95.8	95.8	8.3	70.8	0.0	29.2
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	40.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	115	93.9	94.8	53.0	24.3	0.0	11.3
	LAKE	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	148	93.9	63.5	81.1	2.0	0.0	0.7
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	47	95.7	93.6	6.4	74.5	0.0	4.3
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	13	92.3	84.6	0.0	53.8	0.0	23.1
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	213	93.4	72.3	57.7	22.1	0.0	2.8

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
LAKE  (cont)	CLEARLAKE	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	110	94.5	42.7	67.3	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.9				
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	55	87.3	81.8	9.1	50.9	9.1	9.1					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	11	72.7	90.9	0.0	54.5	0.0	18.2					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	4	50.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0					
LASSEN	JUV LASSEN	TOTAL	180	90.0	58.3	43.9	20.6	3.3	4.4					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	129	96.1	95.3	58.1	0.8	0.0	4.7					
LOS ANGELES	SUSANVILLE	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	36	94.4	100.0	55.6	11.1	0.0	11.1					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	8	62.5	100.0	37.5	25.0	0.0	12.5					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	4	75.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	50.0					
		TOTAL	177	93.8	96.6	55.9	4.0	0.0	7.3					
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	94	64.9	86.2	33.0	1.1	1.1	0.0					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	31	38.7	90.3	0.0	9.7	0.0	0.0					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	14	35.7	92.9	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	47	40.4	89.4	2.1	4.3	10.6	0.0					
POMONA	POMONA	TOTAL	186	52.2	88.2	17.2	5.4	3.2	0.0					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	911	94.7	22.5	86.8	1.4	0.1	0.0					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	227	92.5	69.6	18.1	51.5	0.0	0.4					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	41	78.0	90.2	7.3	51.2	4.9	0.0					
LANCASTER	LANCASTER	4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	43	14.0	97.7	2.3	9.3	0.0	0.3					
		TOTAL	1222	90.9	36.2	68.4	12.7	0.2	0.1					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1011	95.7	97.1	86.9	2.9	0.1	0.8					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	261	93.5	96.2	13.0	64.8	1.9	12.3					
SAN FERNANDO	SAN FERNANDO	3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	55	78.2	74.5	3.6	40.0	25.5	29.1					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	18	16.7	94.4	0.0	5.6	5.6	5.6					
		TOTAL	1345	93.5	96.0	68.0	16.4	1.6	4.2					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1311	96.1	29.8	81.2	5.9	0.3	0.0					
PASADENA	PASADENA	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	305	96.4	91.5	10.2	76.7	1.0	0.0					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	88	88.6	84.1	2.3	54.5	12.5	0.0					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	34	8.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	1738	94.1	44.8	63.1	20.7	1.0	0.0					
VAN NUYS	VAN NUYS	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	705	96.5	54.6	91.3	1.3	0.3	0.0					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	146	96.6	93.8	15.8	77.4	0.0	0.0					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	33	87.9	72.7	0.0	48.5	18.2	0.0					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	11	54.5	90.9	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0					
LONG BEACH	LONG BEACH	TOTAL	895	95.6	62.1	74.5	15.6	1.0	0.0					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	2151	98.2	41.3	86.1	3.8	0.0	0.0					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	419	97.6	93.6	8.1	78.5	0.2	0.0					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	108	85.2	90.7	0.0	32.4	8.3	0.0					
LONG BEACH	LONG BEACH	4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	22	18.2	100.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	2700	96.9	51.9	69.8	16.6	0.4	0.0					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1193	97.3	20.2	85.6	1.4	0.8	0.0					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	290	96.6	75.5	9.7	66.9	4.1	0.0					
LONG BEACH	LONG BEACH	3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	61	93.4	39.3	3.3	21.3	50.8	0.0					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	20	30.0	95.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	1564	96.2	32.2	67.2	14.3	3.4	0.0					

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES	(cont)	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	839	97.3	47.0	84.9	1.1	0.1	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	215	95.3	83.3	23.3	61.9	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	50	90.0	84.0	8.0	58.0	6.0	2.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	18	44.4	94.4	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1122	95.7	56.3	68.3	15.6	0.4	0.1
	NORWALK	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	55	52.7	81.8	23.6	0.0	1.8	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	17	47.1	100.0	5.9	17.6	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	14	28.6	85.7	7.1	0.0	7.1	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	18	27.8	83.3	0.0	5.6	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	104	44.2	85.6	14.4	3.8	4.8	0.0
	TORRANCE	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1373	97.7	17.9	93.7	1.5	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	338	97.0	83.1	15.1	71.3	1.2	0.9
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	64	95.3	82.8	7.8	57.8	15.6	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	23	26.1	95.7	0.0	4.3	4.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1798	96.6	33.5	74.7	16.7	0.8	0.2
	SANTA MONICA	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	14	100.0	78.6	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	7	57.1	71.4	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	4	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	6	33.3	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	31	64.5	77.4	19.4	3.2	0.0	0.0
	JUV LOS ANGELES	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV EASTLAKE	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LOS ANGELES AIRPORT	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1150	98.3	16.0	89.9	2.5	0.0	0.1
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	258	97.7	81.8	16.3	69.0	0.4	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	32	90.6	90.6	6.3	40.6	6.3	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	7	28.6	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1447	97.7	29.8	74.5	15.3	0.2	0.1
	ALHAMBRA	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	529	98.1	16.3	89.6	0.6	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	110	95.5	85.5	12.7	77.3	0.9	0.9
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	16	93.8	75.0	0.0	62.5	12.5	0.0
		TOTAL	655	97.6	29.3	74.5	15.0	0.5	0.2
	BEVERLY HILLS	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	328	99.4	14.9	92.4	1.8	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	59	94.9	84.7	13.6	78.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	6	100.0	83.3	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	394	98.7	26.6	79.2	14.5	0.0	0.0
	BURBANK	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	234	99.1	47.4	88.5	1.3	0.4	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	57	98.2	91.2	5.3	84.2	5.3	5.3
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	14	100.0	71.4	7.1	35.7	28.6	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	308	98.7	57.1	68.5	18.2	2.6	1.0
	WEST COVINA	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1461	97.9	16.5	92.9	2.1	0.0	0.5
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	327	97.6	89.0	11.0	77.7	0.0	7.6
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	66	100.0	90.9	1.5	80.3	7.6	18.2
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1855	97.9	31.9	75.2	18.2	0.3	2.4

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES	CHATSWORTH	1stDUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1stDUI	877	97.3	32.4	87.7	79.6	3.1	3.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2
		2ndDUI	169	98.8	79.9	79.9	16.0	68.0	68.0	3.6	3.6	1.8	1.8	1.8
	DOWNEY	3rdDUI	20	95.0	65.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	30.0	30.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
		4th+DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1068	97.5	40.5	67.9	67.9	14.3	14.3	1.3	1.3	0.6	0.6	0.6
		1stDUI	1090	97.3	37.0	90.5	90.5	2.8	2.8	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	EAST LOS ANGELES	2ndDUI	243	98.4	86.8	17.3	3.5	77.2	77.2	5.3	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3rdDUI	57	98.2	91.2	3.5	0.0	85.7	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4th+DUI	7	85.7	100.0	100.0	0.0	73.7	19.5	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1397	97.5	48.2	92.7	89.7	2.0	2.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	EL MONTE	1stDUI	564	98.2	26.6	90.3	14.2	73.1	73.1	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ndDUI	134	97.8	100.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3rdDUI	24	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4th+DUI	4	75.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	18.2	18.2	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	GLENDALE	TOTAL	726	98.1	41.2	92.7	12.5	1.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.4
		1stDUI	450	98.2	11.3	88.3	0.0	66.7	66.7	1.1	1.1	9.1	9.1	9.1
		2ndDUI	88	98.9	78.4	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	5.6	11.1	11.1	11.1
		3rdDUI	18	88.9	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	INGLEWOOD	4th+DUI	2	100.0	24.6	76.7	76.7	14.9	14.9	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.2	2.2
		TOTAL	558	98.0	20.3	71.5	71.5	60.9	60.9	3.1	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1stDUI	207	97.6	78.1	66.7	13.3	26.7	26.7	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ndDUI	64	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	16.3	16.3	2.4	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LA METRO	3rdDUI	15	86.7	36.3	93.6	6.6	86.1	86.1	0.3	0.3	3.7	3.7	3.7
		4th+DUI	3	33.3	38.2	94.2	1.0	80.1	80.1	2.6	2.6	6.8	6.8	6.8
		TOTAL	289	96.9	36.3	90.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1stDUI	4651	98.3	38.2	94.2	91.3	21.0	21.0	0.2	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.1
	BELLFLOWER	2ndDUI	1020	98.1	82.1	82.1	12.8	70.9	70.9	2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3rdDUI	191	96.9	90.5	14.3	14.3	61.9	61.9	4.8	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4th+DUI	10	60.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5872	98.2	30.2	72.4	72.4	15.3	15.3	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA CLARITA	1stDUI	547	98.7	19.6	86.8	14.4	59.2	59.2	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ndDUI	117	98.3	89.5	89.5	1.8	31.6	31.6	8.8	8.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3rdDUI	21	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4th+DUI	3	100.0	37.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MALIBU	TOTAL	688	97.2	8.8	76.5	57.6	13.2	13.2	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1stDUI	741	94.3	80.6	80.6	11.1	72.2	72.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ndDUI	174	98.0	36.4	36.4	0.0	27.3	27.3	54.5	54.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3rdDUI	11	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4th+DUI	1	100.0	19.6	64.2	64.2	13.2	13.2	2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	265	98.1	19.6	64.2	64.2	13.2	13.2	2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0



TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES	(cont)	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	581	97.8	97.8	25.5	92.4	92.4	1.9	1.9	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	142	95.8	95.8	85.2	15.5	15.5	73.2	73.2	1.4	1.4	4.2	4.2
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	31	100.0	100.0	90.3	9.7	9.7	77.4	77.4	3.2	3.2	25.8	25.8
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	2	50.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	756	97.4	97.4	39.6	74.3	74.3	18.5	18.5	0.5	0.5	2.0	2.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	108	99.1	99.1	14.8	79.6	79.6	1.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	17	100.0	100.0	88.2	11.8	11.8	88.2	88.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	129	99.2	99.2	27.1	68.2	68.2	16.3	16.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MADERA		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	6	100.0	100.0	16.7	83.3	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	100.0	25.0	75.0	75.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	53	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	11	9.1	9.1	9.1	0.0	0.0	9.1	9.1	0.0	0.0	9.1	9.1
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	67	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.5
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	36	75.0	75.0	88.9	50.0	50.0	8.3	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	8	62.5	62.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	62.5	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	12	83.3	83.3	91.7	8.3	8.3	58.3	58.3	8.3	8.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	59	76.3	76.3	89.8	32.2	32.2	28.8	28.8	1.7	1.7	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	11	72.7	72.7	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	72.7	72.7	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	286	97.6	97.6	96.9	88.8	88.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	82	97.6	97.6	97.6	32.9	32.9	61.0	61.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	22	100.0	100.0	86.4	18.2	18.2	72.7	72.7	9.1	9.1	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	4	50.0	50.0	100.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	394	97.2	97.2	96.4	72.6	72.6	19.8	19.8	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	9	88.9	88.9	100.0	66.7	66.7	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	10	80.0	80.0	80.0	30.0	30.0	20.0	20.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	26	88.5	88.5	88.5	34.6	34.6	23.1	23.1	7.7	7.7	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	101	91.1	91.1	91.1	84.2	84.2	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	35	88.6	88.6	82.9	37.1	37.1	28.6	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	17	88.2	88.2	94.1	5.9	5.9	70.6	70.6	0.0	0.0	17.6	17.6
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	4	50.0	50.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	157	89.2	89.2	89.8	64.3	64.3	16.6	16.6	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9
		TOTAL	157	89.2	89.2	89.8	64.3	64.3	16.6	16.6	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
MARIN	SUP SAN RAFAEL	1ST DUI	1121	96.6	10.8	87.5	1.9	0.0	0.2
		2ND DUI	267	99.3	86.5	6.4	75.3	0.0	9.4
		3RD DUI	55	100.0	94.5	0.0	34.5	0.0	36.4
		4TH+DUI	21	81.0	90.5	0.0	42.9	0.0	57.1
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	TOTAL	1464	97.0	88.2	68.2	17.1	0.0	4.0
		1ST DUI	65	98.5	93.8	86.2	4.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	28	96.4	100.0	17.9	60.7	0.0	21.4
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	20.0
	US MG YOSEMITE	4TH+DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	100	96.0	95.0	61.0	23.0	0.0	8.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	1ST DUI	5	80.0	100.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	44.4
	JUV MENDOCINO	TOTAL	19	84.2	94.7	15.8	21.1	0.0	26.3
		1ST DUI	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	80	97.5	97.5	81.3	8.8	0.0	8.8
	WILLITS	2ND DUI	45	97.8	93.3	15.6	71.1	0.0	55.6
		3RD DUI	12	91.7	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	83.3
		4TH+DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	139	97.1	96.4	51.8	36.7	0.0	30.2
	UKIAH	1ST DUI	303	94.4	94.7	88.1	3.0	0.0	4.6
		2ND DUI	118	98.3	98.3	16.1	76.3	0.0	68.6
		3RD DUI	28	85.7	92.9	0.0	67.9	0.0	75.0
		4TH+DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	POINT ARENA	TOTAL	450	94.7	95.6	63.6	26.2	0.0	25.8
		1ST DUI	11	100.0	100.0	81.8	91	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	13	100.0	100.0	69.2	23.1	0.0	15.4
	COVELO	1ST DUI	6	100.0	100.0	83.3	16.7	0.0	16.7
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	9	100.0	100.0	55.6	44.4	0.0	44.4
		1ST DUI	91	91.2	89.0	76.9	2.2	0.0	3.3
	FORT BRAGG	2ND DUI	25	100.0	92.0	24.0	48.0	0.0	44.0
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	12.5	37.5	0.0	62.5
		4TH+DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	125	93.6	90.4	61.6	14.4	0.0	16.0
	MERCED JUV	1ST DUI	6	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MERCED	1ST DUI	723	97.9	94.7	86.9	4.3	0.0	0.3
		2ND DUI	227	97.8	98.7	22.0	70.0	0.0	0.9
		3RD DUI	48	100.0	100.0	18.8	77.1	0.0	2.1
		4TH+DUI	25	44.0	100.0	4.0	28.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1023	96.7	96.0	67.3	22.9	0.0	0.5

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%
MERCED	(cont)	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	210	99.0	98.6	91.9	2.9	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	63	96.8	100.0	28.6	66.7	0.0	12.7
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	16	100.0	100.0	18.8	37.5	0.0	18.8
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	295	98.3	99.0	72.5	18.3	0.0	4.4
MODOC	ALTURAS	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	51	96.1	68.6	35.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	15	100.0	73.3	20.0	13.3	6.7	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	5	80.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	73	94.5	68.5	28.8	2.7	2.7	0.0
MONO	JUV MONO	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BRIDGEPORT	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	18	100.0	55.6	77.8	5.6	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MONTEREY	MAMMOTH LAKES	TOTAL	22	95.5	59.1	68.2	9.1	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	100	99.0	54.0	85.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	13	100.0	69.2	23.1	53.8	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	4	100.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MONTEREY	MONTEREY	TOTAL	118	99.2	55.9	75.4	9.3	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	75	88.0	97.3	53.3	0.0	0.0	12.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	34	76.5	100.0	2.9	47.1	0.0	38.2
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	16	81.3	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	37.5
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	32	78.1	100.0	9.4	28.1	0.0	40.6
MONTEREY	JUV MONTEREY	TOTAL	157	82.8	98.7	28.0	18.5	0.0	26.1
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	11	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	13	46.2	38.5	30.8	0.0	0.0	7.7
MONTEREY	MARINA	TOTAL	13	46.2	38.5	30.8	0.0	0.0	7.7
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1426	98.7	99.2	71.5	2.5	0.0	12.3
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	371	99.5	100.0	6.5	78.4	0.0	76.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	97	99.0	100.0	2.1	80.4	0.0	88.7
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	50.0
MONTEREY	KING CITY	TOTAL	1900	98.9	99.4	55.1	21.4	0.0	28.7
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	272	99.6	100.0	66.5	1.8	0.0	16.2
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	82	98.8	98.8	9.8	69.5	0.0	69.5
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	19	100.0	100.0	0.0	84.2	0.0	89.5
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	14	78.6	100.0	0.0	21.4	0.0	35.7
NAPA	NAPA	TOTAL	387	98.7	99.7	48.8	20.9	0.0	31.8
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	692	97.7	96.1	90.0	2.5	0.0	1.2
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	196	95.4	98.5	11.7	81.6	0.0	15.8
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	57	94.7	100.0	7.0	84.2	0.0	43.9
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	20	40.0	90.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	10.0
		TOTAL	965	95.9	96.7	67.4	24.0	0.0	6.8

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
NEVADA	NEVADA	1stDUI	9	100.0	100.0	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2ndDUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3rdDUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		4th+DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	17	76.5	100.0	41.2	11.8	0.0	0.0	
	JUV NEVADA	1stDUI	2	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	JUV TRUCKEE	1stDUI	2	100.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2	100.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	NEVADA CITY	1stDUI	314	98.4	96.8	93.6	1.3	0.0	0.3	
		2ndDUI	91	100.0	97.8	8.8	87.9	0.0	12.1	
		3rdDUI	21	100.0	95.2	4.8	85.7	4.8	47.6	
		4th+DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
	ORANGE	TRUCKEE	TOTAL	430	98.8	97.0	70.5	24.7	0.2	5.1
1stDUI			155	99.4	100.0	94.2	4.5	0.0	0.0	
2ndDUI			44	100.0	100.0	15.9	81.8	0.0	0.0	
3rdDUI			5	100.0	100.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	
ORANGE		4th+DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	210	98.1	100.0	73.8	23.3	0.0	0.5	
		2ndDUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
JUV ORANGE		1stDUI	117	97.4	17.1	86.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2ndDUI	3	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
FULLERTON		TOTAL	120	97.5	18.3	85.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		1stDUI	2924	99.1	46.7	91.8	1.9	0.0	1.0	
		2ndDUI	708	98.6	92.2	7.6	80.6	0.0	26.6	
		3rdDUI	153	98.0	98.0	0.0	92.2	0.0	48.4	
WESTMINSTER	4th+DUI	37	54.1	94.6	5.4	35.1	0.0	5.4		
		TOTAL	3822	98.5	57.6	71.7	20.4	0.0	7.6	
		1stDUI	2778	98.6	16.8	90.6	1.5	0.0	0.7	
		2ndDUI	734	98.0	91.8	5.7	80.5	0.0	16.8	
	LAGUNA HILLS	3rdDUI	167	92.8	95.2	0.6	73.1	0.0	47.3	
		4th+DUI	43	46.5	95.3	2.3	30.2	2.3	27.9	
		TOTAL	3722	97.6	36.0	68.8	20.6	0.0	6.3	
		1stDUI	830	98.4	22.5	91.0	1.4	0.0	0.6	
	LAGUNA NIGUEL	2ndDUI	189	98.4	88.4	9.0	73.0	0.0	11.6	
		3rdDUI	27	96.3	96.3	3.7	85.2	0.0	22.2	
		4th+DUI	17	58.8	100.0	0.0	52.9	0.0	17.6	
		TOTAL	1063	97.7	37.3	72.7	17.1	0.0	3.4	
	NEWPORT BEACH	LAGUNA NIGUEL	1stDUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
			2ndDUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL			2	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
1stDUI			2480	98.1	62.9	91.4	1.2	0.0	0.2	
NEWPORT BEACH		2ndDUI	606	98.8	94.1	7.8	79.5	0.0	11.9	
		3rdDUI	144	95.8	98.6	2.1	85.4	0.0	12.5	
		4th+DUI	33	54.5	93.9	0.0	21.2	0.0	9.1	
		TOTAL	3263	97.7	70.6	71.0	19.7	0.0	2.0	

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%
ORANGE	(cont)	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1883	97.7	36.2	90.7	1.8	0.0	1.1
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	494	98.0	91.3	10.3	77.7	0.0	25.9
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	89	88.8	98.9	2.2	78.7	0.0	39.3
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	43	32.6	93.0	2.3	25.6	0.0	14.0
		TOTAL	2509	96.3	50.2	70.2	19.8	0.0	7.5
PLACER	JUV PLACER	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	23	100.0	56.5	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	24	100.0	58.3	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
JUV TAHOE VISTA	AUBURN	TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1379	97.4	98.5	82.4	1.9	0.0	0.7
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	407	96.6	98.8	39.1	49.6	0.0	10.3
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	101	87.1	99.0	31.7	51.5	0.0	47.5
ROSEVILLE	TAHOE CITY	4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	27	25.9	96.3	7.4	18.5	0.0	18.5
		TOTAL	1914	95.7	98.6	69.4	14.9	0.0	5.5
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PLUMAS	GREENVILLE	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	122	100.0	99.2	70.5	1.6	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	29	100.0	100.0	24.1	65.5	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	156	100.0	99.4	59.6	14.7	0.0	0.0
QUINCY	RIVERSIDE	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	136	97.8	96.3	91.9	4.4	0.0	0.7
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	59	94.9	100.0	15.3	79.7	0.0	1.7
RIVERSIDE	INDIO	3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	12	91.7	100.0	0.0	91.7	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	209	95.7	97.6	64.1	30.6	0.0	0.5
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	182	74.7	95.6	62.6	2.7	0.0	3.3
INDIO	JUV RIVERSIDE	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	60	58.3	93.3	8.3	43.3	0.0	8.8
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	34	58.8	94.1	5.9	50.0	0.0	3.3
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	60	43.3	95.0	1.7	35.0	0.0	2.4
		TOTAL	336	64.6	94.9	36.3	20.5	0.0	0.2
JUV MURRIETA	HEMET	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1377	96.8	95.5	90.6	2.8	0.0	7.8
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	333	96.4	99.1	17.1	76.3	0.0	11.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	73	84.9	100.0	5.5	76.7	0.0	8.3
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	36	66.7	100.0	2.8	52.8	0.0	2.2
JUV RIVERSIDE	HEMET	TOTAL	1819	95.7	96.4	72.0	20.2	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	40	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	40	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	4	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
HEMET	HEMET	TOTAL	4	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	2280	98.1	96.8	94.6	1.8	0.0	0.4
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	520	96.9	97.5	15.6	77.5	0.0	9.4
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	92	95.7	96.7	4.3	85.9	0.0	27.2
RIVERSIDE	HEMET	4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	15	66.7	100.0	6.7	60.0	0.0	26.7
		TOTAL	2907	97.6	96.9	77.1	18.3	0.0	3.0

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
RIVERSIDE	(cont)	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	353	98.9	96.3	92.9	1.1	0.0	0.3					
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	71	98.6	91.5	18.3	70.4	0.0	32.4					
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	17	100.0	100.0	5.9	76.5	0.0	47.1					
		4 <sup>TH</sup> +DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	444	98.9	95.7	77.0	15.8	0.0	7.2					
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	107	96.3	62.6	86.0	3.7	0.0	0.0					
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	28	100.0	82.1	14.3	67.9	0.0	3.6					
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	7	71.4	100.0	14.3	42.9	0.0	14.3					
		TOTAL	142	95.8	68.3	68.3	18.3	0.0	1.4					
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1778	98.8	93.7	95.8	1.7	0.0	1.5					
SACRAMENTO		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	492	98.2	98.2	10.4	85.0	0.0	21.3					
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	140	98.6	98.6	0.0	95.7	0.0	42.9					
		4 <sup>TH</sup> +DUI	22	100.0	100.0	0.0	86.4	0.0	31.8					
		TOTAL	2432	98.7	94.9	72.2	24.7	0.0	8.1					
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	13	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0					
		TOTAL	15	6.7	13.3	0.0	6.7	0.0	6.7					
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	109	81.7	98.2	38.5	12.8	0.0	0.9					
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	70	70.0	97.1	10.0	37.1	0.0	1.4					
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	49	67.3	98.0	2.0	46.9	0.0	4.1					
SAN BENITO		4 <sup>TH</sup> +DUI	139	66.9	97.8	1.4	50.4	0.0	9.4					
		TOTAL	367	71.9	97.8	14.2	36.2	0.0	4.6					
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	41	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	43	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	4567	97.9	97.9	91.5	2.4	0.0	0.3					
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1420	99.1	99.4	7.0	88.2	0.0	8.4					
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	355	99.2	99.2	1.1	85.4	0.0	17.5					
		4 <sup>TH</sup> +DUI	35	74.3	97.1	11.4	51.4	0.0	11.4					
		TOTAL	6377	98.1	98.3	67.2	26.4	0.0	3.1					
SAN BERNARDINO		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	11	9.1	9.1	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0					
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	277	96.8	90.6	49.5	0.4	0.0	1.4					
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	79	94.9	100.0	6.3	29.1	0.0	26.6					
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	37.5					
		4 <sup>TH</sup> +DUI	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5					
		TOTAL	372	95.2	93.0	38.2	7.0	0.0	8.3					
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	10	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	10	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
SAN BERNARDINO		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	52	65.4	98.1	50.0	7.7	0.0	0.0					
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	19	63.2	94.7	5.3	57.9	0.0	0.0					
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	9	55.6	100.0	0.0	55.6	0.0	0.0					
		4 <sup>TH</sup> +DUI	39	48.7	100.0	2.6	38.5	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	119	58.8	98.3	23.5	29.4	0.0	0.0					

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%		%	%	
SAN BERNARDINO (cont)	R CUCAMONGA	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	40	72.5	87.5	47.5	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	14	64.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	31	38.7	96.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	91	59.3	93.4	20.9	20.9	0.0	20.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1213	95.0	57.8	87.3	2.7	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	VICTORVILLE	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	386	92.7	91.5	15.8	71.2	0.0	71.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	90	85.6	92.2	7.8	73.3	0.0	73.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	56	44.6	92.9	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1745	92.4	68.1	64.6	22.2	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	390	96.7	75.1	88.7	4.1	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	110	94.5	93.6	11.8	60.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BARSTOW	3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	23	91.3	100.0	4.3	69.6	0.0	69.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	10	60.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	533	95.3	80.5	67.5	19.1	0.0	19.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	11	100.0	18.2	45.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	100.0	18.2	45.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SN BRNDNO	TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV TR CUCAMONGA	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	15	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	11	100.0	0.0	45.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	100.0	0.0	41.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	434	97.9	43.1	93.8	2.5	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CHINO	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	97	96.9	82.5	11.3	73.2	0.0	73.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	31	87.1	96.8	6.5	12.9	0.0	12.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	570	96.7	53.5	73.7	15.4	0.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	13	100.0	69.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	REDLANDS	3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	100.0	73.3	86.7	13.3	0.0	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1705	96.4	61.8	91.6	1.7	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	467	93.8	93.8	14.8	73.9	0.0	73.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	130	91.5	96.9	3.1	51.5	0.0	51.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	20	70.0	100.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FONTANA	TOTAL	2322	95.4	70.5	70.4	19.3	0.0	19.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	777	93.8	94.2	86.5	2.7	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	224	92.9	100.0	16.5	69.6	0.0	69.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	67	92.5	98.5	0.0	49.3	0.0	49.3	0.0	1.5	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	31	54.8	96.8	0.0	16.1	0.0	16.1	0.0	6.5	0.0
		TOTAL	1099	92.4	95.7	64.5	19.6	0.0	19.6	0.0	0.3	0.0
	SUP R CUCAMONGA	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1918	97.8	38.9	93.7	1.7	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	507	97.4	91.3	14.2	78.3	0.0	78.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	121	97.5	96.7	2.5	37.2	0.0	37.2	0.0	0.8	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	24	83.3	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2570	97.5	52.6	72.9	18.6	0.0	18.6	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL		1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO (cont)	BIG BEAR LAKE	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	168	95.8	68.5	92.9	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	40	97.5	95.0	12.5	77.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	224	95.1	75.4	71.9	19.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP NEEDLES	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	99	96.0	70.7	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	19	89.5	94.7	15.8	57.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	119	95.0	74.8	78.2	9.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	299	90.3	65.2	82.9	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN DIEGO	JOSHUA TREE DISTRICT	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	93	92.5	96.8	7.5	81.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	19	94.7	100.0	0.0	73.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	9	44.4	100.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	420	90.0	74.5	60.7	24.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	112	82.1	75.9	41.1	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
	SAN DIEGO	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	28	82.1	100.0	14.3	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	11	63.6	100.0	9.1	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	28	28.6	100.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
		TOTAL	179	72.6	84.9	28.5	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	3496	97.1	33.8	68.7	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN DIEGO	VISTA	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	987	95.2	85.8	6.5	55.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.3
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	212	83.5	96.2	2.4	61.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	4.2
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	72	41.7	100.0	2.8	30.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4767	95.2	48.4	51.9	16.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	112	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SAN DIEGO	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	114	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	EL CAJON	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1708	96.8	38.2	92.4	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	486	94.2	88.9	12.8	73.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.5	9.5
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	111	86.5	100.0	2.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.2	7.2
SAN DIEGO	VISTA 2	4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	42	61.9	97.6	0.0	40.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.7
		TOTAL	2347	95.1	52.7	70.0	20.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.7
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	33	12.1	6.1	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	33	12.1	6.1	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	4155	97.6	4.1	93.7	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3
	KEARNY NESA	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	944	97.9	74.8	14.9	71.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.9	4.9
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	194	95.4	91.8	6.7	82.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.6	36.6
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	22	81.8	100.0	0.0	68.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.6	13.6
		TOTAL	5315	97.5	20.2	76.2	17.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	2.5
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1447	94.4	12.4	83.8	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN DIEGO	CHULA VISTA	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	324	96.9	76.5	16.0	61.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	63	88.9	88.9	4.8	52.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.9	15.9
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	14	35.7	100.0	7.1	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1848	94.2	26.9	68.7	13.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	US CT SAN DIEGO	TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	20	85.0	85.0	85.0	65.0	65.0	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	7	100.0	100.0	100.0	28.6	28.6	57.1	57.1	0.0	0.0	14.3
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> +DUI	4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	75.0
		TOTAL	33	90.9	87.9	87.9	45.5	45.5	24.2	24.2	3.0	3.0	12.1
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	851	99.3	98.7	98.7	94.0	94.0	1.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	2.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	173	99.4	99.4	99.4	28.3	28.3	68.8	68.8	0.0	0.0	24.9
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	39	94.9	94.9	94.9	10.3	10.3	79.5	79.5	5.1	5.1	43.6
		4 <sup>TH</sup> +DUI	4	100.0	100.0	100.0	25.0	25.0	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	75.0
		TOTAL	1067	99.2	98.7	98.7	80.0	80.0	15.4	15.4	0.2	0.2	7.5
SAN JOAQUIN	US DISTRICT SF JUV SAN JOAQUIN	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LODI	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	16	100.0	43.8	43.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	100.0	43.8	43.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	347	99.1	99.1	99.1	88.2	88.2	2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.9
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	129	96.9	99.2	99.2	11.6	11.6	78.3	78.3	0.0	0.0	14.7
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	34	94.1	94.1	94.1	2.9	2.9	47.1	47.1	0.0	0.0	26.5
		4 <sup>TH</sup> +DUI	14	71.4	92.9	92.9	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	14.3
		TOTAL	524	97.5	98.7	98.7	61.5	61.5	24.4	24.4	0.0	0.0	6.3
	MANTECA	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	336	99.4	97.9	97.9	79.2	79.2	1.8	1.8	0.0	0.0	3.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	103	100.0	98.1	98.1	19.4	19.4	64.1	64.1	0.0	0.0	32.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	36	94.4	100.0	100.0	8.3	8.3	72.2	72.2	0.0	0.0	52.8
		4 <sup>TH</sup> +DUI	13	84.6	92.3	92.3	0.0	0.0	69.2	69.2	0.0	0.0	46.2
		TOTAL	488	98.8	98.0	98.0	59.2	59.2	21.9	21.9	0.0	0.0	13.9
	TRACY	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	327	99.7	97.9	97.9	93.9	93.9	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.9
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	91	98.9	96.7	96.7	9.9	9.9	79.1	79.1	2.2	2.2	20.9
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	22	90.9	95.5	95.5	9.1	9.1	72.7	72.7	4.5	4.5	27.3
		4 <sup>TH</sup> +DUI	6	83.3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	83.3	0.0	0.0	16.7
		TOTAL	446	98.9	97.5	97.5	71.3	71.3	22.0	22.0	0.7	0.7	6.5
	STOCKTON	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1206	98.3	96.9	96.9	91.8	91.8	3.2	3.2	0.0	0.0	1.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	417	97.8	99.8	99.8	12.0	12.0	84.2	84.2	0.0	0.0	20.6
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	130	98.5	100.0	100.0	4.6	4.6	87.7	87.7	0.0	0.0	43.8
		4 <sup>TH</sup> +DUI	59	79.7	98.3	98.3	0.0	0.0	74.6	74.6	0.0	0.0	42.4
		TOTAL	1812	97.6	97.8	97.8	64.2	64.2	30.2	30.2	0.0	0.0	9.9
	JUV SAN LUIS OBISPO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	11	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN LUIS OBISPO MONT	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	1408	97.5	96.3	96.3	91.0	91.0	1.6	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	389	98.5	98.7	98.7	14.7	14.7	78.9	78.9	0.0	0.0	0.5
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	132	93.9	97.0	97.0	5.3	5.3	79.5	79.5	0.0	0.0	2.2
		4 <sup>TH</sup> +DUI	46	60.9	100.0	100.0	4.3	4.3	28.3	28.3	0.0	0.0	0.2
		TOTAL	1975	96.6	96.9	96.9	68.2	68.2	22.6	22.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN MATEO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	45	77.8	100.0	100.0	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>ND</sup> DUI	14	78.6	100.0	100.0	7.1	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>RD</sup> DUI	11	36.4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>TH</sup> +DUI	25	40.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	95	63.2	100.0	100.0	6.3	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SAN MATEO	1 <sup>ST</sup> DUI	25	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	25	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL		1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN MATEO (cont)	SAN MATEO NORTH MB SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	5	40.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	40.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1033	97.1	97.6	93.2	93.2	1.6	84.6	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	292	97.3	100.0	103	103	0.0	70.5	0.0	40.4	0.0	0.0	40.4	0.0
	REDWOOD CITY	3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	61	91.8	98.4	66	66	0.0	85.7	0.0	32.8	0.0	0.0	32.8	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	7	85.7	100.0	7	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
		TOTAL	1393	96.8	98.1	71.6	71.6	22.5	86.1	0.0	10.4	0.0	0.0	10.4	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	946	95.9	98.4	91.6	91.6	1.6	76.9	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
	SANTA BARBARA	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	223	96.0	100.0	6.7	6.7	0.0	86.1	0.0	9.4	0.0	0.0	9.4	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	52	90.4	100.0	1.9	1.9	0.0	66.7	0.0	13.5	0.0	0.0	13.5	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1224	95.7	98.8	72.1	72.1	20.3	86.1	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0
SANTA BARBARA	JUV SANTA BARBARA	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	8	87.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	88.9	22.2	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	9	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SANTA MARIA	TOTAL	9	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	4	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1006	95.3	79.5	78.4	78.4	1.7	78.3	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
	SUP SANTA MARIA	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	226	95.6	94.7	1.8	1.8	0.0	80.4	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	56	91.1	96.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.1	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	21	76.2	95.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.9	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0
		TOTAL	1309	94.9	83.1	60.3	60.3	2.7	80.4	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	694	96.5	68.9	90.6	90.6	75.5	77.8	0.0	31.3	0.0	0.0	31.3	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	208	97.1	97.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.6	0.0	49.2	0.0	0.0	49.2	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	63	88.9	96.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.1	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	21	52.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.6	0.0	11.7	0.0	0.0	11.7	0.0
	LOMPOC	TOTAL	986	95.2	77.3	63.8	63.8	0.7	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	153	98.7	23.5	55.6	55.6	0.0	71.4	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	42	100.0	81.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	72.7	0.0	45.5	0.0	0.0	45.5	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	11	90.9	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.9	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0
	SANTA CLARA	TOTAL	206	98.5	38.8	41.3	41.3	0.0	11.2	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	98	79.6	100.0	50.0	50.0	4.2	45.8	0.0	4.2	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	72	68.1	98.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.4	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	44	54.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.8	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
SANTA CLARA	JUV SANTA CLARA	4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	66	45.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.5	0.0	6.4	0.0	0.0	6.4	0.0
		TOTAL	280	64.6	99.6	18.6	18.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	44	97.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP PALO ALTO	TOTAL	45	97.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	765	99.1	96.5	93.3	93.3	2.2	72.8	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	173	100.0	99.4	22.5	22.5	0.0	78.3	0.0	38.7	0.0	0.0	38.7	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	23	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	60.9	0.0	0.0	60.9	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.8	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	964	99.3	97.1	78.1	78.1	0.1	16.8	0.0	9.3	0.1	0.0	9.3	0.1

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL		1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SANTA CLARA	(cont)	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	3166	99.5	99.0	93.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.8					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	865	99.5	99.4	11.9	83.1	0.0	0.0	30.9					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	180	98.3	98.3	0.6	70.0	0.0	0.0	55.6					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	20.0					
		TOTAL	4221	99.5	99.1	72.2	23.2	0.0	0.0	10.1					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	22	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	22	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	7	100.0	100.0	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	8	100.0	100.0	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0					
SANTA CRUZ		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	477	99.4	97.7	85.5	2.9	0.0	0.0	2.1					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	154	99.4	100.0	14.3	67.5	0.0	0.0	37.0					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	43	97.7	97.7	4.7	58.1	0.0	0.0	55.8					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	50.0					
		TOTAL	682	99.3	98.2	63.3	21.4	0.0	0.0	13.9					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	10	80.0	90.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	8	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	12	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	32	71.9	96.9	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
SHASTA		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	19	94.7	5.3	26.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	19	94.7	5.3	26.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	861	98.0	95.5	79.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	228	98.7	97.4	16.2	46.1	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	62	100.0	96.8	1.6	25.8	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	24	70.8	100.0	0.0	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	1175	97.7	96.0	61.1	10.9	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	228	97.8	98.2	52.6	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.4					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	84	100.0	100.0	3.6	41.7	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	32	100.0	100.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0					
SHASTA		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	347	98.6	98.8	35.4	10.7	0.0	0.0	0.3					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	15	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	15	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	29	93.1	93.1	89.7	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	6	100.0	100.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0	20.7					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	66.7					
		TOTAL	41	92.7	95.1	65.9	22.0	0.0	0.0	34.1					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1050	96.3	97.2	89.3	1.4	0.1	0.1	32.2					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	348	94.5	98.0	17.2	71.8	0.3	0.3	75.3					
SHASTA		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	93	84.9	98.9	5.4	33.3	0.0	0.0	77.4					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	29	27.6	93.1	6.9	3.4	0.0	0.0	6.9					
		TOTAL	1520	93.9	97.4	66.1	19.5	0.1	0.1	44.3					

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SIERRA	SIERRA	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	17	100.0	94.1	76.5	5.9	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	7	100.0	85.7	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	24	100.0	91.7	54.2	29.2	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	SISKIYOU	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
JUV SISKIYOU	JUV SISKIYOU	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	138	94.2	85.5	76.1	2.9	0.0	0.7
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	35	100.0	97.1	34.3	54.3	0.0	8.6
WEED	WEED	3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	11	90.9	100.0	0.0	81.8	0.0	54.5
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	187	95.2	88.8	62.6	18.2	0.0	5.9
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	104	94.2	92.3	70.2	3.8	0.0	3.8
YREKA	YREKA	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	40	97.5	97.5	17.5	60.0	0.0	32.5
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	8	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	62.5
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	9	77.8	88.9	22.2	44.4	0.0	77.8
		TOTAL	161	93.2	93.8	50.9	23.6	0.0	18.0
SOLANO	SOLANO	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	6	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
JUV SOLANO	JUV SOLANO	TOTAL	11	81.8	100.0	54.5	27.3	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	25	92.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	25	92.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	854	97.2	98.0	93.2	2.9	0.0	0.0
FAIRFIELD	FAIRFIELD	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	253	95.7	99.2	7.5	87.0	0.0	5.9
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	67	86.6	97.0	1.5	79.1	0.0	13.4
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	20	50.0	95.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1194	95.5	98.2	68.3	25.5	0.0	2.0
VALLEJO	VALLEJO	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	343	96.2	97.7	90.7	2.9	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	119	98.3	100.0	15.1	82.4	0.0	1.7
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	39	89.7	94.9	5.1	71.8	0.0	17.9
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	9	55.6	88.9	0.0	33.3	0.0	11.1
SONOMA	SONOMA	TOTAL	510	95.5	97.8	64.9	27.3	0.0	2.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1939	97.5	94.0	67.2	0.8	0.0	0.5
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	690	95.5	98.4	7.1	45.7	0.0	17.5
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	182	96.2	96.7	4.4	23.1	0.0	26.9
JUV SONOMA	JUV SONOMA	4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	95	54.7	94.7	0.0	8.4	0.0	10.5
		TOTAL	2906	95.6	95.2	46.8	13.1	0.0	6.5
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	48	56.3	12.5	43.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SANTA ROSA	SANTA ROSA	TOTAL	50	54.0	12.0	42.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM		30-MONTH PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STANISLAUS	STANISLAUS	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1799	99.2	98.5	88.4	5.5	0.0	1.3					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	510	99.8	99.4	27.5	64.1	0.0	9.8					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	136	99.3	100.0	5.9	86.8	0.0	33.1					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	43	69.8	95.3	2.3	51.2	0.0	27.9					
		TOTAL	2488	98.8	98.7	69.9	22.7	0.0	5.2					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	23	87.0	17.4	69.6	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	23	87.0	17.4	69.6	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	24	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	24	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
SUTTER	JUV STANISLAUS	TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	308	94.5	95.1	88.6	1.6	0.0	5.8					
		TOTAL	118	93.2	99.2	13.6	78.8	0.0	60.2					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	23	87.0	100.0	8.7	69.6	0.0	65.2					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	11	36.4	100.0	0.0	27.3	0.0	36.4					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	460	92.4	96.5	63.3	25.4	0.0	23.5					
		TOTAL	8	37.5	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	37.5					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	7	42.9	100.0	14.3	28.6	0.0	57.1					
TEHAMA	TEHAMA	2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	6	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	25	24.0	100.0	12.0	8.0	0.0	56.0					
		TOTAL	13	100.0	100.0	84.6	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	13	100.0	100.0	84.6	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	98	95.9	96.9	85.7	6.1	0.0	3.1					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	36	88.9	100.0	13.9	72.2	0.0	2.8					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	8	62.5	100.0	12.5	37.5	0.0	25.0					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	142	92.3	97.9	63.4	24.6	0.0	4.2					
		TOTAL	187	86.6	95.7	79.1	0.5	0.0	1.6					
TRINITY	RED BLUFF	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	58	79.3	96.6	8.6	69.0	0.0	10.3					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	14	71.4	100.0	7.1	50.0	0.0	64.3					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	264	82.6	96.2	58.3	18.2	0.0	7.6					
		TOTAL	52	90.4	96.2	78.8	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	23	95.7	100.0	13.0	43.5	0.0	0.0					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	82	91.5	97.6	53.7	13.4	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	21	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
TULARE	JUV VISALIA	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	21	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
		TOTAL	289	95.5	99.3	61.9	1.4	0.0	0.0					
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	81	92.6	96.3	8.6	43.2	0.0	8.6					
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	42	90.5	100.0	2.4	50.0	0.0	42.9					
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	13	76.9	100.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	38.5					
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	425	93.9	98.8	44.5	14.1	0.0	7.5					
		TOTAL												
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI												
		TOTAL												
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI												

TABLE B4: 2007 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM		18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%		%	%	%	%
TULARE	(cont)	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	548	95.8	97.6	84.5	2.4	0.0	1.6				
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	223	94.6	97.8	13.0	74.4	0.4	22.0				
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	62	87.1	100.0	0.0	74.2	0.0	41.9				
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	26	57.7	88.5	0.0	23.1	11.5	34.6				
		TOTAL	859	93.7	97.6	57.3	26.9	0.5	10.8				
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	1121	96.8	81.6	70.7	2.9	0.0	1.2				
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	305	94.8	96.7	5.6	78.0	0.0	13.8				
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	98	92.9	96.9	3.1	71.4	2.0	32.7				
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	13	76.9	92.3	7.7	46.2	0.0	15.4				
		TOTAL	1537	96.0	85.7	53.0	22.6	0.1	5.9				
TUOLUMNE	VISALIA DIV	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	95	75.8	84.2	18.9	3.2	0.0	2.1				
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	30	80.0	93.3	3.3	26.7	0.0	20.0				
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	21	66.7	95.2	0.0	9.5	0.0	4.8				
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	32	53.1	93.8	3.1	9.4	0.0	21.9				
		TOTAL	178	71.3	88.8	11.2	9.0	0.0	9.0				
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	307	95.4	97.7	83.1	1.3	0.0	0.7				
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	96	95.8	100.0	6.3	81.3	0.0	6.3				
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	31	96.8	100.0	0.0	16.1	0.0	48.4				
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	16	37.5	100.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	25.0				
		TOTAL	450	93.6	98.4	58.0	19.6	0.0	6.0				
VENTURA	VENTURA	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	3600	96.8	86.3	71.1	1.0	0.0	9.0				
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	867	98.0	95.7	8.8	59.1	0.0	66.8				
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	206	97.6	99.5	3.9	63.1	0.0	84.0				
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	53	66.0	98.1	0.0	43.4	0.0	64.2				
		TOTAL	4726	96.7	88.7	55.9	14.8	0.0	23.5				
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	769	96.6	96.2	80.0	2.0	0.0	1.3				
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	190	96.8	96.8	46.8	34.7	0.0	41.6				
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	48	97.9	100.0	27.1	47.9	0.0	56.3				
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	25	52.0	84.0	8.0	12.0	0.0	16.0				
		TOTAL	1032	95.6	96.2	69.7	10.4	0.0	11.6				
YOLO	YUBA	1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	12	75.0	91.7	58.3	8.3	0.0	8.3				
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0				
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0				
		4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0				
		TOTAL	19	68.4	94.7	36.8	21.1	0.0	10.5				
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	298	96.0	93.0	87.9	2.0	0.0	2.7				
		2 <sup>nd</sup> DUI	97	97.9	95.9	7.2	78.4	0.0	12.4				
		3 <sup>rd</sup> DUI	20	100.0	100.0	10.0	75.0	0.0	15.0				
YUBA	BEALE AFB	4 <sup>th</sup> +DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	421	96.0	94.1	64.4	23.3	0.0	5.5				
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		1 <sup>st</sup> DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP  
FOR ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS AND 3- AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAM DRIVERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	MEAN MONTHS IN STUDY	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS			ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES		
						TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS
7/07-6/08	3,123 (41.9%)	27.6	33.7	2.7	15.8	2.7	0.8	.17	1.34	.30	.46
	4,336 (58.1%)	26.0	33.0	1.8	15.9	2.7	0.9	.10	1.38	.29	.41
		$\chi^2 = 2.41$	$F = 6.2^*$	$\chi^2 = 6.73^*$	$F = 3.5$	$F = 0.0$	$F = 0.3$	$F = 6.8^*$	$F = 37.2^*$	$F = 17.8^*$	$F = 137.1^*$
											$F = 14.7^*$
2007	31,193 (74.3%)	26.4	33.1	1.3	19.0	3.4	1.5	.09	1.41	.30	.40
	10,800 (25.7%)	27.8	36.7	1.7	19.1	4.3	2.7	.07	1.42	.31	.41
		$\chi^2 = 7.65^*$	$F = 688.2^*$	$\chi^2 = 9.69^*$	$F = 0.4$	$F = 202.5^*$	$F = 721.6^*$	$F = 5.7^*$	$F = 21.8^*$	$F = 98.9^*$	$F = 5.2^*$
											$F = 9.2^*$

\* $p < .05$